

# LIVE-IN RELATIONSHIPS VS. MARRIAGE: A SOCIO-LEGAL ANALYSIS OF WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT, GROWTH, AND GOVERNANCE IN CONTEMPORARY INDIA

Author & Co-author:

Kajalben M Patel<sup>1</sup> & Dr. Divyam Jhaveri<sup>2</sup>

Department of Law, Swaminarayan University, Kalol

## Abstract:

The evolving nature of intimate relationships has significantly challenged traditional institutions such as marriage, particularly in developing societies like India. Live-in relationships, once socially stigmatized, are increasingly visible due to urbanization, women's education, economic independence, and changing constitutional interpretations of personal liberty. This paper critically examines live-in relationships in comparison with marriage through a multidisciplinary framework encompassing law, sociology, gender studies, and governance. The study focuses on how these relationship models impact women's empowerment, autonomy, social security, and legal protection. While marriage continues to be a socially sanctioned institution offering legal safeguards, it often reinforces patriarchal norms that constrain women's freedom. Conversely, live-in relationships provide autonomy and equality but suffer from legal ambiguity and social resistance. The paper analyses constitutional values, judicial responses, legislative gaps, and societal perceptions to assess whether live-in relationships contribute to inclusive growth and transformative governance for women. It argues that empowering women requires recognizing diverse family structures, strengthening legal protections, and promoting gender-sensitive governance. The paper concludes that the future of equitable growth lies not in privileging one institution over another but in ensuring dignity, choice, and protection for women across all forms of relationships.

**Keywords:** Live-in Relationships, Marriage, Women Empowerment, Governance, Gender Justice

## 1.1. Introduction

The institution of marriage has historically been regarded as the cornerstone of Indian society, deeply rooted in cultural, religious, and social traditions. For centuries, marriage has regulated sexuality, reproduction, inheritance, and family structures. However, rapid socio-economic transformations, increased urbanization, rising female

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Law, Swaminarayan University, Kalol

<sup>2</sup> Department of Law, Swaminarayan University, Kalol

education, and constitutional recognition of individual liberty have led to the emergence of alternative forms of intimate relationships, notably live-in relationships.

Live-in relationships refer to arrangements where two consenting adults cohabit without formal marriage. While such relationships have long existed in informal forms, their growing visibility has sparked intense debates concerning morality, legality, women's safety, and social stability. These debates are particularly relevant when examined through the lens of women's empowerment and governance.

This paper situates the debate of live-in relationships versus marriage within the broader framework of empowering women and transforming societies. It explores whether traditional marriage genuinely serves women's interests or whether alternative arrangements like live-in relationships provide greater autonomy and equality. The study also examines the role of law and governance in responding to changing social realities.

## **1.2. Conceptual Framework: Women's Empowerment, Growth, and Governance**

Women's empowerment is a multidimensional concept encompassing economic independence, bodily autonomy, decision-making power, legal rights, and social dignity. Growth, in this context, is not merely economic but includes human development, gender equality, and social justice. Governance refers to the institutional mechanisms through which the state ensures rights, justice, and welfare.

The relationship between personal choices and governance is critical. When the state regulates intimate relationships, it influences gender norms, power dynamics, and access to justice. Therefore, examining live-in relationships and marriage through a governance perspective reveals how law either enables or restricts women's empowerment.

## **1.3. Marriage: A Traditional Institution and Its Gendered Realities**

### **1.3.1. Marriage and Patriarchy**

Marriage in India has traditionally been a patriarchal institution. Despite legal reforms, social practices often subordinate women through expectations of obedience, unpaid domestic labor, and reproductive responsibilities. Early marriage, dowry practices, and unequal power relations continue to affect women's autonomy.

### **1.3.2. Legal Protection within Marriage**

Marriage offers certain legal protections such as inheritance rights, maintenance, legitimacy of children, and social security. Family laws recognize wives as dependents entitled to support. However, access to these rights often involves lengthy litigation, social pressure, and emotional costs.

### 1.3.3. Marriage and Governance Challenges

Governance mechanisms often prioritize the preservation of marriage over women's rights. Laws addressing domestic violence, marital rape (still not criminalized in India), and divorce reflect institutional reluctance to challenge marital authority. Thus, while marriage is legally protected, women within marriages often experience limited autonomy.

## 1.4. Live-in Relationships: Emerging Realities and Women's Autonomy

### 1.4.1. Understanding Live-in Relationships

Live-in relationships are based on consent, companionship, and shared responsibilities without formal legal recognition. They challenge the idea that intimacy must be regulated through marriage.

### 1.4.2. Empowerment through Choice and Autonomy

For many women, live-in relationships represent freedom from rigid marital roles. Such arrangements allow women to prioritize education, careers, and personal growth without societal expectations of immediate marriage. The ability to exit an unequal relationship without legal or social repercussions is often viewed as empowering.

### 1.4.3. Social Stigma and Gendered Judgment

Despite increasing acceptance in urban areas, live-in relationships attract moral policing, particularly against women. Women in such relationships are often labeled as immoral, reflecting deeply ingrained patriarchal attitudes. This stigma limits the empowering potential of live-in arrangements.

## 1.5. Legal Recognition and Judicial Trends in India

### 1.5.1. Constitutional Foundations

The Indian Constitution guarantees the right to life, liberty, and equality. Judicial interpretations have increasingly recognized that the right to choose one's partner and living arrangement falls within personal liberty.

### 1.5.2. Judicial Recognition of Live-in Relationships

Indian courts have acknowledged live-in relationships for limited purposes such as protection from domestic violence and legitimacy of children. Judicial reasoning emphasizes that morality cannot override constitutional rights.

### 1.5.3. Limitations and Legal Ambiguity

Despite judicial recognition, live-in relationships lack comprehensive statutory regulation. Women in such relationships face uncertainty regarding maintenance, property rights, and social security, exposing governance gaps.

## **1.6. Comparative Analysis: Live-in Relationships vs. Marriage**

### **1.6.1. Autonomy vs. Security**

Marriage provides legal security but often limits autonomy. Live-in relationships offer autonomy but lack institutional safeguards. This trade-off highlights the need for governance reforms that protect women without imposing moral judgments.

### **1.6.2. Economic Independence and Power Dynamics**

Women's empowerment in both institutions depends largely on economic independence. Marriage can reinforce dependency, whereas live-in relationships often encourage financial equality. However, economic vulnerability in live-in relationships can leave women unprotected.

### **1.6.3. Social Acceptance and Inclusion**

Marriage enjoys social legitimacy, while live-in relationships face exclusion. Transformative governance requires societal acceptance of diverse family forms to ensure inclusive growth.

## **1.7. Impact on Growth and Governance**

### **1.7.1. Inclusive Growth and Gender Equality**

Recognizing diverse relationship models contributes to inclusive growth by respecting individual choices. When women exercise autonomy, their participation in education and the workforce increases, benefiting societal development.

### **1.7.2. Governance Reforms and Policy Implications**

Governance must evolve to address changing social realities. This includes framing gender-neutral laws on cohabitation, ensuring protection against abuse, and promoting awareness to reduce stigma.

### **1.7.3. Balancing Tradition and Modernity**

Effective governance does not abolish traditional institutions but ensures that traditions do not suppress rights. Both marriage and live-in relationships must coexist within a rights-based framework.

## **1.8. Challenges and Criticisms**

Critics argue that live-in relationships undermine family values and social stability. Others fear misuse or moral degradation. However, these arguments often ignore the realities of marital breakdown, domestic violence, and forced relationships. The challenge lies in separating moral anxiety from empirical realities.

## 1.9. Way Forward

**Legal Framework:** Enact comprehensive legislation recognizing live-in relationships for limited protective purposes.

**Gender-Sensitive Governance:** Ensure women's safety and economic security across relationship forms.

**Social Awareness:** Promote education to reduce stigma and moral policing.

**Women-Centric Policies:** Focus on autonomy, consent, and dignity rather than institutional status.

## 1.10. Conclusion

The debate between live-in relationships and marriage is not a conflict between tradition and modernity but a question of choice, dignity, and empowerment. Marriage, while socially sanctioned, often perpetuates gender inequality. Live-in relationships, though empowering in theory, remain vulnerable due to legal ambiguity and social resistance. Transforming societies requires governance frameworks that respect women's autonomy, recognize diverse family structures, and ensure protection irrespective of marital status. True empowerment lies not in prescribing how women should live but in enabling them to choose freely and live with dignity.

## 1.11. References

1. Bhat, P. N. (2019). Family law and gender justice in India. Oxford University Press.
2. Bhattacharya, S. (2020). Changing patterns of intimacy in urban India. *Indian Journal of Social Research*, 61(2), 145–162.
3. Choudhary, S. (2018). Constitutional morality and personal liberty. *National Law Review*, 10(1), 1–25.
4. Kumar, R. (2021). Live-in relationships and women's rights in India. *Journal of Gender Studies*, 30(4), 512–526.
5. National Commission for Women. (2022). Report on changing family structures. Government of India.
6. Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.

### Copyright & License:

© Authors retain the copyright of this article. This work is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.