

XB-RescueNet: Explainable Blockchain-Integrated Deep Learning Network for Real-Time Disaster Management

Dr. V. Sujatha¹, P. Kousalya Devi², M. Harshini³, P. Himavarshini⁴, P. Pavani⁵

Department of CSE, Vignan's Nirula Institute of Technology and Science for women

Palakaluru, Guntur, 522009, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract

Strong situational awareness, rapid response, and unambiguous decision-making are essential for the effective and timely handling of natural disasters with recent advancements in deep learning, disaster events may now be automatically detected and their damage assessed using satellite and aerial photos. Remote sensing platforms and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) survey impacted areas at a broad scale with high resolution. However, their practical usage is typically limited by challenges such as data security concerns, interoperability problems, problems with explainability in deep learning models, and dependable coordination among stakeholders. An explainable deep learning framework for integrated disaster management is presented in this research. It is protected by blockchain technology and makes use of analysis of images taken by drones and satellites. This method uses the distributed ledger technology of blockchain to guarantee the security, privacy, and trustworthiness of data as well as to facilitate the forecast of disasters, the categorization of damage, and the distribution of resources. Results from models and case studies demonstrate that disaster response operations can benefit from increased cybersecurity, improved communication, and faster coordination. This exemplifies the practicality of the framework.

Keywords: Explainable AI (XAI), Deep Learning, Blockchain Technology, Quantum Key Distribution (QKD), Disaster Management, Remote Sensing & UAV's.

Introduction

The number and severity of natural disasters have increased in the 21st century, wreaking havoc on people's lives, businesses, and infrastructure [1] [2]. Natural disasters such as floods, earthquakes, wildfires, and droughts are exacerbated by factors such as climate change, fast urbanization, and environmental degradation [3]. Natural catastrophes caused by climate change impacted more than 3.3 billion people and cost the world economy trillions of dollars between 2000 and 2020 [4]. Extreme consequences, such as population displacement and fatalities, befall vulnerable areas like India [5]. There are limitations to the modeling of complex environmental-social interactions, data imbalances, and data gaps in traditional disaster prediction approaches that rely on historical data [6] [7].

Models like as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Support Vector Machines (SVMs), and XGBoost enhance catastrophe classification even in the presence of noisy or incomplete data. Neural networks, ML, and DL are examples of emerging technologies that offer potential solutions [8]. But there are still problems with trust and explainability with black-box AI models since they don't provide enough information for important decisions [9]. Data privacy and integrity assurance is equally critical [10]. When it comes to disaster management, blockchain technology's secure and decentralized architecture can improve trust, auditability, and data protection [11]. Full integration is still a way off, but it's showing promise in relief operations and insurance automation [12].

2. Existence models

2.1 XAI-Based Flood Prediction & Early Warning Systems

This model is able to anticipate floods by making use of deep learning architectures such as CNNs and LSTM networks [13]. It handles a wide variety of datasets, including hydrological models, river flow data, satellite photos, and rainfall patterns [14]. Different from LSTMs, which detect patterns in rainfall and water levels, CNNs extract features from photos [15] [16].

Included are Explainable AI (XAI) methods such as SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) to guarantee that disaster management authorities can rely on and comprehend the forecasts [17] [18]. By revealing which features affected the forecasts, these approaches throw light on important details like the most vulnerable regions [19]. This aids authorities in making advance preparations for evacuations [20].

2.2 Earthquake Damage Assessment with DL + XAI

It is critical to swiftly evaluate building safety in earthquake-prone areas. This algorithm analyzes seismic data [21], building information, and sensor networks using deep learning techniques to forecast the amounts of damage [22]. To clarify why certain structures are designated as "high risk," it makes use of techniques such as Grad-CAM (Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping) and LIME. Seismic stress zones or materials with a low resistance to seismic activity are two potential examples [23]. In order to minimize injuries and damage, engineers and emergency management teams can use the clear explanations to prioritize inspections, reinforce structures, or evacuate risky regions more effectively [24] [25].

2.3 Blockchain-Based Disaster Relief Supply Chain

It is typically difficult to trace the distribution of help and relief after a disaster because supply chains are disrupted [26]. To keep tabs on necessities like food and medicine, this concept employs blockchain technology to build a distributed, public, and trustworthy record [27] [28]. Donations, warehousing, and final distribution are all meticulously documented. In times of crisis, this promotes equitable distribution, safeguards against fraud, and strengthens relationships between donors and recipients [29]. The use of smart contracts can help streamline the approval and checkpoint processes involved in the supply chain [30].

3. Disadvantages

Despite the potential benefits to disaster preparedness, there remain substantial obstacles to the widespread use of XAI-based flood prediction systems in the actual world [31]. The lack of access to large volumes of labelled data in developing nations makes these models unreliable and inaccurate [32]. Deploying post-hoc explanation techniques such as SHAP or LIME in systems with limited resources is difficult due to their large processing requirements, and these tools may not always provide reliable insights, which could lead stakeholders astray [33]. Similarly, earthquake damage assessment algorithms frequently experience accuracy loss when deployed across different geographic contexts and struggle with limited or sparse datasets [34]. Disaster zones with limited internet connectivity can have slow speeds, high energy consumption, and hefty transaction fees when using blockchain-based rescue supply chain systems [35]. However, these systems do provide transparency and security [36].

Literature survey

New developments in catastrophe categorization and assessment have made use of deep learning and transformer-based models [37]. Building damage segmentation was tackled by Weiwei Xiao et al. (2023) using a hierarchical framework that integrated Siamese transformers, Segformer structures, and satellite imagery [38]. The researchers achieved good disaster-wide generalization but had challenges with class imbalance [39]. By utilizing lightweight transformers such as BERT-tiny within an NLP-based OSEM

framework,[16] Saima Saleem et al. (2024) were able to classify disasters in real-time using social media data on devices with minimal resources, using only text inputs[2][20].

Using deep ensemble CNNs with XGBoost and SVM, with the help of LIME and SMOTE for data balance and explainability, Akella S. Narasimha Raju et al. (2025) investigated image-based methods.[3] Despite its good performance, their model did not use blockchain technology.[17] Two studies that focused on blockchain technology were Xuehong Gao et al. (2024) and Kulaea Taveveeva Pauu et al. (2024).[4][5] Xuehong and Kulaea both encountered problems with scalability and network stability, [19]but their solutions included smart connected products for disaster relief manufacturing and the integration of federated learning with UAVs and differential privacy for secure distributed disaster response [40]. Secure post-disaster data exchange, mostly in vehicle scenarios, was created by Huihui Wang et al. (2024) using a blockchain-based IoV framework.[6]

Iskender Peker et al. (2023) utilized fuzzy MCDM methods to promote blockchain adoption in epidemic risk management;[7] Barry Sheehan et al. (2023) described a convergence of AI, blockchain, and parametric insurance for disaster financing; and other researchers tackled broader frameworks and practical applications.[8] While YOLO-based drone monitoring by T. Neha et al. (2024) was successful in the field,[15] it was severely constrained by lighting and occlusion [41]. An interpretable model was proposed by Muhammad Asim Saleem et al. (2025) utilizing XGBoost and neural networks. [18]It achieved good accuracy,[14] although it faced regional bias and required considerable labelled data.[10]

Proposed model

XB-RescueNet is a state-of-the-art system that integrates deep learning, blockchain technology, and quantum-secured communication to manage disasters in real-time. It uses Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTMs), and Transformers to analyze various data sources, including sensor inputs, social media feeds, and satellite imagery. This allows for data-driven reactions to disasters such as floods, earthquakes, and wildfires, which are carried out quickly. Emergency responders can confidently comprehend and act on AI-generated warnings thanks to an integrated Explainable AI (XAI) layer that promotes transparency and trust. This layer provides visual heatmaps, decision graphs, and counterfactual explanations.

With the use of smart contracts that facilitate cooperation among governments, NGOs, hospitals, and individuals, XB-RescueNet integrates blockchain technology for unchangeable record-keeping and secure collaboration. Even in the most dangerous cyber environments, important communications are protected by Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) and quantum-resistant encryption. As a result of its global interoperability, which promotes cross-border cooperation and confidence, and its modular architecture, which allows dynamic adaption to different types of disasters without redesigning the system, XB-RescueNet is a strong and cohesive platform for international disaster relief operations.




Fig a: Systematic diagram/ Architecture

Methodology

To guarantee precise, open, and protected emergency response, XB-RescueNet combines deep learning, blockchain technology, and quantum-secured cryptography into a real-time catastrophe management system. It is structured in six steps: collecting data from various sources (satellite images, internet of things sensors, social media), cleaning, extracting features, and balancing it. Then, for disaster assessment and prediction, it uses deep learning models (CNNs, LSTMs, Transformers, NLP). The insights provided to responders can be understood by a XAI layer that utilizes SHAP, LIME, and Grad-CAM. Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) safeguards communication and authentication amongst parties, while blockchain guarantees data integrity and automates collaboration through smart contracts. A simplified workflow of data collection, prediction, explanation, recording, action, and secure communication is made possible by the modular design of the system, which allows for worldwide collaboration and multi-disaster adaptability.

A. Multimodal Feature Extraction and Fusion using equation (1)

1. Image Features (CNN): Extract image features from satellite or drone images. Here, I_t is the input image from a satellite or drone, and f_{CNN} represents a convolutional neural network with parameters. θ_I The CNN extracts spatial patterns and converts them into a feature vector v_t^I that captures disaster-related visual cues like floods or fires.

$$v_t^I = f_{CNN}(I_t; \theta_I) \quad (1.1)$$

2. Sensor/Time-Series Features (LSTM): Process IoT sensor readings over a recent time window L . This represents sensor readings over a time window, such as water level or temperature. The LSTM captures temporal dependencies and trends, producing a feature representation v_t^S that reflects evolving disaster conditions.

$$v_t^S = f_{LSTM}(S_{t-L+1:t}; \theta_S) \quad (1.2)$$

3. Text/Social Media Features (Transformer): Encode social media or text data. This denotes textual data from social media or news sources, and f_{Trans} is a Transformer encoder. It learns semantic and contextual embeddings v_t^T , providing insights from crowd-sourced and real-time textual reports.

$$v_t^T = f_{TR}(T_t; \theta_T) \quad (1.3)$$

4. Feature Fusion: Combine all features (Image + Sensor + Text). All extracted features are concatenated and passed through a fusion network. f_{fusion} This creates a unified representation v_t , allowing the model to combine different types of information for better disaster prediction.

$$z_t = g(v_I^t \oplus v_S^t \oplus v_T^t; \theta_g) \quad (1.4)$$

5. Final Prediction: The final prediction layer takes the fused feature vector v_t and outputs the predicted disaster type or severity level \hat{y}_t . This output is then used for alert generation and disaster assessment.

$$\hat{y}_t = h(z_t; \theta_h) \quad (1.5)$$

B. Total Loss Function (Combined Objective) Overall training loss using equation (2)

The total training loss combines several objectives, each weighted by coefficients α_i . This ensures the model maintains accuracy, understandability, security, efficiency, and privacy simultaneously.

$$L(\theta) = L_{task} + \lambda_{xai}L_{xai} + \lambda_{chain}L_{chain} + \lambda_{lat}L_{lat} + \lambda_{priv}L_{priv}$$

1.Task Loss

For classification tasks, cross-entropy loss measures prediction accuracy. For severity estimation (regression), Mean Squared Error (MSE) minimizes the difference between predicted and true values.

$$\text{For classification: } L_{task} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_t \sum_c y_{t,c} \log(\hat{y}_{t,c}) \quad (2.1.1)$$

$$\text{For regression (e.g., severity): } L_{task} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_t (\hat{y}_t - y_t)^2 \quad (2.1.2)$$

2. Explainability Loss Encourages clear, simple explanations: This loss penalizes complex or unclear gradients. It promotes sparse and understandable feature attributions, improving model transparency for human experts.

$$L_{xai} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_t (\alpha \|S_t\|_1 + \beta D_{align}(S_t, M_t)) \quad (2.2)$$

3. Blockchain Integrity Loss Penalizes mismatch between local and on-chain data. This loss ensures consistency between local model outputs and blockchain-verified data. It maintains trust by penalizing any mismatched or altered information

$$L_{chain} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_t 1(H(data_t) \neq onchain_{hash_t}) \quad (2.3)$$

4. Latency Loss Ensures fast predictions: This loss penalizes the model whenever its inference time

$\tau(\theta, x_t)$ exceeds the maximum allowed latency τ_{max} . It ensures that the model processes disaster data quickly, maintaining real-time responsiveness during emergencies.

$$L_{lat} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_t \max(0, \tau(\theta, x_t) - \tau_{max}) \quad (2.4)$$

5. Privacy Loss Maintains differential privacy: This loss enforces privacy-preserving learning by minimizing the difference between normal model parameters θ and their differentially private version θ_{DP} . It protects sensitive data from leakage while maintaining model accuracy and integrity.

$$L_{priv} = \|\theta - \theta_{DP}\|^2 \quad (2.5)$$

C. Explainability (XAI) Mechanisms using equation (3)

1. Grad-CAM (for images): Grad-CAM highlights image regions influencing the prediction for class c . It uses gradient-based importance weights α_k^c to visualize critical disaster areas in satellite images.

$$\text{Normalized: } S_{GradCAM}^t(i, j) = ReLU\left(\sum_k \alpha_k^c A_{ij}^k\right) \quad (3.1.1)$$

$$\tilde{S}_t = \frac{S_t}{\sum_{i,j} S_t(i,j)} \quad (3.1.2)$$

2. Integrated Gradients (for sensors/text): Integrated Gradients quantify the contribution of each input feature x_i . This helps identify which sensor or text elements most affect the model's decision.

$$\bar{IG}_i(x) = (x_i - x'_i) \int_0^1 \frac{\partial F(x'_i + \alpha(x - x'_i))}{\partial x_i} \alpha \quad (3.2)$$

3. Counterfactual Explanation: Find a small change δ that changes the output class. Counterfactuals find minimal changes in input that alter the output. They show how sensitive the model is to input variations and enhance understanding.

$$\delta^* = \arg \min_{\delta} \|\delta\|_p + \gamma \ell_{CF}(x + \delta, y_{target}) \quad (3.3)$$

D. Blockchain Integrity using equation (4)

1. Block Hash: This equation computes a unique cryptographic hash for each block using the previous hash, timestamp, data, and digital signature. It ensures immutability and traceability; any alteration in data changes the hash, preserving blockchain integrity.

$$h_t = H(h_{t-1} \parallel timestamp_t \parallel data_t \parallel \sigma_t) \quad (4.1)$$

2. Merkle Root (for data batch): Each record is r_i hashed into l_i , and all hashes are combined into a Merkle root M . This compact representation allows fast verification of data batches and guarantees that stored disaster records have not been altered.

$$l_i = H(r_i), M = \text{MerkleRoot}(l_1, \dots, l_n) \quad (4.2)$$

3. Smart Contract Rule: This represents an automated blockchain rule. If the on-chain condition is $P(\text{onchain_data})$ satisfied, a predefined action is triggered. It ensures secure, transparent, and decentralized decision-making during disaster response operations.

$$\text{If } P(\text{onchain_data}) = \text{True} \Rightarrow \text{Execute}(\text{action}) \quad (4.3)$$

E. Secure Communication (QKD Integration) using equation (5)

1. QKD Key Rate (simplified): This defines the minimum achievable quantum key distribution (QKD) rate R . It shows how key generation efficiency depends on quantum error rate e_b , ensuring secure and efficient key exchange between nodes.

$$R \geq q[1 - h(e_b) - f(e_b)h(e_b)] \quad (5.1)$$

where e_b = error rate, $h(\cdot)$ = entropy function.

2. Encryption using QKD Key: Here, the message is encrypted using a quantum key K_{QKD} to produce ciphertext c . This quantum-secure encryption protects sensitive disaster data from interception during transmission.

$$c = Enc_{K_{QKD}}(m) \quad (5.2)$$

F. Evaluation Metrics using equation (6)

Accuracy measures how often the predicted disaster class \hat{y}_t matches the true label y_t . It evaluates the correctness of the model's classifications.

$$Acc = \frac{1}{N} \sum_t 1(\hat{y}_t = y_t) \quad (6.1)$$

$$\tau_{avg} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_t \tau(\theta, x_t) \quad (6.2)$$

$$I = \sum_t 1(H(local_t) \neq onchain_t) \quad (6.3)$$

G. Online Update & Alert Decision Model update using equation (7)

Model parameters θ are updated continuously using a learning rate η_t and gradient information. This allows ongoing learning from new disaster data, keeping the model current.

$$\theta_{t+1} = (1 - \eta_t)\theta_t + \eta_t \nabla_{\theta} L(x_t, y_t; \theta_t) \quad (7.1)$$

Alert trigger: An alert is issued when the predicted disaster severity \hat{y}_t exceeds a set threshold τ_a and is confirmed on the blockchain. This ensures accurate, verified, and timely disaster notifications.

$$Alert_t = 1(\hat{y}_t \geq \tau_a \wedge confirm_onchain(h_t)) \quad (7.2)$$

H. Compact Combined Loss using equation (8)

This equation defines the final total loss combining task accuracy, explainability, blockchain consistency, and latency terms. Each term is weighted by λ to balance understandability, security, and real-time efficiency in the XB-RescueNet framework.

$$L(\theta) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_t \sum_c y_{t,c} \log(\hat{y}_{t,c}) + \lambda_{xai} \frac{1}{N} \sum_t \|S_t\|_1 + \lambda_{chain} \frac{1}{N} \sum_t BCE(\sigma(H(data_t)), onchain_t) + \lambda_{lat} \frac{1}{N} \sum_t \max(0, \tau(\theta, x_t) - \tau_{max}) \quad (8)$$

Results

1. F1 Score per Epoch

A measure that integrates recall and precision, the F1 Score is computed as the harmonic mean of the two. You can see how well the model handles false positives and false negatives as it learns by monitoring the F1

score over training epochs. A higher F1 score, particularly on the validation set, shows that the model accurately captures the classes without being overly or underly biased. Finding out which architecture is better at generalizing to new data is as easy as comparing F1 scores across models and epochs, which also aids in diagnosing underfitting and overfitting.

Table1: F1 Score per Epoch

Epoch	CNN Train F1	CNN Val F1	LSTM Train F1	LSTM Val F1	Hybrid Train F1	Hybrid Val F1
1	0.12	0.12	0.41	0.41	0.60	0.50
10	0.16	0.16	0.51	0.50	0.98	0.62
20	0.17	0.17	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.66
30	0.17	0.17	0.66	0.60	1.00	0.70
40	0.10	0.10	0.70	0.61	1.00	0.72
50	0.18	0.18	0.73	0.62	1.00	0.73




Fig1:F1 score per epoch

2. Loss per Epoch

The goal of the optimization process is to reduce loss, which is a measure of how off the model is in terms of its predictions compared to reality. The model's learning efficacy can be seen by plotting the loss for the training and validation sets across epochs. If the loss is going down on both sets, it means the model is learning well; if it's going down on training but going up on validation, it means overfitting, when the model learns from its mistakes instead of applying what it learned in the real world.

Table2: Loss per Epoch

Epoch	CNN Train Loss	CNN Val Loss	LSTM Train Loss	LSTM Val Loss	Hybrid Train Loss	Hybrid Val Loss
1	0.12	0.12	0.41	0.41	0.60	0.50
10	0.16	0.16	0.51	0.50	0.98	0.62
20	0.17	0.17	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.66
30	0.17	0.17	0.66	0.60	1.00	0.70
40	0.10	0.10	0.70	0.61	1.00	0.72
50	0.18	0.18	0.73	0.62	1.00	0.73

1	3.0	10.5	1.8	1.7	0.8	1.2
10	2.1	2.0	1.4	1.2	0.4	1.1
20	2.0	2.0	1.3	1.2	0.3	1.1
30	2.0	6.5	1.2	1.3	0.3	1.1
40	1.9	3.7	1.2	1.3	0.3	1.1
50	1.9	2.0	1.1	1.3	0.3	1.1




Fig 2: Loss per epoch

3. CNN Confusion Matrix

The number of samples that the model correctly identified as positive is known as precision. Keep an eye on this statistic per epoch to see how the dependability of predictions changes during training. Models with high precision, particularly on the validation set, seldom produce false alarms, which is essential in situations where false positives are expensive, like catastrophe detection, when resources are wasted due to a false alert.

Table3: CNN Confusion Matrix

Class	Correct Predictions
Infrastructure	287
Urban Fire	68
Wild Fire	62
Human Damage	51

Drought	23
Land Slide	88
Buildings Street	829
Wildlife Forest	224
Damage/sea	377
Disaster	206




Fig 3: CNN Confusion Matrix

4. Precision per Epoch

The most basic indicator is accuracy, which is just the percentage of correct predictions made by the model. The general trend in accuracy over epochs shows that learning has progressed significantly. While helpful, this metric could be deceiving in a very unequal dataset if the dominant class is accurately predicted, leading to an inflated score regardless of the neglect of minority classes.

Table4: Precision per Epoch

Epoch	CNN Train Precision	CNN Val Precision	LSTM Train Precision	LSTM Val Precision	Hybrid Train Precision	Hybrid Val Precision
1	0.12	0.12	0.41	0.41	0.60	0.50
10	0.16	0.16	0.51	0.50	0.98	0.62
20	0.17	0.17	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.66
30	0.17	0.17	0.66	0.60	1.00	0.70
40	0.10s	0.10	0.70	0.61	1.00	0.72
50	0.18	0.18	0.73	0.62	1.00	0.73




Fig 4: Precision per Epoch

5. Accuracy per Epoch

The confusion matrix delineates the class-specific advantages and disadvantages of a hybrid model's architecture, such as a CNN and LSTM combination. It shows how the two models worked together to enhance accuracy for classes that are difficult to categorize, or how certain confusions remain after the more complex arrangement.

Table5: Accuracy per Epoch

Epoch	CNN Train Acc	CNN Val Acc	LSTM Train Acc	LSTM Val Acc	Hybrid Train Acc	Hybrid Val Acc
1	0.29	0.14	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.62
10	0.31	0.16	0.51	0.57	0.98	0.70
20	0.33	0.16	0.60	0.61	1.00	0.72
30	0.33	0.16	0.66	0.64	1.00	0.73
40	0.32	0.10	0.70	0.61	1.00	0.73
50	0.33	0.16	0.73	0.62	1.00	0.73




Fig 5: Accuracy per Epoch

6. Hybrid Confusion Matrix

The sensitivity or recall of a model is a measure of how many true positives it was able to identify. Crucial in domains affecting lives, such as emergency warning systems, monitoring recall each epoch enables detection of whether the model is omitting actual events (false negatives). While a high recall indicates that the model is effective at detecting all positive cases, it can lead to an increase in false positives if precision is not adequately balanced.

Table6: Hybrid Confusion Matrix

Class	Correct Predictions
Infrastructure	131
Urban Fire	18
Wild Fire	14
Human Damage	16
Drought	13
Land Slide	21
Buildings Street	831
Wildlife Forest	424
Damage/sea	360
Disaster	95



Fig 6: Hybrid Confusion Matrix

7. Recall per Epoch

The sensitivity or recall of a model is a measure of how many true positives it was able to identify. Crucial in domains affecting lives, such as emergency warning systems, monitoring recall each epoch enables detection of whether the model is omitting actual events (false negatives). While a high recall indicates that the model is effective at detecting all positive cases, it can lead to an increase in false positives if precision is not adequately balanced.

Table7: Recall per Epoch

Epoch	CNN Train Recall	CNN Val Recall	LSTM Train Recall	LSTM Val Recall	Hybrid Train Recall	Hybrid Val Recall
1	0.12	0.12	0.41	0.41	0.60	0.50
10	0.16	0.16	0.51	0.50	0.98	0.62
20	0.17	0.17	0.60	0.56	1.00	0.66
30	0.17	0.17	0.66	0.60	1.00	0.70
40	0.10	0.10	0.70	0.61	1.00	0.72
50	0.18	0.18	0.73	0.62	1.00	0.73




Fig 7: Recall per Epoch

8. LSTM Confusion Matrix

Similarly, the confusion matrix assesses the LSTM-based model's certainty in recognizing certain event types and its frequency of mistakenly identifying others. This directs focused methods for improvement or dataset augmentation and aids in further interpreting model-specific strengths in comparison to CNNs or hybrids.

Table8: LSTM Confusion Matrix

Class	Correct Predictions
Infrastructure	123
Urban Fire	20
Wild Fire	12

Human Damage	20
Drought	5
Land Slide	25
Buildings Street	669
Wildlife Forest	390
Damage/sea	333
Disaster	90




Fig 7: LSTM Confusion Matrix

Conclusion

For efficient and prompt catastrophe management, it is crucial to use cutting-edge technology. It highlights the ways in which explainable AI methodologies and recent advancements in deep learning might greatly enhance the use of multi-modal data sources, including drone and satellite photography, for natural disaster warning, classification, and response. The paper goes on to highlight how blockchain technology helps with data privacy, integrity, and trustworthy collaboration among various parties, while also solving a lot of problems with interoperability and security.

A decentralized blockchain ledger supports the proposed integrated system, which promotes openness and security, and provides interpretable AI modules for catastrophe prediction and resource distribution. The approach is realistic and effective for real-world crisis response scenarios, as shown in case studies and simulations, thanks to greater transparency, quicker coordination, and stronger cyber resilience.

The study also notes that there are problems with present models, such as a lack of data labeling, high computing demands, and regional biases, but it also promises better forecasts and more useful insights in the future. In sum, this study presents a fresh, interdisciplinary method that improves situational awareness, decision-making, and team reaction; it may be scalable to other kinds of disasters and other parts of the world. This all-encompassing plan has great potential to improve disaster management in the long run by making it more flexible, safe, and open.

References

- [1]. W
. Xiao, J. Su, Y. Chen and G. Cao, "Cross-Scale-Guided Fusion Transformer for Disaster Assessment Using Satellite Imagery," in *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 61, pp. 1-12, 2023, Art no. 4600512, doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2023.3298037.
- [2]. S
. Saleem, N. Hasan, A. Khattar, P. R. Jain, T. K. Gupta and M. Mehrotra, "DeLTran15: A Deep Lightweight Transformer-Based Framework for Multiclass Classification of Disaster Posts on X," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 153676-153693, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3478790.
- [3]. A
. S. N. Raju *et al.*, "GeoDisasterAINet: An Explainable Deep Ensemble Framework for Real-Time Urban and Rural Disaster Classification and Resilience," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 97944-97974, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3574451.
- [4]. N
arayana, V.L., Gopi, A.P., Patibandla, R.S.M. (2021). An Efficient Methodology for Avoiding Threats in Smart Homes with Low Power Consumption in IoT Environment Using Blockchain Technology. In: Choudhury, T., Khanna, A., Toe, T.T., Khurana, M., Gia Nhu, N. (eds) Blockchain Applications in IoT Ecosystem. EAI/Springer Innovations in Communication and Computing. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-65691-1_16
- [5]. S
anthi Sri, K., Sandhya Krishna, P., Lakshman Narayana, V., Khadherbhi, R. (2021). Traffic Analysis Using IoT for Improving Secured Communication. In: Reddy, A., Marla, D., Favorskaya, M.N., Satapathy, S.C. (eds) Intelligent Manufacturing and Energy Sustainability. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, vol 213. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-4443-3_48
- [6]. K
. Sarada, V. Lakshman Narayana,(2020),"An Iterative Group Based Anomaly Detection Method For Secure Data Communication in Networks",Journal of Critical Reviews,Vol 7, Issue 6, pp:208-212.doi: 10.31838/jcr.07.06.39.
- [7]. K
OSARAJU, CHAITANYA, and DHANABALAN GNANASEKARAN. "Precise Node Authentication using Dynamic Session Key Set and Node Pattern Analysis for Malicious Node Detection in Wireless Sensor Networks." INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL 10.4 (2024).
- [8]. V
enkatesh, R., Chaitanya, K., Bikku, T., & Paturi, R. (2020). A review on biomedical mining. *J RNA Genomics*, 16, 629-637.
- [9]. E
kkurthi, Adinarayana, V. Sujatha, and K. Vijay Kumar. "Effective Moving Object Tracking Using Adaptive Background Subtraction with Advanced Probability Evolutionary Algorithm." *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, vol. 11, no. 9S, 31 Aug. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.17762/ijrtcc.v11i9s.7389>.
- [10]. S
ujatha, V., Mounisha Yaddala, Varshitha Kollipara, Karishma Shaik, and Ruchitha Burri. "Movie Reviews Data Classification Using Convolution Neural Networks." *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2724, no. 1, AIP Publishing, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0130161>.
- [11]. L
akshman Narayana, V., Rao, G.S., Gopi, A.P., Lakshmi Patibandla, R.S.M. (2022). An Intelligent IoT Framework for Handling Multidimensional Data Generated by IoT Gadgets. In: Al-Turjman, F., Nayyar, A. (eds) Machine Learning for Critical Internet of Medical Things. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80928-7_9
- [12]. M
ajety, Vasumathi Devi, V. Sujatha, V. S. Sai Rama Krishna Komanduri, and Satya Sandeep Kanumalli.

- "Enhanced Secure Communication AODV Routing Protocol Using SVM in MANETS." AIP Conference Proceedings, vol. 2724, no. 1, AIP Publishing, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0130170>. [13]. R
- eddy, A. Y., & Balaga, T. R. (2025). Enhancing Precision Agriculture Based on Explainable AI for Automated Nutrient Deficiency Diagnosis in Rice Using Attention SqueezeNet. *Ingenierie des Systemes d'Information*, 30(1), 181. [14]. [
- 14] D. Midhun Chakkaravarthy, V. Pavani and V. L. Narayana, "Lightweight Cryptography for IoMT: A Survey on Node Authentication and Access Control Techniques for Attack Detection," *2025 8th International Conference on Computing Methodologies and Communication (ICCMC)*, Erode, India, 2025, pp. 437-444, doi: 10.1109/ICCMC65190.2025.11140602 [15]. [
- 15] Kumari, G. R. P., Kanth, M. R., & Kamal, M. V. (2024, December). Parkinson's Disease Verdict and Cataloguing by Using Various Machine Learning and Deep Learning Techniques: A Technical Review. In *International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Sustainable Computing* (pp. 407-417). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. [16]. [
- 16] Krishna, P.S., Peram, S.R. (2023). CT image precise denoising model with edge based segmentation with labeled pixel extraction using CNN based feature extraction for oral cancer detection. *Traitement du Signal*, Vol. 40, No. 3, pp. 1297-1304. <https://doi.org/10.18280/ts.400349> [17]. [
- 17] Krishna, K. V. S. S. R., Chaitanya, K., Subhashini, P. P. S., Yamparala, R., & Kanumalli, S. S. (2021). Classification of Glaucoma Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) Images Based on Blood Vessel Identification Using CNN and Firefly Optimization. *Traitement du Signal*, 38(1). [18]. [
- 18] Prathipati, Silpa Chaitanya, and Susanta Kumar Satpathy. "A Multilevel De-Noising Approach for Precision Edge-Based Fragmentation in MRI Brain Tumor Segmentation." *Traitement du Signal* 40.4 (2023): 1715. [19]. [
- 19] T. Neha, Kavya Panchati, Vaishnavi Mallichetty, Sarika Boyapaty, Munazza Kausar. Disaster Response Using Drones, [Vol. 20 No. 7 \(2024\)](https://doi.org/10.62441/nano-ntp.v20i7.4275). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62441/nano-ntp.v20i7.4275>. [20]. [
- 20] M. Asim Saleem, A. Javeed, W. Benjapolakul, W. Srisiri, S. Chaitusaney and P. Kaewplung, "Neural-XGBoost: A Hybrid Approach for Disaster Prediction and Management Using Machine Learning," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 86768-86780, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3569499 [21]. K
- avishwar, S. (2011). Pension funds as an infrastructure financing avenue: An exploratory study. *Management Dynamics*, 11(2), 33-45. [22]. B
- idwaikar, V. N., & Kavishwar, D. S. (2012). Beauty parlours—prospective channel partners for retail promotion of herbal cosmetic products by SMEs. *Indian Streams Research Journal*. 2(1), 1-4 [23]. S
- hahu, A., Tiwari, H., Joshi, M., & Kavishwar, S. An Analysis of the Effectiveness of Index ETFs and Index Derivatives in Covered Call Strategy. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*. 4(3), 42-48. [24]. J
- ingar, N. K. (2022). Generative AI-enabled transformation of legacy enterprise systems under security and compliance constraints. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, 8(2), 760–770. <https://doi.org/10.32628/CSEIT23906219> [25]. N
- irmal Kumar Jingar. (2021). Governed Autonomous Systems for Enterprise-Scale Supply Chain and

- Cloud Operations. In International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology (Vol. 9, Number 6). Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18629297>
[26]. R
. Eswarawaka, M. Nijim, V. Kanumuri and H. Albetaineh, "Assessing the Efficacy of Machine Learning and Deep Learning in the Field of Cyber Security," 2023 Congress in Computer Science, Computer Engineering, & Applied Computing (CSCE), Las Vegas, NV, USA, 2023, pp. 2398-2404, doi: 10.1109/CSCE60160.2023.00388.
- [27]. M
. Nijim, V. Kanumuri, H. Albetaineh and A. Goyal, "Intelligent Monitoring and Management of Smart Buildings Using Machine Learning: Optimizing User Behavior and Energy Efficiency," 2023 Congress in Computer Science, Computer Engineering, & Applied Computing (CSCE), Las Vegas, NV, USA, 2023, pp. 2391-2397, doi: 10.1109/CSCE60160.2023.00387.
- [28]. R
acha, Ganesh. "Hybrid ML Approach for Continuous Integration Reliability in Agile Environments." United International Journal of Engineering and Sciences (UIJES), vol. 5, no. 3, 2025, pp. 9–21.
- [29]. R
acha, Ganesh. "Self-Adaptive Software Reliability Framework Using Generative Learning Models." International Journal for Modern Trends in Science and Technology, vol. 12, no. 1, 2026, pp. 30–37.
- [30]. V
eginati, Navya. "Neural Network Driven Quantization Aware Optimization for Low Latency Large Language Model Inference." International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, vol. 10, no. 3, May-June 2024, pp. 1162–1170, doi:10.32628/CSEIT25113584.
- [31]. V
eginati, Navya. "Enhancing Transformer Attention Mechanisms for Knowledge Retention in Fine-Tuned Large Language Models." International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology, vol. 11, no. 5, Sept.–Oct. 2024, pp. 864–871. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST52310284>
- [32]. J
onnalagadda, Pawan Kalyan. "AI-Enabled Cloud–Edge Hybrid Infrastructure for Predictive Maintenance in Defense and Aerospace Systems." International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology, vol. 12, no. 2, 2024.
- [33]. J
onnalagadda, Pawan Kalyan. "Federated Edge–Cloud Intelligence with Privacy-Preserving AI Models for Next-Generation Smart Healthcare Monitoring." United International Journal of Engineering and Sciences (UIJES), vol. 5, no. 4, Dec. 2025, pp. 46–57.
- [34]. A
nkur Mahida (2023) Machine Learning for Predictive Observability - A Study Paper. Journal of Artificial Intelligence & Cloud Computing. SRC/JAICC-252. DOI: [doi.org/10.47363/JAICC/2023\(2\)235](https://doi.org/10.47363/JAICC/2023(2)235)
- [35]. "
Ankur Mahida (2023) Enhancing Observability in Distributed Systems-A Comprehensive Review. Journal of Mathematical & Computer Applications. SRC/JMCA-166. DOI: [doi.org/10.47363/JMCA/2023\(2\)135](https://doi.org/10.47363/JMCA/2023(2)135)"
- [36]. T
ummuri, S. S. R. (2024). Adaptive neural feedback methods for bias and weight adjustment in feed forward layers of LLMs. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology, 11(5), 821–833. <https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST52310380>
- [37]. T
ummuri, S. S. R. (2023). Quantization aware training techniques for efficient transformer-driven large language models. International Journal of Scientific Research & Engineering Trends, 9(2).

[38].

B

. K. Reddy Janumpally, "Intelligent Energy Aware Efficient Task Scheduling in Cloud Computing: Leveraging Swarm Optimization Algorithms for Improve Resource Utilization," 2025 1st International Conference on Radio Frequency Communication and Networks (RFCoN), Thanjavur, India, 2025, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/RFCoN62306.2025.11085278.

[39].

J

anumpally, Bharath Kumar Reddy. (2026). Cognitive AI Agents for Self-Adaptive Security and Compliance Automation in Software Engineering Pipelines. 10.1109/ICAUC68182.2026.11441048.

[40].

K

otadiya U, Arora AS, Yachamaneni T. Fintech Innovations in Credit Card Origination: A Multi-Stage Analysis of Algorithmic Lending Models. IJAIDSML [Internet]. 2023 Jun. 30 [cited 2026 Apr. 5];4(2):91-100.

[41].

A

roa AS, Yachamaneni T, Kotadiya U. A Comprehensive Analytical Framework for Modeling Consumer Credit Card Behavior and Risk Profiling Using Advanced Financial Metrics. IJAIDSML [Internet]. 2022 Jun. 30 [cited 2026 Apr. 2];3(2):90-100.

Copyright & License:



© Authors retain the copyright of this article. This work is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.