

The Nature, Kinds, and Functions of Myth with Special Reference to Indian Mythology

Dr. Raju Singh

Assistant Professor & Head, Department of English
Badri Vishal P.G. College, Farrukhabad, U.P. India – 209601

Abstract: Myth constitutes one of the most enduring symbolic systems through which human civilizations articulate cosmology, morality, identity, and transcendence. Contrary to its popular misinterpretation as falsehood, myth in scholarly discourse signifies sacred narrative, archetypal structure, and cultural memory. This paper critically examines the nature, kinds, and functions of myth through interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks and applies these insights to Indian mythology. Integrating the perspectives of Mircea Eliade (1963), Joseph Campbell (1949), Claude Lévi-Strauss (1963), Wendy Doniger (1999), and Heinrich Zimmer (1946), the study situates Indian myth within global mythological theory while foregrounding its unique philosophical integration and narrative plurality. Drawing upon primary Indian sources such as the Rigveda, Upanishads, Bhagavad Gita, Bhagavata Purana, and Natyashastra, the paper argues that Indian mythology represents a living mythic consciousness in which ritual, philosophy, ethics, and aesthetics converge. Myth in India functions not merely as inherited narrative but as an evolving cultural epistemology shaping both collective identity and individual awareness.

IndexTerms - Myth, Indian mythology, dharma, archetypes, cosmogony, Ramayana, Mahabharata, cultural symbolism

Introduction

From the earliest dawn of civilization, human beings have attempted to comprehend the mysteries of existence. Long before scientific rationalism emerged, people relied upon stories of gods, heroes, creation, destruction, and renewal to interpret reality. These narratives—transmitted orally across generations—became myths. Myth, therefore, is not a marginal cultural product but a foundational mode of human thought.

While modern usage often equates myth with falsehood, contemporary scholarship recognizes myth as symbolic truth. Myths do not primarily aim to record historical facts; rather, they express deeper realities concerning life, death, suffering, hope, and transcendence. Eliade (1963) reconceptualized myth as sacred history, Campbell (1949) interpreted it psychologically as archetypal pattern, and Lévi-Strauss (1963) analyzed it structurally as cognitive organization. Together, these approaches reveal myth as simultaneously religious, psychological, philosophical, and cultural.

In India, myths remain dynamically present in temples, festivals, family storytelling, media, and education. Epics such as the Ramayana and Mahabharata continue to shape ethical imagination. Concepts like *dharma*, *karma*, *samsara*, and *moksha* are understood not merely through abstraction but through narrative embodiment. Unlike many Western traditions where myth is largely confined to antiquity, Indian mythology survives as a living tradition that informs everyday life.

This paper approaches myth as a living structure of meaning. It seeks to explore the nature of myth, classify its principal types, analyze its functions, and highlight its continuing relevance in Indian civilization.

The Nature of Myth: Integrated Theoretical Perspectives

A comprehensive understanding of myth emerges when major theoretical approaches are read together rather than in isolation. Eliade's concept of sacred time explains how ritual reenacts primordial events (Eliade, 1963). For Eliade, myth narrates the original actions of divine beings and provides exemplary models for human behavior. Ritual participation symbolically returns believers to sacred times, allowing them to experience transcendence within ordinary existence.

Campbell's archetypal psychology views myth as an inward journey. His model of the "hero's journey" illustrates how mythic narratives mirror psychological transformation. The hero leaves the familiar world, encounters trials, gains insight, and returns renewed. This pattern is clearly visible in Indian epics, where figures such as Rama and Arjuna undergo profound moral and spiritual testing (Campbell, 1949).

Lévi-Strauss demonstrates that myths mediate binary oppositions—order and chaos, duty and desire, life and death—embedded in human cognition (1963). Indian mythology repeatedly stages these oppositions, especially in conflicts between devas and asuras or in ethical dilemmas faced by epic heroes.

Zimmer (1946) interprets Indian myths as metaphysical symbols rather than historical records, emphasizing their philosophical depth. Doniger (1999) highlights narrative plurality, noting that Indian myths resist singular authority. Multiple versions coexist, reflecting regional values and cultural diversity.

Together, these perspectives reveal myths as sacred memory, psychological map, structural logic, and symbolic philosophy. Myth thus functions as a bridge between inner consciousness and collective culture.

Classification of Myths

Indian mythology exemplifies all major mythic categories:

Cosmogonic Myths

Cosmogonic myths deal with the origin of the universe and the emergence of order from chaos. In Indian tradition, such myths are notably speculative and philosophical rather than dogmatic. The creation hymns of the Rigveda, particularly the *Nasadiya Sukta*, do not present a fixed account of creation but instead express epistemological humility by questioning whether even the supreme deity fully comprehends the origin of existence. This reflective uncertainty transforms cosmogony into metaphysical inquiry. Creation is portrayed not as a singular historical event but as an ongoing cosmic process governed by cyclical rhythms of manifestation and dissolution.

The *Purusha Sukta* further presents creation as sacrificial, where the cosmic being's dismemberment gives rise to the universe and social order. Here, cosmology merges with anthropology and ethics, suggesting that existence itself is rooted in divine self-offering. Such narratives elevate creation myths beyond explanation, turning them into meditations on interconnectedness and sacred responsibility.

Theogonic Myths

Theogonic myths narrate the birth, hierarchy, and functions of gods. In Indian mythology, these narratives are primarily preserved in the Puranic genealogies, which outline complex divine relationships among Brahma, Vishnu, Shiva, and their manifestations. Rather than depicting gods as distant creators, these myths present deities as active participants in cosmic maintenance. The Trimurti symbolizes creation, preservation, and destruction—three inseparable aspects of existence.

These myths articulate a vision of divinity that is pluralistic and dynamic. Multiple deities coexist, reflecting different dimensions of the same ultimate reality. Theogonic narratives thus reinforce India's philosophical inclusivity, where unity is expressed through multiplicity.

Anthropogonic Myths

Anthropogonic myths address the origin of humanity. The story of Manu surviving the great flood offers a powerful narrative of moral vigilance and renewal. Warned by divine intervention, Manu preserves life and re-establishes human civilization after cosmic destruction. Comparable to flood myths worldwide, this narrative emphasizes ethical responsibility and continuity rather than divine punishment alone.

Indian anthropogonic myths consistently associate human origin with moral obligation. Humanity is not portrayed as accidental but as entrusted with maintaining cosmic balance through righteous action (*dharma*).

Heroic Myths

Heroic myths occupy a central place in Indian culture. Figures such as Rama and Krishna serve not merely as legendary heroes but as moral and spiritual exemplars. Rama embodies duty, sacrifice, and ideal kingship, while Krishna represents divine wisdom, playfulness, and philosophical guidance.

Unlike simplistic heroic traditions, Indian heroic myths frequently dramatize ethical ambiguity. Characters struggle with conflicting responsibilities, illustrating that *dharma* is situational rather than absolute. The Mahabharata presents moral complexity where righteousness must be discerned amid competing claims. These narratives encourage reflective ethics rather than rigid moralism.

Etiological Myths

Etiological myths explain the origins of rituals, customs, and natural phenomena. The myth of *Samudra Manthan* (churning of the ocean) exemplifies this category. Through cooperative effort between gods and demons, both nectar and poison emerge, symbolizing that creation involves struggle and duality. This myth explains the origin of sacred substances while also conveying profound philosophical insight: spiritual progress arises through disciplined effort and the reconciliation of opposites. Such myths connect everyday practices with cosmic significance, ensuring that ritual actions are perceived as extensions of sacred history.

Eschatological Myths

Eschatological myths concern cosmic endings and renewal. The prophecy of Kalki, the final avatar of Vishnu, expresses hope for moral restoration at the end of the present age. However, unlike linear apocalyptic traditions, Indian eschatology operates within a cyclical framework. Destruction is followed by regeneration, reinforcing the belief that cosmic order is self-renewing. These myths reflect a worldview in which decline is temporary and renewal inevitable, fostering spiritual resilience rather than fatalism.

Functions of Myth

Myth performs multiple interconnected functions in society, operating simultaneously at cosmic, social, psychological, ethical, and aesthetic levels. Rather than serving a single purpose, myth creates a comprehensive cultural framework through which human beings understand reality and locate themselves within it.

Ontological Function

At its most fundamental level, myth addresses questions of existence. Myths explain the origin of the universe, the nature of reality, and humanity's place within the cosmic order. Indian cosmogonic narratives—from the creation hymns of the Rigveda to the cyclical cosmology of the Puranas—present existence as sacred and meaningful rather than accidental. Through such narratives, myth offers metaphysical orientation, helping individuals perceive life as part of a larger spiritual continuum. This ontological dimension gives coherence to human experience.

Ritual Function

Myths provide the sacred foundation for ritual practices. Festivals such as Diwali, Holi, and Durga Puja are not merely social celebrations; they reenact mythic events and symbolically transport participants into sacred time. As Mircea Eliade explains, ritual allows believers to return to primordial moments and relive divine actions. In Indian culture, myth and ritual are inseparable: worship, pilgrimage, fasting, and seasonal festivals derive their authority from mythic precedent. Through ritual participation, communities reaffirm collective identity while individuals experience spiritual renewal.

Ethical Function

Myth serves as a moral guide by dramatizing ethical dilemmas through narrative rather than abstract doctrine. The Bhagavad Gita, embedded within the Mahabharata, exemplifies this function by presenting Arjuna's inner conflict on the battlefield and Krishna's philosophical counsel. Ethical principles such as dharma, duty, compassion, and detachment are conveyed through lived situations rather than theoretical instruction. This narrative approach makes moral reflection emotionally resonant and accessible, allowing readers and listeners to internalize values through identification with mythic characters.

Psychological Function

From a psychological perspective, myth helps individuals confront anxiety, suffering, and mortality. Beliefs in karma and rebirth provide continuity beyond a single lifetime, offering reassurance that actions have meaning and that existence extends beyond physical death. Joseph Campbell's theory of archetypes suggests that mythic narratives mirror inner psychological processes. Indian mythology, with its recurring themes of exile, struggle, sacrifice, and liberation, reflects universal emotional patterns. Myth thus functions as a symbolic language of the unconscious, guiding individuals through life's transitions and crises.

Aesthetic Function

Myth profoundly influences artistic expression. Indian sculpture, painting, dance, music, and literature draw extensively upon mythic themes. Temple carvings visually narrate epic episodes, classical dance forms dramatize divine stories, and traditional theatre transform sacred narratives into aesthetic experience. Natyashastra explicitly links art with spiritual education through the concept of *rasa*, suggesting that aesthetic pleasure can lead to moral and emotional refinement. In modern times, cinema and popular literature continue to reinterpret mythic motifs, demonstrating myth's enduring creative vitality.

Socio-political Function

Myths also play a crucial role in shaping social and political ideals. They legitimize authority, reinforce social norms, and provide models of ideal governance. The concept of *Ramrajya* symbolizes a just and harmonious society governed by righteousness. Historically, rulers often traced their lineage to divine figures to strengthen political legitimacy. At the same time, myth can be mobilized to challenge injustice, serving both conservative and reformist purposes within society.

Indian mythology uniquely integrates these ontological, ritual, ethical, psychological, aesthetic, and socio-political functions into a coherent worldview. Rather than compartmentalizing religion, art, philosophy, and social life, Indian culture allows myth to permeate all spheres of existence. This holistic integration demonstrates myth's comprehensive cultural role.

Cross-Cultural Resonances

Comparative mythology reveals striking archetypal similarities across civilizations—Manu and Noah, Rama and Odysseus—supporting Joseph Campbell’s concept of universal mythic patterns (1949). These parallels suggest shared psychological structures underlying human storytelling. However, Indian mythology remains distinct in important ways. Its emphasis on cyclical temporality contrasts with Western linear history, while its acceptance of multiple narrative versions reflects cultural pluralism. Indian myths also integrate philosophy more explicitly, merging narrative with metaphysical inquiry. Thus, while archetypal similarities exist, Indian mythology offers a uniquely inclusive and spiritually oriented mythic vision.

Myth in Contemporary India

In contemporary India, myth continues to shape public imagination. Television adaptations of epics reach millions, while modern writers reinterpret characters such as Sita and Draupadi through feminist and psychological perspectives. These reinterpretations affirm Wendy Doniger’s (1999) observation that Indian myth thrives through plurality rather than fixed authority.

At the same time, myth influences political rhetoric, ethical debates, and cultural identity. It functions both as a preserver of tradition and a catalyst for reinterpretation. Through this dynamic process, myth remains relevant in addressing modern concerns while retaining its sacred roots.

Conclusion

Myth is not a relic of primitive consciousness but a sophisticated cultural system integrating religion, psychology, philosophy, and aesthetics. Rooted in the Rigveda, Upanishads, Bhagavad Gita, Puranas, and Natyashastra, Indian mythology transcends storytelling to become an evolving epistemology

Its openness to reinterpretation ensures continuity without rigidity. In an age dominated by scientific rationality, myth continues to offer symbolic meaning, reminding humanity that life is not merely empirical but sacred. Indian mythology exemplifies how myth can remain philosophically profound and socially operative across millennia.

References

- Campbell, J. (1949). *The hero with a thousand faces*. Princeton University Press.
- Doniger, W. (1999). *The imagination of the self in Indian mythology*. Oxford University Press.
- Eliade, M. (1963). *Myth and reality*. Harper & Row.
- Lévi-Strauss, C. (1963). *Structural anthropology*. Basic Books.
- O’Flaherty, W. D. (1981). *The Rig Veda: An anthology*. Penguin Classics
- Zimmer, H. (1946). *Myths and symbols in Indian art and civilization*. Princeton University Press.

Copyright & License:



© Authors retain the copyright of this article. This work is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.