

Gandhi's Moral Compass for Mental Health: Satyagraha, Self-Reliance, and Psychological Resilience in Post-Pandemic Society

Daksh,

Research Scholar, Department of Political Science,

Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, Haryana, India.

Postal Address: House No. 3509 Part-3, Sector-15, Sonipat, Haryana, India, Pin Code; 131001

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Daksh, Department of Political Science, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, Haryana, India.

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in unprecedented mental health problems among adolescents and emerging adults that were revealing underlying failures of biomedical psychiatric models to identify pathology solely at individual neurobiology without ethical, spiritual, and social aspects of psychological wellbeing. In this paper, it is contended that the moral and political philosophy advanced by Mahatma Gandhi, namely: satya (truth-force), ahimsa (nonviolence), satyagraha (truth-force as ethical action), and swaraj (mental autonomy) is a highly applicable approach to explaining post-pandemic psychological resilience. With the main material Gandhian, the Collected Works, Hind swaraj, Young India sources, and interdisciplinary research of high impact in the areas of ethics, political psychology, and community health, the analysis shows that the main functional elements of moral agency, self-discipline and emotional regulation, and collective solidarity should be combined to achieve the complete recovery. Instead of prescriptive clinical advice, Gandhian ethics provides normative reorientation addressing psychological flourishing as something and cannot be separated with honest living, nonviolence, economic freedom and the devotion to social justice. The paper does recognize the structural constraints and compulsory integration of philosophical paradigms with evidence-based interventions, but offers future research purposes in comparative ethics, community health action, and education of moral development. It is a comprehensive approach that is beyond symptom control and it provides a way of holistic human development based on moral change and interpersonal recovery.

Keywords: Gandhian ethics; mental health resilience; satyagraha; psychological autonomy; community healing

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic led to an unprecedented mental health crisis in adolescents and emergent adults, and the rates of anxiety and depression have risen by 25 percent within the first year of the pandemic (World Health Organization, 2022). Over 30 percent of adolescents in the US have reported poor mental health during

lockdowns and longitudinal data has shown that these improvements continue to rise even in the post-pandemic period (Kapadia, 2024). Mental illness has emerged as one of the causes of health burden in the world, and the majority of psychiatric disorders develop earlier than 25 years of age, but traditional Western techniques cannot be used effectively, especially concerning non-Western populations who are also incorporated into various ethical and spiritual practices (McGorry et al., 2025). Modern literature is now ready to admit that biomedical models, which focus on the reduction of symptoms and an individual pathology, cannot be effective without considering meaning-making, spiritual practices, and patient resilience rooted in emphasis on community (Halldorsdottir, 2025).

Mahatma Gandhi can be viewed as a very different way of analysing psychological wellbeing. Instead of the idea of mental health existing as a part of a personal neurobiology, Gandhian philosophy puts resilience in the context of relations between moral, social, and spiritual realms. His twofold adherence to satya (truth-force) and ahimsa (nonviolence), which is implemented by satyagraha (truth-force as ethical action) and swaraj (self-rule), is a model of analysing the phenomenon of psychological integrity that has its foundation in ethical discipline, self-transformation, and collective duty (Tandon and Singh, 2013). In this paper, Gandhian principles are explored to inform the ways to become post-pandemic resilient through redefining mental health as primarily philosophical and ethical, rather than just clinical. The thesis is that the incorporation of the Gandhi moral vision that demands the pursuit of the truth, non-violent interaction, and dedication to the common good gives sufficient resources to the contemporary psychology of working with adolescent and emerging adult mental health.

Mental Health as Moral and Social Agency: A Gandhian Framework

Biomedical models of mental health though useful, tend to identify psychological suffering in the neurobiology of the individual thereby ignoring the ethical and social aspects of human flourishing. A Gandhian philosophy redefines mental wellbeing as inherently based on moral agency which is the ability to perceive what is true, to act in accord with integrity, self-discipline in the name of the common good. In the case of Gandhi, psychological stability develops as the result of the development of the satya (truth), ahimsa (nonviolence), and nirbhayata (fearlessness). This combination method is proved in the modern research: people having a high level of moral identity (the alignment of values and behaviors) have much higher emotional wellbeing, purpose, and self-esteem than people without moral clarity (Goering et al., 2024). Gandhi stressed the truth-seeking process needs to be rigorously examined about oneself and be meek: The truth-seeker must be dustier than the dust (Gandhi, 1966, p. 11). This meekness plays a psychological role of ant defensiveness and self-delusion, which is a pillar to mental health recovery.

The second pillar is self-discipline. Gandhi did not think ahimsa-nonviolence to be passive resistance but a form of active control of his impulses and fears and destructive desires. He wrote: A prayer which is heart cannot be doubted as the most powerful tool that a man has possessing to conquer cowardice and all other bad old habits (Gandhi, 1928, p. 420). The psychological studies support the point: self-control dimension, such as impulsivity inhibition, compulsivity control, and deliberate choice, is a predictor of strengthening a moral

decision and prosocial actions (Huang and Fang, 2024). Fearlessness in Gandhian terminologies did not mean that one had no fear but that he or she had moral strength to behave morally despite fear. Such reframing will change the perspective on mental health as the management of symptoms by individuals to the development of moral resilience: the capacity to maintain or rebuild integrity due to the moral complexity, distress, or disappointments (Barbosa et al., 2025). Accordingly, psychological strength is put in a Gandhian paradigm as something that cannot be discussed outside of moral uprightness, self-management, and adherence to truthful existence (Mandal and Tiwari, 2025; Iyer, 1986).

Satyagraha as Psychological Resilience

Conceptually translated as Satyagraha, which means to stick to the truth, is much more than a political activism or a non-violent resistance. Satyagraha to Gandhi was a form of self-change whereby people willingly face injustice by engaging in moral courage, emotional control, and self-denial. He described it in the following way: The doctrine came to signify showing the truth not by torturing the opponent, but one to oneself (Gandhi, 2019). Such reinterpretation brings about the deep psychological aspects of satyagraha. Instead of bringing violence outside, the satyagrahi turns the force back into himself - he is fighting his own fear, anger, and lust to take vengeance. It was identified as such by psychoanalyst Erik H. Erikson in his Pulitzer Prize-winning work who said: "I think the psychoanalytic method itself is somehow a kind of counterpart to your Satyagraha since it addresses the inner enemy without violence (Erikson, 1969, p. 387). The two approaches require a strict self-reflection and emotional assimilation of conflict.

The psychological foundation is courage. The modern study describes courage as a decision making process that entails significance in the risk evaluation and dedication to noble results (Chowkase et al., 2024). This is exactly what is required by Gandhi in his satyagraha: the positional determination to confront inequity without being immoral. Self-suffering is also of the utmost importance, not submission to violence done to oneself in a masochistic way, but readiness to endure violence instead of reflexing it. This is done to develop emotional controls in the form of patience and compassion. The studies prove that painful state that is marked by conscious response with a delay mindfulness and no impulsiveness in reaction is correlated with depressive, anxiety, and stress decrease, resilience, and self-control (Schnitker, 2012). According to Gandhi, the principles of self-satyagraha were patience, sympathy, and endurance (Tandon and Singh, 2013). This combination of courage, suffering, and patience results in moral resilience, i.e. the ability to regain integrity in the ethical decision-making process (Barbosa et al., 2025). Therefore, the psychic mechanism of satyagraha as an intentional, moral and emotional strength of dealing with hopelessness.

Self-Reliance (Swaraj) and Mental Autonomy

The meaning of swaraj, or "self-rule" in the language of Gandhi, was not the political independence, but the personal mastery of the desires, impulses, and compulsions of the outside world. At that, he insisted: Emancipate your own self...In your emancipation is the emancipation of India (Jha, 2025). This school of thought drew the difference between two levels swaraj as the political self-government and swaraj as

individual self-improvement- the latter being the core of glory of collective liberation (Iyer, 1986). More importantly, Gandhi understood autonomy using the term freedom not to desire, moral frailty, and reliance on others (Gandhi, 1909/2009). This is incredibly sounding in the mental health crisis currently experienced in the era of the addictive consumption and internet addiction.

Youth after the pandemic encounter unequal burdens on the materialistic necessities and the process of being algorithmic. Materialism is strongly associated with a lack of self-control, high anxiety, and obsessive purchasing in spite of the damages (Lekavičienė et al., 2022). At the same time, social media addiction is a methodical itching out of mental independence: sites use incentive variability (unlimited scroll) and circumvention of executive control (resulting in persons standing in the icons of loss of control, growth, and persistence despite damage) (Tabish, 2025). The qualitative research demonstrates that social media may also help to self-govern and develop authentic identity, however, it mostly contributes to the development of the compulsive and unintentional use of social media because of the feeling of missing out or peer pressure (West et al., 2025, p. 234). The Self-Determination Theory validates the fact that autonomy is a fundamental psychological need; in situations where social media fails to gratify, but fulfills autonomy, relatedness, and competence, mental distress will spread to adulthood (West et al., 2024). With this, Gandhian swaraj provides a philosophical antidote: mental health does entail an intentional practice of freedom, not only of materiality but also of digital feudalism by means of a conscious restraint of oneself and a conscious leadership of one's own life.

Community, Care, and Collective Healing

In the contemporary mental health discourse, the individual is often divorced, where pathology is found in the individual neurobiology at the expense of relational and social levels. The cost, as is shown in the post-pandemic data, is loneliness, which has become the main predictor of depression, anxiety, and suicidality, especially in disconnected youth. The atomization was essentially abandoned by Gandhi who insisted that psychology cannot be disillusioned of the care of the whole. His philosophical perspective of Sarvodaya, which translates to welfare of people, placed individual and social redemption as two inseparable elements: no one was able to redeem individual morals without redemption societal ones, and no one was able to create just state with no individual redemption (Desai, n.d.). It inspired this vision to be realized in communities of ashrams with emphasis on communal life, collective work and spirituality as the basis of social transformation (McLain, 2019).

More importantly, Gandhi could see the suffering, the suffering that he willingly took in service to a society, not as a burden, but as a resource, both physically and spiritually. The residents of Ashram were involved in common labor and the personal and social healing were blended. The communal collation defined social solidarity, communal dedication, and significant steadiness which was based on the interdependence among themselves instead of solitude and individualism.

This framework is confirmed by the current studies. High participation in community-engaged mental health programs proves to have a high rate of clinical gains on depression, anxiety, and PTSD; personal recovery; and less stigma (Chutiyami et al., 2025). In 1,889 participants affected by trauma but living in Rwanda, community-based social healing models were used to surpass mental distress and social functioning using collective narrative and peer support, which were also in tandem with Ubuntu philosophy (Jansen et al., 2024). These conclusions indicate that psychological resilience can be developed in connection with others, meaning-making, and responsibility shared between people these qualities which Gandhi practiced in his everyday life. The inclusion of the Gandhian ethics in community psychology provides a way out of atomization to collective healing.

Gandhian Ethics and Post-Pandemic Mental Health Challenges

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused a mental health crisis across the world that is far beyond the acute infection. The World Health Organization reported that anxiety and depression prevalence in the world have risen by 25 percent during the initial year of the pandemic (World Health Organization, 2022). However, according to post-pandemic statistics, there are even more vulnerable groups: there were almost two times fewer depressive symptoms than anxiety symptoms as the leading psychiatric challenge among socioeconomically disadvantaged young adults, and loneliness continues to appear as a strong predictor of mental distress (Poštuvan et al., 2024). The healthcare workers, who had moral witness to avoidable suffering, became the victims of moral injury: the psychological disorder that appeared when people violate their significant moral principles or even see that the institutions cannot protect them (D'Alessandro et al., 2022). More importantly, moral injury is not burnout, but it is based on moral betrayal and the lack of organizational support, but not workload (Sorina et al., 2025).

Traditional psychiatric models, which focus on managing the symptoms individually, is not effective. In Gandhian ethics, mental health is redefined as it cannot be separated in terms of moral agency and accountability to the community and a true life. Instead of clinical prescriptions, Gandhian thought dynamics propose normative prescription: people, who suffered a moral injury, need not medicine but moral re-invention, recognition of moral struggle, communal confirmation and meaningful occupation. Mahajan and Mahajan (2021) are of the opinion that the domains that clinical management is unable to cover, such as dignity, purposefulness, and social integration, can be approached through psychiatric rehabilitation based on the same principles of Gandhian: advocacy, mobilizing the community, and vocational activities. The non-violent principle, self-discipline, honest self-examination, and reconciliation with the community in Gandhi are consistent with current studies that depict that meaning-making, social connection, and meaningful work have a protective effect on depression, anxiety, and suicidality.

As far as post-pandemic societies are concerned the task is integration psychological strength needs not only curing symptoms but also curing ethical leakage, social disintegration and spiritual disenchantment. The Gandhian ethics further clarifies that the process of true recovery requires individual responsibility to find the truth, structural responsibility to help moral values, and general responsibility to uphold justice and

community. Community restoration, purposeful living and ethical redirection brought about by this threefold combination of ethical orientation is what leads to mental wellbeing and not medical treatment.

Limitations and Critical Reflections

Although the Gandhian ethics is potentially a rich source of philosophical resources, its use in modern mental health practice must pay some credible attention to major limitations. To begin with, structural economic realities restrict the capability to scale. According to critics, the concept of localism and self-reliance (as championed by Gandhi) is most effective as moral principles but in the firm and highly globalized economies where nations rely on interdependent systems. His denunciation of industrialization, even though an environmental and spiritual issue, provides inadequate policy structures to account poverty and inequalities in the developing countries in the continent where there are high populations.

Second, the concept of non-violence when considered universal is limited. The structure of Gandhi assumes the possession of moral conscience among opponents; in applied measures of the totalitarian governments or individuals acting beyond the ethics, it may not be the useful usage of non-violence (Klitgaard, 1971). Also, the focus on localism and the value of tradition should pose a threat to the strengthening of caste and gender hierarchies instead of abolishing them (Jha, 2025).

Third, philosophical models should not stand on evidence-based psychiatric intervention but instead add to it. Although philosophical inquiry supports the clinicians by adding to their knowledge base concerning the ethical aspects of mental health, it does not replace drugs, psychotherapy, or structures (Stein, 2022). The danger of epistemic injustice arises, i.e. the failure of abstractions of philosophy to admit the concrete ties of poor populations and their real needs.

Lastly, it is always hard to strike a balance between the Gandhian idealism and human imperfection and political realities. It needs real practice wherein such tensions are contextualised and nuanced to avoid idealization.

Conclusion

The paper has discussed how the Gandhian philosophy with his satya, ahimsa, satyagraha and swaraj forms a strong ethic base to the study of post-pandemic psychological resiliency. In contrast to the biomedical models that perceive the pathology in the field of neurobiology of an individual, in the Gandhian philosophy, the wellbeing of the mind is observed to arise as a result of a moral life, honesty, discipline, and corporate responsibility. Combining internal change with social responsibility provides normative advice to populations that are anxious, burned out, lonely, or morally injured- The aspects of clinical psychiatry do not suffice to adequately cover.

Gandhian ethics does not outlive its time as dogma but re-emerges as a philosophic guide: a re-orientation in terms of psychological strength, which is based on moral bravery, purpose, and ethical lucidity. However, the

only way to practice it is by making it contextual, considering economic limitations, cultural peculiarity, and supplementation of evidence-based interventions.

There are 3 directions that the future studies would take: (1) Comparative ethics studies research on how ethno-spiritual perspectives on resilience and autonomy within different philosophical traditions (Gandhian, Buddhist, Ubuntu, Confucian) are understood; (2) Community health implementation research employing ethical-spiritual insights to structure the mental health system and implement them in the form of policies; (3) Developmental research on the cultural formation of moral agency and self-discipline in adolescents via education. Incorporated ethical-spiritual aspect and clinical care will provide an alternative solution to the current practice, which only manages the symptoms and prevents them, rather than providing holistic human flourishing.

Reference:

Barbosa, M. D. S., Lemos, A. S., Pereira, L. A., Lanes, T. C., Disconsi, F. M., Pompeu, K. D. C., Carvalho, J. L., & Dalmolin, G. L. (2025). Strategies for the development of moral resilience: Voices of oncology nurses. *Revista Brasileira de Enfermagem*, 78(2), e20240210. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167-2024-0210>

Chowkase, A. A., Parra-Martínez, F. A., Ghahremani, M., Bernstein, Z., Finora, G., & Sternberg, R. J. (2024). Dual-process model of courage. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, Article 1376195. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1376195>

Chutiyami, M., Cutler, N., Sangon, S., Thaweekoon, T., Nintachan, P., Napa, W., Kraithaworn, P., & River, J. (2025). Community-engaged mental health and wellbeing initiatives in under-resourced settings: A scoping review of primary studies. *Journal of Primary Care & Community Health*, 16, 21501319251332723. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21501319251332723>

D'Alessandro, A. M., Ritchie, K., McCabe, R. E., Lanius, R. A., Heber, A., Smith, P., Malain, A., Schielke, H., O'Connor, C., Hosseiny, F., Rodrigues, S., & McKinnon, M. C. (2022). Healthcare workers and COVID-19-related moral injury: An interpersonally focused approach informed by PTSD. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12, Article 784523. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.784523>

Desai, C. (n.d.). *Gandhi's concept of social welfare*. Gandhi Manibhavan – Gandhi Sangrahalaya. <https://www.gandhi-manibhavan.org/educational-resources/gandhi%27s-concept-of-social-welfare.html>

Erikson, E. H. (1969). *Gandhi's truth: On the origins of militant nonviolence*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Gandhi, M. K. (1910). Letter to Maganlal Gandhi. In *Collected works of Mahatma Gandhi* (Vol. 10, pp. 206–207). Publications Division, Ministry of Information & Broadcasting, Government of India.

Gandhi, M. K. (1919). Satyagraha: Definition and spiritual foundation. *Young India*. In *Satyagraha* (Commemorative vol., p. 25). Publications Division, Ministry of Information & Broadcasting.

Gandhi, M. K. (1928, December 20). A heartfelt prayer is undoubtedly the most potent instrument that man possesses for overcoming cowardice. *Young India*, 420.

Gandhi, M. K. (1966). *The story of my experiments with truth* (M. Desai, Trans.). Beacon Press. (Original work published 1927)

Gandhi, M. K. (1909/2009). *Hind swaraj or Indian home rule*. Navajivan Publishing House.

Gandhi, M. K. (2019). *The story of my experiments with truth: An autobiography* (Deluxe hardbound ed.). Fingerprint! Publishing.

Goering, M., Beatty, R. E., Reiter-Palmon, R., & Proulx, M. H. (2024). Moral identity in relation to emotional well-being: A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, Article 1346732. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1346732>

Halldorsdottir, T. (2025). Youth mental health crisis: A translational view of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Neurobiology of Stress*, 37, Article 100738. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2025.100738>

Huang, M., & Fang, S. (2024). Mechanisms of self-control in the influence of moral elevation on prosocial behavior: An experimental study. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 17, 537–552. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S446186>

Iyer, R. N. (1986). *The moral and political thought of Mahatma Gandhi* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Jansen, S., Niyonzima, J. B., Gerbarg, P., Brown, R. P., Nsengiyumva, A., Niyonsenga, J., & Nsabimana, E. (2024). Evaluating effects of community-based social healing model on Ubuntu, mental health and psychosocial functioning in post-genocide Rwanda: Protocol for cluster randomized control trial. *Trials*, 25(1), 773. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-024-08632-6>

Jha, M. K. (2025). Gandhian conception of swaraj and its post-independence reinterpretations by Jayaprakash Narayan and Rammanohar Lohia. *Araucaria: Revista Iberoamericana de Filosofía, Política, Humanidades y Relaciones Internacionales*, 27(58), 439–462. <https://doi.org/10.12795/araucaria.2025.i58.20>

Kapadia, F. (2024). Adolescent mental health and well-being: A public health of consequence. *American Journal of Public Health*, 114(2), 158–160. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2023.307543>

Klitgaard, R. E. (1971). Gandhi's non-violence as a tactic. *Journal of Peace Research*, 8(2), 103–119. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002234337100800203>

Lekavičienė, R., Antinienė, D., Nikou, S., Rūtelionė, A., Šeinauskienė, B., & Vaičiukynaitė, E. (2022). Reducing consumer materialism and compulsive buying through emotional intelligence training amongst Lithuanian students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 932395. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.932395>

Mahajan, S., & Mahajan, S. (2021). Gandhian thought and mental health: A critique. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 63(1), 88–90. https://doi.org/10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry_23_20

McGorry, P., Gunasiri, H., Mei, C., Rice, S., & Gao, C. X. (2025). The youth mental health crisis: Analysis and solutions. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 15, Article 1517533. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2024.1517533>

McLain, K. (2019). Gandhi's ashrams: Residential experiments for universal well-being in South Africa and India. *Utopian Studies*, 30(3), 462–485. <https://doi.org/10.5325/utopianstudies.30.3.0462>

Poštuvan, V., Krohne, N., Lavrič, M., Gomboc, V., De Leo, D., & Rojs, L. (2024). A lonelier world after COVID-19: Longitudinal population-based study of well-being, emotional and social loneliness, and suicidal behaviour in Slovenia. *Medicina*, 60(2), 312. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina60020312>

Schnitker, S. A. (2012). An examination of patience and well-being. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 7(4), 263–280. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2012.697185>

Sherman, T. C. (2016). A Gandhian answer to the threat of communism? Sarvodaya and postcolonial nationalism in India. *Indian Economic and Social History Review*, 53(2), 249–270. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00194646166634875>

Sorina, E., Diana, S., Cosoroabă, R. M., Zara, F., Novacescu, D., Dumitru, C. S., Patrascu, R., & Enache, A. (2025). Burnout and moral injury in healthcare workers: An observational study in a Romanian chronic care hospital. *Healthcare*, 13(18), 2278. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare13182278>

Stein, D. J. (2022). Philosophy and psychiatry: Problems of clinicians and problems of life. *Revista Brasileira de Psiquiatria*, 44(3), 227–228. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-4446-2021-0033>

Tabish, S. A. (2025). From evolution to obsession: Understanding digital addiction among youth in the modern age. *American Journal of Health Research*, 13(4), 248–258. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajhr.20251304.17>

Tandon, A., & Singh, V. K. (2013). Impact of Mahatma Gandhi's concepts on mental health: Reflections. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 55(Suppl. 2), S231–S234. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.105540>

West, M., Rice, S., & Vella-Brodrick, D. (2024). Adolescent social media use through a self-determination theory lens: A systematic scoping review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 21(7), 862. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph21070862>

West, M., Rice, S., & Vella-Brodrick, D. (2025). Mid-adolescents' social media use: Supporting and suppressing autonomy. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 40(2), 448–482. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07435584231168402>

World Health Organization. (2022, March 2). *COVID-19 pandemic triggers 25% increase in prevalence of anxiety and depression worldwide* [News release]. <https://www.who.int/news/item/02-03-2022-covid-19-pandemic-triggers-25-increase-in-prevalence-of-anxiety-and-depression-worldwide>

