Microsphere Technology in Drug Delivery: Advances, Challenges and Future Perspectives

[1]Priyanka D. Manmode, [2]Ajit S. Jagdale, [3]Pratiksha N. Ladhane, [4]Nikita A. Nalage

[1]Assistant Professor, [2]B Pharm. Student, [3]B Pharm. Student, [4]B Pharm. Student

HSBPVT GOI College of Pharmacy Ahilyanagar Maharashtra

ABSTRACT

Microsphere technology has emerged as a transformative platform in drug delivery, offering precise control over drug release, enhanced bioavailability, and reduced systemic side effects. This review provides a comprehensive analysis of microsphere systems, focusing on their classification, preparation techniques, and therapeutic applications. Various types of microspheres including bioadhesive, magnetic, floating, radioactive, and polymeric are explored in terms of their structural attributes and functional advantages. Preparation methods such as solvent evaporation, ionic gelation, spray drying, and emulsion based techniques are critically evaluated for their suitability in encapsulating diverse drug molecules. The review highlights recent advancements in stimuli-responsive and biodegradable microspheres, emphasizing their role in targeted and sustained drug delivery. Applications span across vaccine delivery, cancer therapy, gene delivery, and gastroretentive systems. Despite their promise, challenges such as scalability, stability, regulatory hurdles, and biocompatibility remain significant barriers to clinical translation. The article also discusses future perspectives, including the integration of microspheres with nanotechnology and personalized medicine approaches. By synthesizing current developments and identifying key limitations, this review underscores the potential of microsphere based systems to revolutionize therapeutic strategies and paves the way for next generation drug delivery innovations.

KEYWORDS: - Microspheres, Controlled Drug Delivery, Polymeric Carriers, Biodegradable Polymers, Targeted Delivery Systems, Preparation Techniques, Nanotechnology Integration.

INTRODUCTION

Microsphere technology represents a significant advancement in the field of drug delivery, providing enhanced control over drug release rates, improving therapeutic efficacy, and minimizing side effects. Microspheres, typically comprised of proteins or synthetic polymers, offer unique benefits such as biocompatibility, efficient drug encapsulation, and sustained drug release (Desnita et al., 2023). These attributes make them ideal carriers for a variety of therapeutic agents, enabling targeted delivery and reducing risks to non-target tissues (Das et al., 2019). In therapeutic applications, microspheres have been effectively used across various delivery routes including oral, parenteral, and ocular, among others. Their ability to deliver drugs in a controlled manner is particularly crucial in chronic conditions requiring long term medication administration (Ciofani et al., 2007). For instance, rifampin loaded microspheres have shown promise in targeting Mycobacterium tuberculosis infected macrophages, highlighting the potential of microspheres in enhancing the efficacy of anti-tubercular therapies (Barrow et al., 1998). This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of recent advancements in microsphere technology, focusing on their synthesis, characterization, and application in controlled drug delivery. By examining the latest developments, this review seeks to elucidate the potential of microspheres in revolutionizing therapeutic strategies while addressing existing challenges such as manufacturing scalability and delivery precision (Varde & Pack, 2004).

FUNDAMENTALS OF MICROSPHERE TECHNOLOGY

Definition

Microsphere technology refers to the creation of tiny spherical particles, typically ranging from 1 to 1000 micrometers in size. These microspheres can encapsulate various substances, including drugs, enzymes, and nutrients, and find applications across multiple fields such as medicine, pharmaceuticals, agriculture, and cosmetics.

Key Characteristics of Microsphere Technology

- Composition
- Size and Shape
- Encapsulation Efficiency
- Biocompatibility
- Versatility in Applications
- Manufacturing Techniques
- Release Mechanisms
- Targeted Delivery Capabilities (Zhang et al., 2020; Barrow et al., 1998; Rajput & Agrawal, 2010).

Types of microspheres

1. Bioadhesive/Mucoadhesive Microspheres

This class is designed for enhanced absorption and prolonged contact with biological surfaces. Adhesion (or bioadhesion) is achieved by using the adhesive properties of water-soluble polymers to stick the DDS to a mucosal membrane (e.g., buccal, ocular, rectal, nasal). These microspheres exhibit a longer residence time at the application site, resulting in close interaction with the absorption site and thus producing improved therapeutic action.

2. Magnetic Microspheres

These systems facilitate highly localized, targeted drug delivery to specific disease sites. They incorporate magnetic carriers like chitosan or dextran, which receive magnetic responses to a magnetic field from incorporated materials. This allows a smaller amount of magnetically targeted drug to replace a larger amount of freely circulating drug.

• Sub-types and Application:

◦ Therapeutic Magnetic Microspheres: Used to deliver chemotherapeutic agents to liver tumors; drugs like proteins and peptides can also be targeted.

◦ Diagnostic Microspheres: Used for imaging liver metastases and distinguishing bowel loops from other abdominal structures by forming nano size particles of supra magnetic iron oxides.

3. Floating Microspheres (Gastroretentive Systems)

These microspheres are engineered to increase drug residence time in the stomach. Their bulk density is lower than that of gastric fluid, enabling them to float in the stomach without impacting the gastric emptying rate. While floating on the gastric contents, the drug is released slowly and at the desired rate, which increases gastric residence, reduces plasma concentration variability, and minimizes the chances of striking and dose dumping. This produces a prolonged therapeutic effect, reducing dosing frequencies.

4. Radioactive Microspheres

These radiolabeled systems are primarily used in therapy, such as Radioembolization, for localized radiation treatment of tumors. Sized, they are larger than capillaries and are tapped in the first capillary bed they encounter when inserted into the arteries leading to a tumor, delivering a high dose of radiation to the target areas without affecting normal surrounding tissues.

5. Polymeric Microspheres

This classification depends on the source and biodegradability of the polymer, critically affecting drug release characteristics.

•Biodegradable Polymeric Microspheres: - These often utilize natural polymers like starch because they are biodegradable, biocompatible, and bioadhesive. Their high degree of swelling property in aqueous medium prolongs the residence time when in contact with mucous membranes, resulting in gel formation. The concentration of the polymer and the sustained release pattern regulate the rate and degree of drug release. The main drawback is that drug loading efficiency is complex in clinical use, making drug release difficult to control.

• Synthetic Polymeric Microspheres: - These are widely used clinically as bulking agents, fillers, embolic particles, and drug delivery vehicles and have been shown to be safe and biocompatible. The primary concern is their tendency to move away from the injection site, posing a risk of embolism and further organ damage (Sailaja & Anusha 2017; Raj et al., 2021).

TYPES OF POLYMERS

1. Synthetic Polymers

Synthetic polymers are chemical compounds manufactured by humans. They are further divided based on their biodegradability:

A. Non-Biodegradable Polymers: - These polymers do not easily break down in the body. Specific examples of non-biodegradable synthetic polymers include: Acrolein, Glycidyl methacrylate, Epoxy polymers, Poly methyl methacrylate (PMMA).

B. Biodegradable Polymers

These synthetic polymers are designed to degrade into non-toxic components that the body can readily eliminate. Specific examples of biodegradable synthetic polymers include: - Lactides and Glycolides and their copolymers (e.g., poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid), Poly alkyl cyano acrylates, Poly anhydrides, Poly-ε-caprolactone (PCL).

2. Natural Polymers

Natural polymers are obtained from biological sources, including modified natural products. They are generally classified by their source:

A. Proteins: -Proteins commonly used as natural polymers include: Albumin, Gelatin, Collagen.

B. Carbohydrates

Carbohydrates utilized as natural polymers include: Starch, Agarose, Carrageenan, Chitosan.

C. Chemically Modified Carbohydrates

These are natural polymers that have been chemically altered: - Poly acryl dextran (Poly dextran), Poly acryl starch (Poly starch) (Walia et al., 2021; Bansal et al., 2011).

METHOD OF PREPARATION

1. Single Emulsion Technique: - This method is primarily employed for preparing microparticulate carriers using natural polymers, such as proteins and carbohydrates. The process involves dissolving or dispersing the polymer in an aqueous medium and subsequently dispersing this solution into a non aqueous medium, like oil, thereby creating a water-in-oil emulsion. Stabilization requires cross-linking, which can be achieved through two methods: using heat (by adding the dispersion to previously heated oil) or using chemical cross-linkers (such as glutaraldehyde, formaldehyde, or diacid chloride). Heat denaturation, however, is not suitable for thermolabile drugs, and chemical cross-linking carries the disadvantage of potentially exposing the active ingredient excessively to chemicals if added during preparation.

2. Double Emulsion Technique (W/O/W): - This method involves the formation of multiple emulsions, specifically the water-in-oil-in-water type and is considered best suited for water soluble drugs, peptides, proteins, and vaccines. Both natural and synthetic polymers can be used. The process starts by dispersing the aqueous drug solution (which may contain the active constituent) in a lipophilic organic continuous phase, which usually contains the polymer solution. This primary emulsion is then subjected to homogenization or sonication before being added to an aqueous solution of Poly Vinyl Alcohol (PVA), which forms the double emulsion. The final step involves solvent removal, accomplished either through solvent evaporation (by stirring the emulsion or maintaining it at reduced pressure) or by solvent extraction (adding the emulsion to a large quantity of water). Hydrophilic drugs like LH-RH agonists, vaccines, and protein/peptides have been successfully incorporated using this method.

3. Polymerization Techniques: - Polymerization techniques are generally classified into Normal polymerization and Interfacial polymerization.

• **Normal Polymerization: -** This category includes bulk, suspension, emulsion, and micellar polymerization. In bulk polymerization, a monomer or mixture of monomers is usually heated with an initiator to begin the process, allowing for the formation of a pure polymer. However, heat dissipation is difficult, which can adversely affect thermolabile active ingredients. Suspension and emulsion polymerization are carried out at lower temperatures, often using water as the continuous external phase for easy heat dissipation.

• **Interfacial Polymerization: -** This technique involves the reaction of monomers exactly at the interface between two immiscible liquid phases, forming a polymer film that envelops the dispersed phase. If the formed polymer is soluble in the emulsion droplet, a monolithic carrier is formed; if insoluble, a capsular (reservoir) type carrier is formed. This method is not widely used due to drawbacks like toxicity from unreacted monomers, high film permeability, and the fragility of microcapsules (Gurung & Kakar, 2020).

4. Phase Separation Coacervation Technique: - Is founded on reducing the polymer's solubility within the organic phase, which causes the formation of a polymer-rich phase known as coacervates. The process involves dispersing drug particles in a polymer solution, followed by the addition of an incompatible polymer to the system. This prompts the first polymer to phase separate and engulf the drug particles. Subsequent addition of a non-solvent solidifies the polymer. Controlling the process variables is critical because the rate at which coacervates are achieved determines the distribution of the polymer film, particle size, and the avoidance of agglomeration.

5. Spray Drying and Spray Congealing: - In spray drying, the polymer is initially dissolved in a suitable volatile organic solvent, such as dichloromethane or acetone. The solid drug is then dispersed into this polymer solution using high-speed homogenization. This dispersion is subsequently atomized into a stream of hot air, generating small droplets or a fine mist. The solvent evaporates instantaneously from these droplets, leading to the formation of microspheres usually sized between. The microparticles are then separated from the hot air using a cyclone separator, and any residual solvent traces are removed through vacuum drying. A major advantage of this technique is the feasibility of operation under aseptic conditions, and the process is rapid. However, very rapid solvent evaporation can result in the formation of porous microparticles. Spray congealing is similar but relies on cooling the solution, rather than solvent removal, to solidify the polymer (Sahil et al., 2011).

6. Emulsion Crosslinking Method: - This procedure utilizes the reactive functional group of polymers to crosslink with the aldehyde group of cross-linking agents. An aqueous polymer solution is emulsified in an oily phase, yielding a water-in-oil emulsion. A suitable sulphosuccinate is used to stabilize the aqueous droplets. To harden the droplets, a cross-linker such as glutaraldehyde is added to the stable emulsion. The resulting microspheres are filtered and washed repeatedly with hexane or petroleum ether to eliminate oil residues, then washed with water to remove the cross-linker, and finally dried at room temperature for 24 hours.

7. Solvent Evaporation Technique: - The solvent evaporation process is performed in a liquid production vehicle. The microcapsule coating polymer is dispersed using a volatile solvent that does not mix with the liquid stage of the manufacturing process. The core material (drug) is dissolved or dispersed in the polymer coating solution with agitation. The mixture is spread during the vehicle's liquid production process to achieve the appropriate microcapsule size, and the combination may be heated, if possible, to evaporate the solvent. If the polymer dispersion of the primary material is used in the polymer solution, the polymer shrinks around the core; if the core material is dissolved, a matrix type microcapsule is formed. This method is suitable for both water-soluble and water-insoluble core materials and results in either aqueous or non-aqueous formations.

8. Ionic Gelation Method: - The Ionic Gelation Method has been used to create alginate/chitosan particulate systems for drug release, such as diclofenac sodium. In this stage, the medication is mixed with an aqueous sodium alginate solution. The stirring continues while the or solution is added drop by drop to ensure a complete solution. To achieve internal jellification, the microspheres are left in the original solution for 24 hours before they are filtered and separated (Walia et al., 2021).

ADVANCES IN MICROSPHERE TECHNOLOGY FOR DRUG DELIVERY

1. Controlled Release Systems: - Polymeric microspheres are designed to release drugs at controlled rates over prolonged periods, enhancing patient compliance by providing sustained therapeutic levels. These systems protect fragile drugs and are used for single-shot vaccines, plasmid DNA, and proteins (Varde &Pack, 2004; Edlund & Albertsson, 2002).

2.Targeted Drug Delivery: - Microspheres can focus drug delivery to specific tissues, minimizing systemic exposure and side effects. Techniques involve encapsulating drugs in polymeric membranes for precise delivery, which is crucial for applications in gene therapy and diagnostics (Das et al., 2019).

3. Stimuli-Responsive Microspheres: - These systems release drugs in response to stimuli like pH or temperature changes. Intelligent biomaterials enable smart drug delivery by reacting to specific environmental triggers, enhancing delivery efficiency (Adepu & Ramakrishna, 2021).

4.Biodegradable Microspheres: - Made from polymers like PLA and PLGA, these microspheres degrade in the body, releasing drugs continuously as the matrix erodes. This property is essential for sustained release with minimal side effects and is used in various clinical applications, including antitumor therapies (Okada & Toguchi, 1995; Hyon, 2000).

APPLICATIONS IN VARIOUS THERAPEUTIC AREAS

a. Administration of vaccines

b. Therapeutic use of monoclonal antibodies

c. Application of porous microspheres on the skin

d. Controlled-release systems with gastric retention

e. Diagnostic imaging techniques

f. Intranasal drug administration

g. Oral route for medication delivery

h. Precision-based drug targeting

i. Uses in biomedical engineering and healthcare (Bansal et al., 2011).

CHALLENGES IN MICROSPHERE BASED DRUG DELIVERY

1. Stability Issues: - Ensuring the stability of microspheres is crucial as they need to maintain structural integrity and effectiveness under physiological conditions. They must resist degradation due to environmental factors like temperature and pH, which could adversely affect the drug's release profile and efficacy. Ensuring that the encapsulated drugs remain stable and effective over time is essential for successful therapy (Yu et al., 2025).

2. Scalability and Manufacturing: - Transitioning from laboratory scale production to industrial scale manufacturing while maintaining quality and uniformity in microsphere production is a complex task. The precision required in controlling parameters such as size distribution and drug encapsulation efficiency often leads to challenges in ensuring consistent large scale production that is also cost-effective (Jacob et al., 2020).

3. Regulatory Concerns: - Navigating the regulatory landscape for microsphere based systems is challenging due to the detailed evaluation required for safety, efficacy, and quality. The regulatory process is often slow and costly, influenced by evolving guidelines that can complicate compliance, particularly for advanced materials involving nanotechnology (Bhat et al., 2024).

4. Biocompatibility and Toxicity: - While designed to be biocompatible, there is a risk of adverse reactions due to immune responses or unexpected toxicity from degradation products. Ensuring that all materials used are non-toxic and biocompatible requires rigorous testing and optimization, which is critical for patient safety and therapeutic effectiveness (Iravani & Varma, 2022; Bhat et al., 2024).

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

1. Emerging trends in microsphere technology: - Emerging trends in microsphere technology are increasingly focused on integrating with other drug delivery systems and exploiting the potential of nanotechnology to enhance their effectiveness. Microsphere technology is being refined to improve drug delivery and release characteristics, ensuring that medications reach their intended targets in a controlled manner. This is crucial for enhancing therapeutic outcomes and minimizing side effects (Jóhannesson et al., 2015).

2. Integration with other drug delivery systems: - The integration of microsphere technology with other drug delivery systems is a significant avenue being explored. For instance, nanotechnology offers an ideal platform for designing drug delivery systems at the nanoscale. Nanocarriers such as carbon nanotubes and silica nanoparticles are being engineered to receive targeted drug delivery, leading to improved therapeutic efficacy and reduced adverse effects (Formoso et al., 2015). Moreover, nanotechnology-

based drug delivery systems can integrate therapeutic and diagnostic functions, as in nanotheranostics, which can lead to more personalized and precise medical treatments (Kim et al., 2013).

3. Potential for personalized medicine: - There is also a significant potential for personalized medicine facilitated by nanotechnology and microsphere hybrids. Personalized medicine involves tailoring medical treatment to individual characteristics, including genetic and molecular profiling. Nanotechnology, through the development of nanomaterials capable of specific biological interactions, underpins many of these advances by allowing targeted therapy matched to a patient's unique profile (Zhang et al., 2012).

4. Nanotechnology and microsphere hybrids: - The combination of microsphere technology with nanotechnology offers a route toward highly efficient drug delivery platforms. These platforms can be engineered to increase bioavailability and decrease toxicity, thus revolutionizing medical treatments such as cancer therapy, where precision is crucial for success (Jain, 2005). By integrating these advanced technologies, there is a promising future for both improving existing therapies and developing new approaches that are more patient specific (Santos et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

Microsphere technology offers a promising frontier in drug delivery, enabling controlled, targeted, and sustained release of therapeutic agents. This review highlights the diverse types of microspheres: bioadhesive, magnetic, floating, radioactive, and polymeric and their preparation techniques, including emulsion, spray drying, and ionic gelation. Despite their advantages, challenges such as scalability, stability, regulatory compliance, and biocompatibility must be addressed for successful clinical application. Emerging innovations like stimuli-responsive systems and nanotechnology integration enhance precision and open pathways for personalized medicine. With continued research and interdisciplinary collaboration, microsphere-based platforms are poised to redefine therapeutic strategies, improve patient outcomes, and contribute significantly to the advancement of pharmaceutical sciences.

REFERENCES

1. Desnita, R., Noviana, E., Saifullah Sulaiman, T. N., & Zai, K. (2023). AN UP-TO-DATE REVIEW: MICROSPHERES AS A NEW DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM. *International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics*, 15(6), 82–89. https://doi.org/10.22159/ijap.2023v15i6.49120
2. Das, M. K., Ahmed, A. B., & Saha, D. (2019). MICROSPHERE A DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM–A REVIEW. *International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Research*,11(4), 34–41. https://doi.org/10.22159/ijcpr.2019v11i4.34941
3. Ciofani, G., Micera, S., Menciassi, A., Dario, P., & Raffa, V. (2007). A drug delivery system based on alginate microspheres: Mass-transport test and in vitro validation. *Biomedical Microdevices*, *9*(3), 395–403. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10544-006-9044-0
4. Barrow, E. L. W., Staas, J. K., Winchester, G. A., Quenelle, D. C., & Barrow, W. W. (1998). Use of microsphere technology for targeted delivery of rifampin to Mycobacterium tuberculosis-infected macrophages. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, *42*(10), 2682–2689. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.42.10.2682
5. Varde, N. K., & Pack, D. W. (2004). Microspheres for controlled release drug delivery. *Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy*, *4*(1), 35–51. https://doi.org/10.1517/14712598.4.1.35
6. Zhang, J., Wang, Y., Yang, A., Qu, Q., Wang, J., Huang, C., Lu, T., Zou, Y., & Li, F. (2020). Preparation of Single, Heteromorphic Microspheres, and Their Progress for Medical Applications. *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering*, *306*(2), 2000593. https://doi.org/10.1002/mame.202000593
7. Rajput, M., & Agrawal, P. (2010). Microspheres in cancer therapy. *Indian Journal of Cancer*, *47*(4), 458. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-509x.73547
8. Sailaja, A. K., & Anusha, K. (2017). Review on microspheres as a drug delivery carrier. *Int. J. Adv. Pharm*, 06(05), 96-102. https://doi.org/10.7439/ijap.v6i5.4152
9. Raj, H., Sharma, S., Sharma, A., Verma, K. K., & Chaudhary, A. (2021). A novel drug delivery system: Review on microspheres. *Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics*, *11*(2-S), 156-61. http://dx.doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v11i2-s.4792
10. Walia, S., Dua, J., & Prasad, D. (2021). A Novel Drug Delivery of Microspheres. *Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics*, *11*(6), 257-264. https://dx.doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v11i6.5059
11. Bansal, H., Kaur, S. P., & Gupta, A. K. (2011). Microspheres: methods of preparation and applications: A comparative study. Int J Pharm Sci Rev Res, 10(1), 69-78.
12. Gurung, B. D., & Kakar, S. (2020). An overview on microspheres. Int J Health Clin Res, 3(1), 11-24.
13. Sahil, K., Akanksha, M., Premjeet, S., Bilandi, A., & Kapoor, B. (2011). Microsphere: A review. *Int. J. Res. Pharm. Chem*, *1*(4), 1184-98.
14. Edlund, U., & Albertsson, A.-C. (2002). *Degradable Polymer Microspheres for Controlled Drug Delivery* (Vol. 157, pp. 67–112). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45734-8_3
15. Adepu, S., & Ramakrishna, S. (2021). Controlled Drug Delivery Systems: Current Status and Future Directions. *Molecules*, *26*(19), 5905. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26195905
16. Okada, H., & Toguchi, H. (1995). Biodegradable Microspheres in Drug Delivery. *Critical Reviews™ in Therapeutic Drug Carrier Systems*, *12*(1), 1–99. https://doi.org/10.1615/critrevtherdrugcarriersyst.v12.i1.10
17. Hyon, S. H. (2000). Biodegradable poly (lactic acid) microspheres for drug delivery systems. *Yonsei Medical Journal*, *41*(6), 720. https://doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2000.41.6.720

18. Yu, Q., Tian, Z., Li, G., Yang, Y., Chen, X., Wang, D., Peng, W., Liu, R., Gu, H., & Yue, X. (2025). Multifunctional composite capsules in drug delivery systems: bridging pharmaceutical and biomedical applications. *Advanced Composites and Hybrid Materials*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42114-024-01203-y
19. Jacob, S., Nair, A. B., & Shah, J. (2020). Emerging role of nanosuspensions in drug delivery systems. *Biomaterials Research*, *24*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40824-020-0184-8
20. Bhat, A., Ware, W. J., Yadav, P., Chand, S., Kakalij, P., & Malik, A. (2024). Mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles: Recent therapeutics and targeted drug delivery advances. *Journal of Extracellular Biology*, *3*(5). https://doi.org/10.1002/jex2.156
21. Iravani, S., & Varma, R. S. (2022). Advanced Drug Delivery Micro- and Nanosystems for Cardiovascular Diseases. *Molecules*, *27*(18), 5843. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27185843
22. Jóhannesson, G., Stefánsson, E., & Loftsson, T. (2015). *Microspheres and Nanotechnology for Drug Delivery* (Vol. 55, pp. 93–103). S Karger Ag. https://doi.org/10.1159/000434693
23. Formoso, P., Muzzalupo, R., Tavano, L., Pasquale Nicoletta, F., & De Filpo, G. (2015). Nanotechnology for the Environment and Medicine. *Mini-Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry*, *16*(8), 668–675. https://doi.org/10.2174/1389557515666150709105129
24. Kim, T. H., Lee, S., & Chen, X. (2013). Nanotheranostics for personalized medicine. *Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics*, *13*(3), 257–269. https://doi.org/10.1586/erm.13.15
25. Zhang, X.-Q., Xu, X., Bertrand, N., Pridgen, E., Swami, A., & Farokhzad, O. C. (2012). Interactions of nanomaterials and biological systems: Implications to personalized nanomedicine. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, *64*(13), 1363–1384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2012.08.005
26. Jain, K. K. (2005). Role of Nanobiotechnology in Developing Personalized Medicine for Cancer. *Technology in Cancer Research & Treatment*, *4*(6), 645–650. https://doi.org/10.1177/153303460500400608
27. Dos Santos, J., Velho, M. C., Da Silva, G. S., Beck, R. C. R., Konrad, M. V., De Oliveira, T. V., De Oliveira, R. S., & Deon, M. (2021). 3D Printing and Nanotechnology: A Multiscale Alliance in Personalized Medicine. *Advanced Functional Materials*, *31*(16), 2009691. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202009691