

Role of Homeopathy in Mucus-Fishing Syndrome — A Review

Dr. Virendra Chauhan¹, Dr. Pinky Saini², Dr. Goutami Choudhury³ Dr. Vikash Yadav⁴

¹H.O.D. Department of Practice Of Medicine, Dr. M.P.K. Homoeopathic Medical College, Hospital, and Research Center, Saipura, Sanganer, Jaipur

^{2,3,4} PG Scholar, Department of Practice Of Medicine, Dr. M.P.K. Homoeopathic Medical College, Hospital, and Research Center, Saipura, Sanganer, Jaipur

ABSTRACT

Mucus-Fishing Syndrome (MFS) is a self-perpetuating ocular surface disorder resulting from repetitive manual removal of mucus from the conjunctival sac, leading to chronic irritation and inflammation. The cycle of irritation and self-manipulation aggravates mucus production, perpetuating symptoms. Standard management includes identifying and treating underlying ocular surface disease and interrupting the mechanical behaviour. Homeopathy, an individualized therapeutic system, has been used for ocular ailments involving mucus discharge and conjunctival irritation. Remedies such as Euphrasia officinalis, Kali bichromicum, Hepar sulphuris calcareum, Pulsatilla nigricans, and Argentum nitricum are employed in clinical practice for mucous ocular conditions. However, scientific evidence for homeopathic management of MFS remains limited. This review discusses the clinical profile, diagnosis, conventional treatment, and potential role of homeopathic remedies in MFS.

INTRODUCTION

Mucus-Fishing Syndrome (MFS) is an under-recognized ocular condition in which patients develop a habitual tendency to manually extract mucus strands from the conjunctival fornix. This repeated mechanical trauma perpetuates inflammation, resulting in increased mucus production and a chronic self-reinforcing cycle.^{1,2}

Initially, an underlying irritant such as dry eye, allergic conjunctivitis, blepharitis, or meibomian gland dysfunction triggers mucus secretion. Patients, feeling discomfort from the mucus strands, attempt to remove them manually, leading to microtrauma and epithelial damage.³ This further stimulates goblet-cell hypersecretion, amplifying mucous discharge and ocular irritation.

The condition was first clearly described by McCulley and Shine in 1985¹ and has since been reported in multiple case series as an important cause of chronic conjunctivitis unresponsive to conventional therapy. Although widely recognized in ophthalmology, it is rarely reported in homeopathic or integrative literature.

- CLINICAL FEATURES
- The hallmark of MFS is a self-perpetuating mucus-extraction cycle. Typical symptoms include:
- Persistent mucoid or ropy ocular discharge.
- Foreign-body sensation, itching, and burning.
- Ocular redness and conjunctival hyperemia.

- Transient blurred vision due to mucus strands over the cornea.
- Constant urge to remove mucus manually ("fishing").

On examination, the conjunctiva appears inflamed, with epithelial erosions, papillary hypertrophy, and stringy mucus strands that reappear shortly after removal^{4,5}. Corneal staining with fluorescein may show punctate epithelial defects or filamentary keratitis in severe cases⁶. Chronic cases may show lichenified tarsal conjunctiva or secondary bacterial infection.

INVESTIGATION

Diagnosis of MFS is primarily clinical, based on history and slit-lamp findings. However, the following investigations help exclude differentials and underlying causes:

- 1. Slit-lamp biomicroscopy reveals mucus strands, epithelial abrasions, and papillary reaction⁵.
- 2. Fluorescein staining highlights corneal epithelial defects or areas of mechanical trauma⁶.
- 3. Tear-film breakup time (TBUT) and Schirmer's test evaluate associated dry eye disease⁷.
- 4. Microbiological testing indicated when purulent discharge suggests bacterial infection.
- 5. Psychological assessment may be necessary in patients exhibiting compulsive or repetitive behaviour, as MFS shares features with impulse-control disorders⁸.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

The clinician should consider and rule out the following conditions:

Allergic conjunctivitis – intense itching and seasonal recurrence.

Filamentary keratitis – filaments adhere to corneal surface; often secondary to dry eye.

Bacterial or viral conjunctivitis – purulent or watery discharge with contagious features.

Vernal keratoconjunctivitis – thick mucus discharge with giant papillae.

Foreign body or trichiasis – localized irritation due to lashes or debris.

Careful history of repetitive manual manipulation and characteristic mucous strands help differentiate MFS from these conditions^{3,5}.

Management

The cornerstone of treatment is to break the mucus-fishing cycle by treating both the underlying ocular condition and the compulsive behaviour.

1. Elimination of Underlying Cause

Allergic conjunctivitis – topical antihistamines and mast-cell stabilizers (olopatadine, azelastine)⁷.

Blepharitis/meibomian gland dysfunction – lid hygiene, warm compresses, and topical antibiotics⁹.

2. Reduction of Inflammation

Short courses of topical corticosteroids (loteprednol, fluorometholone) may be used to suppress conjunctival inflammation under ophthalmic supervision¹.

3. Behavioural Modification

Educating patients not to touch or manipulate the eyes is crucial. Techniques include:

Habit-reversal therapy or counselling.

Using lubricants frequently to flush out mucus.

Physical barriers (wearing gloves during sleep) to prevent unconscious rubbing².

Management focuses on addressing the initiating factor:

Dry eye disease – preservative-free lubricants, cyclosporine 0.05% drops, or lifitegrast⁶.

4. ADJUNCTIVE MEASURES

Bandage contact lens to protect epithelial surface in severe trauma^10.

Topical antibiotics if secondary infection is present.

Psychiatric referral for persistent compulsive behaviour.

With early diagnosis and consistent behavioural modification, most cases resolve within weeks^6.

With early diagnosis and consistent behavioural modification, most cases resolve within weeks^6.

HOMEOPATHIC MANAGEMENT

Homeopathy treats MFS through individualized prescribing based on similimum — selecting remedies matching the patient's physical, mental, and emotional characteristics. The goal is to modulate the body's response, reduce irritation, and prevent recurrence. Although there is limited scientific data, homeopathic materia medica and clinical experience provide guidance in managing mucous and inflammatory ocular conditions¹¹.

Commonly Indicated Remedies

1. Euphrasia officinalis (Eyebright)

Indicated in profuse acrid lachrymation, burning and smarting of eyes, and thick mucous discharge causing redness. Discharge is more during the day; eyes feel worse in wind or light. Useful in catarrhal and allergic conjunctivitis with mucus films¹².

2. Kali bichromicum

Characterized by thick, ropy, stringy mucus that adheres to lids and can be drawn out in long strings. Suits cases resembling MFS with tenacious mucus and tendency to manually extract it. Symptoms worsen in cold and are relieved by warmth¹³.

3. Hepar sulphuris calcareum

For purulent or muco-purulent discharge with extreme sensitivity to touch and cold. Eyes are sore, inflamed, and painful; the patient is irritable and chilly¹⁴.

4. Pulsatilla nigricans

Indicated in bland, yellowish discharge, more in warm rooms; suited to mild, yielding dispositions. The patient often seeks cool open air. Particularly useful when discharge alternates with dryness or tearing¹

5. Argentum nitricum

Indicated for conjunctivitis with profuse mucopurulent discharge, photophobia, redness, and swelling. Patients have a strong urge to rub eyes and may develop mechanical irritation from manipulation — resembling the MFS cycle¹⁶.

Therapeutic Approach

A two-phase approach is usually followed:

mptomatic remedies like Euphrasia 30C or Kali bichromicum 30C may be administered 2–3 times daily to reduce mucous discharge and irritation.

Chronic Phase:

After symptomatic relief, the constitutional remedy (e.g., Pulsatilla, Hepar sulph, Argentum nitricum) is chosen based on totality of symptoms, mental traits, and modality patterns.

Potency and repetition are individualized.

Adjunctive Care:

Frequent eye lubrication with sterile saline or artificial tears is compatible with homeopathic therapy.

Behavioural counselling to stop mucus extraction is mandatory.

Evidence and Discussion

While no randomized clinical trial specifically evaluates homeopathy for MFS, certain observational studies and pharmacognostic research suggest anti-inflammatory and epithelial-protective activity of Euphrasia officinalis extracts in vitro^17. However, these results apply to herbal preparations rather than high-dilution homeopathic forms. Systematic reviews by national agencies such as NHMRC (Australia) and NCCIH (USA) conclude that current evidence for homeopathy in most conditions is insufficient to establish efficacy^{18,19}.

Therefore, in MFS, homeopathy should be considered only as an adjunctive measure to standard ophthalmic management. Integrative approaches that include behavioural modification, lubrication, and safe adjunctive homeopathic use may enhance compliance and patient satisfaction.

Conclusion

Mucus-Fishing Syndrome is a chronic ocular surface disorder perpetuated by habitual mucus extraction. Diagnosis rests on recognizing the behavioural component and differentiating it from other conjunctival diseases. Effective management combines treatment of the initiating factor, behavioural modification, and patient education.

Homeopathy offers remedies that match the symptomatology of mucous eye conditions, notably Euphrasia officinalis, Kali bichromicum, Hepar sulphuris, Pulsatilla, and Argentum nitricum. While empirical evidence supports their traditional use, robust clinical validation remains lacking. Thus, homeopathic management should complement, not replace, place, conventional ophthalmic care. Further controlled studies are warranted to explore potential benefits and elucidate mechanisms of action.

References

- 1. McCulley JP, Shine WE. Mucus fishing syndrome. Ophthalmology. 1985;92(9):1242-6.
- 2. Slagle WS, Slagle AM. Mucus-Fishing Syndrome: a case report and new treatment option. Optometry & Vision Science. 2001;78(6):418-22.
- 3. Chiew RLJ, et al. Mucus fishing syndrome: a case with characteristic findings. BMJ Case Rep. 2022;15:e249188.

- 4. EyeWiki. Mucus Fishing Syndrome [Internet]. American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2025 [cited 2025 Oct 14]. Available from: https://eyewiki.org/Mucus_Fishing_Syndrome
- 5. Review of Optometry. Gone Fishin': Understanding Mucus Fishing Syndrome. Review of Optometry. 2010 Dec 27.
- 6. Cleveland Clinic. What To Know About Mucus Fishing Syndrome. Cleveland Clinic Health Essentials. 2021 Dec 13.
- 7. Lemp MA, Baudouin C. Tear film and ocular surface disorders. Surv Ophthalmol. 2008;53(Suppl 1):S1-S5.
- 8. Garrott HM, et al. Mucus-fishing syndrome and associated compulsive behaviour. Aust N Z J Ophthalmol. 1998;26(2):163-5.
- 9. Nelson JD, et al. Blepharitis management. Cornea. 2011;30(11):1149-56.
- 10. Seedor JA, Perry HD. Use of bandage contact lens in ocular surface disease. CLAO J. 1995;21(3):189-93.
- 11. Boericke W. Pocket Manual of Homoeopathic Materia Medica. 9th ed. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2021.
- 12. Clarke JH. A Dictionary of Practical Materia Medica. Vol 1. New Delhi: B Jain; 2019.
- 13. Allen HC. Keynotes and Characteristics with Comparisons. 10th ed. New Delhi: B Jain; 2018.
- 14. Phatak SR. Materia Medica of Homeopathic Medicines. 2nd ed. New Delhi: B Jain; 2017.
- 15. Murphy R. Lotus Materia Medica. 3rd ed. Colorado: Lotus Health Institute; 2016.
- 16. Nash EB. Leaders in Homoeopathic Therapeutics. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2020.
- 17. Paduch R, et al. Assessment of eyebright (Euphrasia officinalis) extracts on human corneal epithelial cells. J Ethnopharmacol. 2014;151(1):791-8.
- 18. National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC). Information Paper: Evidence on the effectiveness of homeopathy. Canberra: Australian Government; 2015
- 19. National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH). Homeopathy—What You Need to Know. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2024.

