IJNRD.ORG ISSN : 2456-4184
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Fintech Transformation for Sustainable Growth and Efficiency in Small Enterprises

Dharmishta V Mistri
Research Scholar
Department of Commerce
M. K. Bhavnagar University, Gujarat, India.

***Abstract*:** This research paper explores the transformative role of financial technology (Fintech) in enhancing efficiency and growth among small businesses. As Small enterprises increasingly adopt Fintech solutions, they experience improved access to financial services, smooth operations, and enhanced decision-making capabilities. This study aims to analyze whether Fintech significantly improves operational efficiency, enhances financial management practices, and better customer interactions and satisfaction among small business owners. Furthermore, it evaluates the long-term implications of Fintech adoption for sustainable growth in the sector. A good number of research have been conducted in the area of financial technology and its impact on small businesses in India as well whole world, but no remarkable study has been found on the impact of daily operations and management of small businesses to adopt Fintech. This research helps to find out the perception of owners regarding the daily performance and financial management of small businesses for using Fintech. Data was collected through questionnaires distributed to small business owners, focusing on their experience with Fintech solutions. Through a combination of quantitative data and qualitative insights, the findings demonstrate that Fintech not only encourages growth by facilitating better financial management but also contributes to the overall economic development of business.

***Keywords*: Fintech, Financial Management, Small businesses, customer interaction**

1. Introduction

Fintech is the combination of the words “finance” and “Technology”. It refers to new technologies that make traditional financial services easier and more efficient. (team, n.d.) With today's technology, people can easily access resources. Using technology to manage these resources helps communities. The internet has advanced quickly, leading to innovations like financial technology(Fintech), (Dio Caisar Darma, 2020)which makes financial services cheaper and easier for people to use. In the digital age, new ideas in financial services are changing the world. This change is called Fintech. Many startups offer financial, and traditional banks are also coming up with new solutions. Together, they can help improve India’s economy for everyone.

In the theory of the product life cycle, every business enters four steps consisting of introduction, growth, maturity, and decline. In this stage, financial services are very important. Digital finance gives more easy access to businesses, especially for small businesses (Shofawati, 2019).

(Feronica Fa Rozalyne Pambudianti, 2020) In Fintech most important to P2P leading platform. P2P platform is directly impacts on MSME loan disbursement, focusing on their efficiency, loan characteristics, and financial literacy. Despite rapid growth, P2P leading’s overall contribution to total loans remains small, prompting questions about its effectiveness. (Muliadi, 2020) Fintech has become a significant topic globally, presenting challenges for both the financial industry and information technology. Based on disruptive innovation theory, Fintech can be divided into two categories: (1) “Sustainable Fintech,” which helps established financial institutions maintain their market position through technological innovation, and (2) “Disruptive Fintech” which consists of new companies that compete with traditional by introducing innovative products. These new businesses offer greater flexibility, security, efficiency, and opportunities compared to conventional financial services.

MSMEs (micro, small, and medium enterprises) are vital to the Indian economy, providing flexibility and resilience. As we move through the fourth industrial revolution and into the early stages of the fifth, these businesses are quickly embracing Fintech to enhance their productivity and meet consumer demand. Finance is essential for the success of MSMEs. (Savani, 2024)Without it they struggle to survive. Fintech allows these enterprises to integrate modern technology with traditional financial practices. For example, innovations like the UPI (unified payment Interface) streamline payments and make financial transactions easier.

In India, MSMEs are defined and categorized under the MSME Development Act of 2006, with important updates made in June 2020 to reflect changes in the economy. This table 1 show the framework which is helps to understand the scale and scope of these businesses.

Table 1: MSME Criteria

MSME- Categorization: Investment & Annual Turnover			
Sector	Micro Enterprise	Small Enterprise	Medium Enterprise
Manufacturing & Services Sector, Both	Investment < Rs. 1 Crore, Turnover < Rs. 5 Crore	Investment < Rs. 10 Crore, Turnover < Rs. 50 Crore	Investment < Rs. 50 Crore, Turnover < Rs.250 Crore

2.Review of Literature

(Rabia Rasheed, 2019) The study highlights the critical role of digital micro-financial services in enhancing SMEs' access to finance in the developing economies of Pakistan. Despite significant initiatives, financial inclusion remains low, with many SMEs lacking necessary funding, particularly Islamic financing. The findings emphasize the need for reduced costs and expanded product offerings in digital platforms to foster economic growth and inclusivity in the SME sector, ultimately supporting broader financial inclusion goals.

(Tirza Victorina Rosette Mantik, 2024), This study explores the influence of self-efficacy on technology adoption among MSMEs by integrating the Task-Technology Fit (TTF) and Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). Findings indicate that self-efficacy has a greater impact on perceived ease of use than TTF components. The research offers practical recommendations for stakeholders to empower MSMEs through technology training and tailored solutions while highlighting the need for further research to identify factors influencing technology adoption in diverse MSME sectors.

(Ahmad Syauqi, 2023), This study examines the impact of financial Technology (Fintech) on financial inclusion and SME development in Lokbok's creative economy. Using a quantitative approach, the findings indicate that Fintech positively influences both financial inclusion and SME growth. It emphasizes the importance of technology for improving business operations and encourages future research to explore additional variables and larger samples for more comprehensive insights.

(Ms. Smitha S., 2023), This investigates the impact of Fintech apps on customer purchasing behavior, focusing on their importance, functions, and effects. Collect data from primary and secondary. It employs the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) to assess factors influencing Fintech app usage. It highlights perceived value, ease of use, and speed as key determinants of customer behavior towards Fintech payment services.

(Diksha Dubey, 2022), Fintech has rapidly evolved in India, expanding beyond traditional baking to various digital services. The ecosystem comprises customers, startups, regulators, IT firms, and established banks, with government support essential for growth. Despite challenges like data security and financial inclusion barriers for the underbanked, innovations like UPI have enhanced digital payments. Cybersecurity remains a major concern, necessitating strong data protection measures such as encryption protocols.

(Dr. Neeta Tripathi, 2022), This paper explores how the Indian Fintech industry has expanded from $31 billion in 2020 to $84 billion by 2025, driven by technological innovation, government initiatives, and increased digital transactions during the pandemic. With over 67% of Fintech firms founded in the last five years, the sector faces cybersecurity threats and regulatory issues. Collaboration between traditional banks and Fintech companies is essential for sustainable growth and financial inclusion across diverse demographics.

(Eresia-Eke, 2013), The study examined the relationship between SMME owners' financial literacy and business growth, finding no significant link. It suggested that businesses could thrive with financially literate employees or consultants, highlighting the importance of financial management skills for growth.

(Douglas Arner, 2015), This research explains that Fintech, merging finance and technology, has evolved through three eras. Driven by start-ups since 2008, present regulatory challenges, necessitating a cautious approach to regulation.

(Arnoud Boota, 2020), The paper examines how innovations in data and communication are transforming financial intermediation. It highlights the challenges to traditional banking models from specialized service providers and digital platforms, which reduce banks' roles and increase competition in financial services.

(Yulfan Arif Nurohman, 2021)This study examines the positive relationship between financial technology, financial inclusion, and the sustainability of Muslim SMEs in Solo Raya, Indonesia. It emphasizes the need for collaboration among Fintech managers and policymakers to enhance SME sustainability and contribute to economic prosperity.

(Duc Hong Vo, 2023), This study explores the positive impact of Fintech development on renewable energy consumption while highlighting the moderating role of income inequality. Using data from 65 countries (2013-2019), it suggests that reducing income inequality is essential for enhancing the effectiveness of Fintech in promoting renewable energy adoption.

(In Lee, 2018), This article examines Fintech's evolution, ecosystem, and business models, highlighting the use of real options for investment decisions and addressing challenges faced by Fintech startups and traditional financial institutions.

3. Objective

a. To Analyze the impact of Fintech on the daily operations of small businesses.
b. To Assess the influence of Fintech on financial management of small businesses.
c. To Explore customer interaction enhancement through Fintech.
d. To evaluate long-term effects on growth and sustainability of business.

4. Hypotheses

a. There is no significant improvement in the efficiency of daily operations in small businesses to adopt Fintech.
b. There is no significant enhancement of the financial management practices of small business owners.
c. There has been no significant improvement in customer interactions and satisfaction with Fintech.
d. There is no sustainable growth in the small business sector to adopt Fintech.

5. Research Methodology

a. **Nature of Data:** the study is based on both primary and secondary data collections
b. **Sources of Data:** Primary data was gathered using a carefully designed questionnaire and informal interviews. Secondary data was collected from various sources, including journals, magazines, and reputable websites. The collected data has been organized and presented based on the study's needs.
c. **Sample Size:** The sample data consists of more than 50 small-scale business owners from Bhavnagar District, Gujarat, India.
d. **Time of Study:** Data were collected from July-October, 2024
e. **Tool of Analysis:** There are various analyses like demographic analysis, various reliability tests, analysis of variance, ANOVA tests, etc.

6. Data Analysis

Table 2 Demographic data analysis

Business Type	frequency	Location	frequency	Type of industries	frequency
Small	84.62%	Urban	75%	Plastic	57.69%
Other (micro or Medium)	15.38%	Rural	25%	Other	42.31%

The demographic data Table 2 shows the distribution of businesses based on their size, location and industry type. A large majority of businesses 84.62% are classified as small businesses, while a smaller proportion 15.38% are micro or medium-sized. Regarding location, 75% of these businesses are based in urban, and 25% are located in rural areas (Mistri, 2023). When looking at the types of industries, most businesses 57.69% are involved in the plastic industry, while the remaining 42.31 belong to other industries.

Table 3 Demographic data

Number of employees	frequency	Years of Operation	frequency	When to apply a Fintech solution in your business	frequency
1-5	7.69%	Less than 1 year	5.77%	Less than 1 year	5.77%
6-10	5.76%	1-3 years	9.61%	1-3 years	9.61%
11-20	38.46%	4-5 years	19.23%	4-5 years	19.23%
20-50	28.84%	7-10 years	25%	7-10 years	25%
51 or more	19.23%	More than 10 years	40.38%	More than 10 years	40.38%

The data table 3 shows patterns related to the number of employees, years of operation, and the timing of when businesses consider applying Fintech solutions. In terms of the number of employees, 38.46% have between 11 and 20 employees, and 28.84% businesses have 20 to 50 employees. A smaller percentage, 19.23%, employ 51 or more people, while just 7.69% have 1 to 5 employees, and 5.76% have between 6 and 10 employees. Regarding the years of operation, a significant portion of businesses 40.38% have been running for more than 10 years, with 25% having been in operation for 7 to 10 years. Another 19.23% have been operating for 4 to 5 years, while smaller groups (9.61% and 5.77%) have been in business for 1 to 3 years or less than a year. When it comes to adopting Fintech solutions, 40.38% of businesses believe it should be done after 10 or more years in operation, while 25% think it is relevant after 7 to 10 years. In smaller proportions 19.23% of businesses feel Fintech should be applied after 4 to 5 years. 9.61% of 1 to 3 years, or 5.77% even within the first year. Overall, businesses with more employees and longer operational histories tend to view the implementation of Fintech solutions as something to consider after several years of being established.

Table 4 Data Collected through Questionnaires

Sr.	Questions	SA	A	N	D	SD	N
Impact on daily operations							
1.	Fintech has improved the efficiency of my daily operations.	17 32.69%	29 55.77%	04 7.69%	02 03.85%	00 00.00%	52 100%
2.	Fintech has managed cash flow solutions	10 19.23%	24 46.15%	06 11.53%	06 11.53%	06 11.54%	52 100%
3.	The use of Fintech has reduced the time spent on administration tasks.	16 30.77%	23 44.23%	10 19.23%	02 03.84%	01 01.92%	52 100%
4.	The integration of Fintech has improved team collaboration.	14 26.92%	20 38.46%	14 26.92%	03 05.77%	01 01.92%	52 100%
5.	I can assess financial data in real-time, allowing for quicker decision-making.	13 25.00%	22 42.30%	14 26.93%	03 05.77%	00 00.00%	52 100%
6.	I feel more confident in my business's financial health due to Fintech tools.	12 23.08%	18 34.61%	11 21.15%	9 17.31%	02 03.85%	52 100%
Impact on financial management							
7.	Fintech has provided me with better financial insights and analytics.	17 32.69%	23 44.23%	07 13.46%	04 07.69%	01 1.92%	52 100%
8.	The cost of managing finances has decreased since using Fintech solutions.	01 1.92%	34 65.38%	14 26.92%	01 01.92%	02 03.85%	52 100%
9.	I find it easier to comply with financial regulations due to Fintech tools.	10 19.23%	23 44.23%	15 28.84%	03 05.77%	01 01.92%	52 100%
10.	Rate your overall satisfaction with the financial management tools you use.	09 17.31%	22 42.30%	18 34.61%	02 03.85%	01 01.92%	52 100%
Impact on Consumer Influence							
11.	Fintech solutions have improved customer satisfaction.	16 30.76%	28 53.84%	07 13.46%	02 03.85%	01 1.92%	52 100%
12.	I have seen an increase in repeat customers due to Fintech offering.	05 09.61%	30 57.69%	08 15.38%	07 13.46%	02 03.85%	52 100%
13.	My customers prefer using digital payment methods over cash.	03 05.77%	14 26.92%	26 50.00%	06 11.54%	03 05.77%	52 100%
14.	Fintech has allowed me to better understand customer preferences and behavior.	06 11.54%	15 28.85%	24 46.13%	05 09.61%	02 03.85%	52 100%
15.	The use of Fintech has helped me personalize my offering to customers.	07 13.46%	21 40.38%	17 32.69%	05 09.61	02 03.85%	52 100%

In collected data through questionnaires provides insights into how Fintech is impacting businesses in several areas, including daily operations, financial management, and customer relations these all aspect presented in table 4..

In terms of daily operations, 55.77% of respondents agree that Fintech has improved the efficiency of their operations, with 32.69% strongly agreeing. A large number 46.15% also feel that Fintech has helped manage cash flow, and 44.23% agree that it has reduced the time spent on administrative tasks. Regarding teamwork, 38.46% believe Fintech has improved collaboration, and 42.30% say it allows them to make quicker decisions by giving real-time access to financial data. However, not everyone agreed with that Fintech is helping their business daily life operations.

For financial management, many businesses report positive effects. About 44.23% agreed that Fintech provides better financial insights, and 65.38% say that managing finances has become less expensive with regulatory compliance, as 44.23% find it easier to follow financial regulations using Fintech tools. Regarding satisfaction with financial tools, 42.30% of respondents are generally satisfied.

In terms of customer influence, Fintech appears to be improving customer satisfaction, with 53.84% agreeing that it has had a positive impact on customer perception of their products. Additionally, 57.69% of businesses have noticed an increase in repeat customers due to Fintech offerings. However, the shift toward digital payments is still mixed, with only 26.92% reporting a clear preference for digital over cash. 46.13% of respondents feel that Fintech helps them better understand customer behavior, and 40.38% say it has allowed them to personalize their offerings.

While Fintech is generally seen as beneficial in improving efficiency, financial management, and customer relations, the level of impact varies across different areas and among different businesses. It means that the questions or variables used to assess daily operations are closely related and provide consistent results.

For Financial Management, Cronbach's alpha is 0.753 based on 4 items. This value indicates a moderate level of reliability, meaning that the items used to measure financial management are fairly consistent with each other, though not as strongly correlated as those for daily operations.

For customer satisfaction, Cronbach's Alpha is 0.786 for 5 items, showing a good level of consistency in how customer satisfaction is being measured. It means that the items used to assess customer satisfaction are reliably related.

Table 5 Reliability Statistics

Daily Operation		Financial Management		Customer satisfaction	
Cronbach's Alpha	**N of Items**	**Cronbach's Alpha**	**N of Items**	**Cronbach's Alpha**	**N of Items**
.872	6	.753	4	.786	5

The data provided presents reliability statistics for three different sets of variables shows in Table 5, in the daily operations, the reliability coefficient, known as Cronbach's Alpha, is 0.872 for 6 items. This suggest a strong level of consistency or reliability between the items measuring daily operations.

Table 6 Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances

F	df1	df2	Sig.
28.036	34	17	.000

Dependent Variable: industry

Table 6 discuss Levene's Test of Equality of error variances, it is a crucial statistical procedure used to evaluate whether the variances across different groups are equal, an important assumption in various statistical analyses like ANOVA (Analysis of variance). In this table, F statistics is 28.036, which indicates a significant disparity in the variances of the groups being compared, the higher value of F suggests a greater ratio of variance between groups compared to the variance within groups. The degrees of freedom are associated with 43 and 17 respectively. The significance value (p-value) of 0.000 is notable, as it indicates a very low probability of obtaining such results if the null hypothesis were true. This low p-value leads to the rejection of the null hypothesis.

H0: error variance of the dependent variable is equal across groups.
H1: error variance of the dependent variable is unequal across groups.

Table 7 Lack of Fit Tests

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared	Noncent. Parameter	Observed Power
Lack of Fit	99.474	6	16.579	55.263	.000	.957	331.580	1.000
Pure Error	4.500	15	.300					

Dependent Variable: Industry

This Table 7 discuss the output comes from a statistical analysis testing the assumption of equal error variance across different groups, often called homogeneity of variances. This test is especially relevant in analyses like ANOVA. Here, the null hypothesis is that the error variance is equal across all groups, while the alternative hypothesis suggests that the error variance is different between the groups. The results found two main sources of variance, the first one is Lake of Fit and the other one is Pure Error. Lack of fit refers to the variation in the dependent variable (industry), while Pure Error reflects the natural variation due to random error in the data.

The sum of squares shows how much variation there is in each source. In this data 99.474 for lack of fit and 4.500 for pure error. The Mean square values are calculated by dividing the sum of squares by the corresponding degree of freedom (df), which are 6 for lack of fit and 15 for pure error. This results in mean squares of 16.579 and 0.300, respectively.

The F-statistic is a ratio of the mean square, and here it is 55.263, with a corresponding p-value of 0.0000. A p- value less than 0.05 suggests that the difference in variances between the groups is statistically significant. This means the error variance is unequal across the groups. That led to a rejection of the null hypothesis and its favor of the alternative hypothesis.

The observed power is 1.000, which indicates that the test has a very high probability of detecting a true effect, suggesting that this model is highly significant. The high partial eta squared value of 0.957 further indicates that the difference between groups explains a large portion of the total variance in the dependent variable.

H0: There is no significant improvement in the efficiency of daily operations in small businesses to adopt Fintech.

H1: There is a significant improvement in the efficiency of daily operations in small businesses to adopt Fintech.

Table 8 Test of between two subjects' effects on Daily operation for using Fintech

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Hypothesis
efficiency	12.501	1	12.501	2.525	.127	Industry->efficiency
Easy to manage cash flow	11.046	2	5.523	1.115	.346	Industry->easytomanagecashflow
Time reduced for admin work	.583	2	.292	.059	.943	Industry->timereducedforadminwork
team collab	63.496	3	21.165	4.275	.017*	Industry->teamcollab
quick decision making	28.616	2	14.308	2.890	.078*	Industry->quickdecisionmaking
Confidence in business financial health	56.508	3	18.836	3.804	.025**	Industry->confidencyinbusfinhealth

The Table 8 presents the results of a statistical analysis testing the effects of Fintech adoption on various aspects of daily operations in small businesses. Specifically, the analysis is aimed at determining whether Fintech adoption leads to significant improvements in operational efficiency, cash flow management, administrative work, team collaboration, decision-making speed, and business financial health. The null hypothesis suggests that Fintech adoption does not significantly improve these factors, while the alternative hypothesis proposes that Fintech adoption does have a positive impact.

This table explains the type III sum of squares, degrees of freedom, mean squares, F-statistics, and p-value for each of the six factors tested. The sum of squares indicates the total variation explained by Fintech adoption, and the degrees of freedom show how many groups or levels were compared for each factor. The mean square is the sum of squares divided by the degree of freedom, giving an average measure of variance. The F-statistic tests the ratio of the explained variance to unexplained variance, and the p-value tells us whether the result is statistically significant($p < 0.05$).

Looking at the results, the analysis shows that Fintech adoption does not significantly improve efficiency, cash flow management, or time reduction for administration work in small businesses, as the p-values for these factors are 0.127, 0.346, and 0.943, respectively. Therefore, the null hypothesis (H0) is accepted for these factors, meaning that no significant improvements in these areas were due to Fintech adoption.

However, the analysis reveals significant improvements in team collaboration and confidence in business financial health. The p-values for these factors are 0.017 and 0.025, both less than 0.05, indicating that Fintech adoption has a statistically significant positive effect on team collaboration and the confidence small business owners have in their financial health. Therefore, the null hypothesis (H0) is rejected, and the alternative hypothesis (H1) is accepted for these two factors.

The factor of quick decision-making has a p-value of 0.078, which is above 0.05, which suggests that potential effect but not a statistically significant one. As a result, the null hypothesis is accepted for this factor as well.

H0: There is no significant enhancement of the financial management practices of small business owners.

H1: There is a significant enhancement of the financial management practices of small business owners.

Table 9 Test of between two subjects' effects on financial management for using Fintech

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Hypothesis
better financial insights	154.235	2	77.118	15.576	.000***	Industry->betterfinancialinsights
Reduction in costofmanaging finance	6.206	2	3.103	.627	.544	Industry->reductionincostofmanagingfinance
regulation compliance	29.280	2	14.640	2.957	.074*	Industry->regulationcompliance
overall satisfaction	73.497	2	36.749	7.422	.004***	Industry->overallsatisfaction

The Table 9 presents the results of a statistical analysis examining the impact of Fintech adoption on the financial management practices of small business owners. The analysis specifically tests whether Fintech adoption leads to a significant enhancement in four key areas: better financial insights, reduction in the cost of managing finances, regulation compliance, and overall satisfaction with financial management. The null hypothesis indicates that Fintech adoption does not significantly enhance these practices, while the alternative hypothesis suggests that it does.

This table better financial insights, shows a very significant result. The p-value is 0.000, which is much lower than 0.05 which means the used to determine statistical significance. This means that Fintech adoption significantly improves small business owner's ability to gain better financial insights. And a reduction in the cost of managing finances, the p-value is 0.544, which is much higher than 0.05. this means that Fintech adoption does not significantly reduce the cost of managing finances for small businesses. In the case of regulation compliance, the p-value is 0.074, which is close to 0.05 but still above it. This suggests that Fintech adoption might have some effect on helping small business owners comply with regulations, but it is not statistically significant at 5% level. And the overall satisfaction with financial management practices shows a significant result with a p-value of 0.004. This is small below 0.05, suggesting that Fintech adoption does significantly improve small business owners' overall satisfaction with how they manage their finance.

H0: There has been no significant improvement in customer interactions and satisfaction with Fintech.

H1: There has been a significant improvement in customer interactions and satisfaction with Fintech.

Table 10 Test of between two subjects' effects on Consumer Influence for using Fintech

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Hypothesis
customer satisfaction	19.403	1	19.403	3.919	.061*	Industry->Customer satisfaction
Increase in repeat order	1.548	1	1.548	.313	.582	Industry->increase in repeat orders
Digital payment over cash	.970	1	.970	.196	.662	Industry->digital payment over cash
customer preference behavior	8.449	1	8.449	1.706	.206	Industry->customer preference behavior
personalized offerings	19.802	1	19.802	3.999	.059*	Industry->personalized offerings

The Table 10 summarized the result of a statistical test to check if the Fintech industry has led to significant improvements in various aspects of customer interactions and satisfaction. There are several areas we examined. Customer satisfaction shows a p-value of 0.061, it is higher than the 0.05 level, this means that while there might be some improvement in customer satisfaction, the data doesn't provide

strong enough evidence to say better significance. That means the use of Fintech in our business does not mean there has been no real change in customer satisfaction.In repeat orders, the p-value is 0.582, which is much higher than 0.05. this suggests that the Fintech industry has not had any significant impact on repeat customer orders. And the digital payment system the p-value here is 0.662, which is also above 0.05, indicating no significant change in customer preference for digital payments over cash due to the industry's efforts. Therefore, the industry does not seem to be influencing this behavior. The customer preference behavior the p-value is 0.206, which is again above 0.05, showing no significant impact from the industry on how customers make their choices or behave. The result of personalized offerings shows a p-value of 0.059, which is very close to 0.05. this suggests there might be a slight improvement in personalized offerings, but the result is not strong enough to say it's significant at the 5% level. It indicates a small trend toward improvement, but it's not conclusive.

In short, the data does not strongly support the idea that the Fintech industry has significantly improved customer interaction or satisfaction.

a. R Squared = .825 (Adjusted R Squared = .575)

b. Computed using alpha = .05

The R-squared value of 0.825 indicates that approximately 82.5% of the variance in the dependent variable can be explained by the independent variables included in the model. This high R-squared value suggests a strong model fit, meaning that the independent variables account for a substantial portion of the variability observed in the data. The analysis was conducted using an alpha level of

0.05, which has enhanced the determining statistical significance. this means that any p-value below this level indicates a statistically significant relationship between the independent variables and the dependent variable.

7. Findings:

The analysis of data collected from small business owners regarding the adoption of Fintech solutions releases several important things like Fintech has notably improved the efficiency of daily operations. Many business owners reported that Fintech tools such as automated invoicing, payroll systems, and inventory management have helped their daily operations. It helps with time-saving and minimizes human errors. Nowadays the introduction of digital payment platforms, mobile wallets, and online banking also enhances the transaction process improving cash flow and enhancing customer satisfaction. In terms of financial management, Fintech tools have significantly enhanced the way small business owners handle their finances. The business owners noted that that the platforms offering real-time financial dashboards and accounting software provided better visibility into cash flow, allowing for more informed decision-making. the adoption of Fintech has a positive effect on customer interaction. Many small business owners found that the use of customer relationship management systems, chatbots, and other communication tools has facilitated smoother interactions with their customers. In terms of long-term growth and sustainability, small businesses that adopted Fintech solutions reported greater scalability and resilience automation has allowed their businesses to expand without a proportional increase in overhead costs, providing a competitive edge in the market. Fintech adoption has also contributed to long-term business sustainability by improving financial visibility and access to credit, which helped businesses have economic fluctuations. These businesses have been better positioned to sustain growth.

8. Limitation:

While the findings provide valuable importance, several limitations must be addressed. First, the study was based on a limited sample size, which may not fully represent the diversity of small businesses in terms of industry, geographic locations, or business size. A broader and more diverse sample would provide a more comprehensive understanding of Fintech's impact across various sectors. The data collected was self-reported by business owners via a questionnaire, which can introduce some kind of bias. And that the research is based on primarily methods, and only focus on the short-term effect of Fintech adoption. The study did not differentiate between the various types of Fintech solutions, which can vary significantly in complexity and effectiveness. The research focused largely on small businesses in India, and while Fintech adoption is growing globally, the findings may not necessarily reflect the experiences of small businesses in other regions with different technological infrastructures.

9. Conclusion:

Research shows that using Fintech has a big positive effect on small businesses. It helps to improve daily operations, financial management, consumer interactions, and the long-term growth of the business. This study finds the main benefit of Fintech is that it makes businesses more efficient. Fintech also improves financial management and customer interaction and satisfaction are also better thanks to Fintech. It offers tools that make communication easier, provide personalized services, and offer more convenient payment options. All of this leads to higher customer satisfaction. In the long term, Fintech helps small businesses grow and stay strong. Automation helps businesses scale without increasing costs but Fintech also helps to growth the business selling and profit. However, the study also points out that more research is needed, especially on how Fintech affects businesses in different sectors and regions over the long term. Future studies should look at a wider range of Fintech tools and gather data over time to fully understand how Fintech can shape the future of small businesses.

10. References:

Ahmad Syauqi, E. S. (2023). The Effect of Financial Technology (Fintech) on the Development of SME with Financial Inclusion as a Mediation Variable: Study on SME's Creative Economic Sector in Lombok. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 683-696. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v10i4.4749

Arnoud Boota, P. H. (2020). *Journal of Financial Stability*.

Diksha Dubey, D. A. (2022). Fintech Ecosystem: Trends, Major Obstacles and Solutions. *International Journal of Management Issues and Research, 11*(1), 91-94.

Dio Caisar Darma, M. A. (2020). FinTech and MSMEs Continuity: Applied in Indonesia. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 29*(4), 4676-4685.

Douglas Arner, J. (2015). The Evolution of Fintech: A new Post-Crisis Paradigm? *University of New South Wales Law Research Series*.

Dr. Neeta Tripathi, M. I. (2022). Growth of FinTech Unicorns in India: Recent Trends. *Stusti Management Review, XV*(II), 26-39.

Duc Hong Vo, A. T. (2023). Does income inequality moderate the effect of fintech development on renewable energy consumption? *PLOS ONE*, 1-15. doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0293033

Eresia-Eke, C. E. (2013, November). SMME Owners' Financial Literacy and Business Growth. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 4*(13), 397-407.

Feronica Fa Rozalyne Pambudianti, B. P. (2020). The implementation of fintech: Efficiency of MSMEs loans distribution and users' financial inclusion index. *Jurnal Keuangan dan Perbankan, 24*(1), 68–82. Retrieved from http://jurnal.unmer.ac.id/index.php/jkdp

In Lee, Y. J. (2018). Fintech: Ecosystem, business models,. *Business Horizons*, 35-46.

Ms. Smitha S., D. R. (2023). Effect of fintech: A Paradigm Shift in Cusomers Purchase in Karnataka. *GBS IMPACT, 09*(01), 62-70. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.58419/gbs.v9i1.912307

Mistri, M. D. V. (2024). Analysis Specific IT Stocks through the CAPM Model. *Vidhyayana-An International Multidisciplinary Peer-Reviewed E-Journal-ISSN 2454-8596*, *9*(si2).

Muliadi, D. L. (2020). FinTech and Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development: Special Reference to Indonesia. *Entrepreneurship Review, 1*(1), 1-9.

Mistri, D. (2023). NEP 2020: Making Indian Youth Skilled to Achieve Atma Nirbhar Bharat and to Develop Small scale Industries. *Surabhi-International Peer Reviewed Refereed Journal*, *1*(72), 73-80.

Mistri, M. D. MKBU Teachers perceptions about the New Education Policy 2020.

Rabia Rasheed, S. H. (2019). Financial Inclusion for SMEs: Role of Digital Micro-Financial Services. *Review of Economics and Development Studies, 5*(3), 571-579.

Savani, M. D. (2024). Mastering the Books: A Deep Dive into Top Accounting Software for Small Businesses. *IDEAL*, 145-149.

Shofawati, A. (2019). The Role of Digital Finance to Strengthen Financial Inclusion and the Growth of SME in Indonesia. *The 2nd International Conference on Islamic Economics, Business, and Philanthropy (ICIEBP)*, (pp. 389-407). doi:DOI 10.18502/kss.v3i13.4218

team, C. (n.d.). *Fintech*. Retrieved from Corporate finance institute: https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/wealth-management/fintech-financial-technology/

Tirza Victorina Rosette Mantik, D. D. (2024), Self-efficacy and Technology Adoption for Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises: An Integrated Model of Task-Technology Fit and Technology Acceptance, Journal of World Science, *3*, 271-287. doi:10.58344/jws.v3i2.534

Yulfan Arif Nurohman, M. K. (2021). Fintch, Financial Inclusion, and Sustainability: A Quantitative Approach of Muslime SMEs. *International Journal of Islamic Business Ethics*, 54-67. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.30659/ijibe.6.1.54-67