IJNRD.ORG ISSN : 2456-4184
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

IOT APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT USING NVIDIA JETSON NANO: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS AND IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH

[1]Manas Girish Kulkarni, [2]Rajlakshmi Nilesh Desai, [3]Samiksha Shailesh Nalawade, [4]Dr. Pravin G. Gawande
[1]Student, [2]Student, [3]Student, [4]Faculty
[1]Department. of Electronics and Telecommunication,
[1]Vishwakarma Institute of Information Technology, Pune, India

Abstract : The research provides an extensive evaluation of the NVIDIA Jetson Nano development board which serves Internet of Things (IoT) applications through its technical details and operational characteristics and its deployment in contemporary IoT systems. The research examines the board's structure and AI processing capabilities and power consumption and its adaptability for different IoT applications by using theoretical methods and performance testing. The Jetson Nano provides exceptional AI capabilities through its 472 GFLOPS computing power and operates at 5-10W power consumption levels. The research helps explain edge AI operations in limited IoT settings and offers functional implementation methods for smart city and industrial automation and autonomous systems applications.

***IndexTerms* - Internet of Things, Edge Computing, Artificial Intelligence, Jetson Nano, Performance Analysis, IoT Development Boards**

I. INTRODUCTION

The growing number of Internet of Things devices requires edge computing solutions which perform data processing locally while maintaining real-time performance and low power consumption. The computational power needed for advanced AI workloads exceeds what traditional IoT development boards can provide thus creating a substantial difference between IoT requirements and hardware capabilities.

The NVIDIA Jetson Nano introduces a new standard for IoT development through its affordable GPU-accelerated AI processing capabilities for edge devices. The development board launched in March 2019 combines a 128-core Maxwell. GPU with a quad-core ARM Cortex-A57 CPU to deliver 472 GFLOPS of AI performance while using 5-10W of power. The research fulfills the essential requirement for complete Jetson Nano performance evaluation in IoT environments through theoretical explanations and operational implementation methods. The research evaluates the board through multiple assessments which include computational performance and power efficiency and thermal characteristics and application suitability for different IoT applications.

II. NEED OF THE STUDY.

2.1 IoT Development Board Evolution

The evolution of IoT development boards has seen a steady advancement in compute capabilities, dedicated co-processors, and seamless integration with cloud platforms. Traditional microcontroller units (MCUs) like the Arduino Uno remain widely used due to their simplicity and low cost. However, their minimal memory and lack of hardware acceleration limit their application to basic tasks like GPIO control and environment sensing (Caraveo, 2023; Scribbr, 2025).

Seriţan et al. (2022) compared the performance of popular development boards, including Raspberry Pi, BeagleBone, and Jetson Nano, highlighting Jetson Nano’s advantage in handling machine learning workloads due to onboard GPU acceleration. Their comparative study supports selecting boards based on application complexity, favoring GPU-enabled platforms for real-time data processing.

The work by Caraveo-Cacep et al. (2023) surveyed low-cost boards for cryptographic applications in IoT. They emphasized the need for balancing cost with computational capability, specifically when targeting security-sensitive or real-time systems. Their findings indicate that boards like Jetson Nano, despite their higher cost, offer the required overhead for onboard cryptographic algorithms and AI-based anomaly detection.

Nabto (2022) published detailed hardware specifications and use-case classification for development boards, emphasizing their suitability for home automation, industrial controls, and sensor networks. They highlight that GPU-less platforms are unsuitable for AI-related tasks at the edge, aligning with current industry practices of integrating edge AI accelerators such as NPU (Neural Processing Units), VPU, or embedded GPU.

Tryolabs (2025) and BrainChip (2023) conducted benchmark tests on various edge AI platforms. Their results established Jetson Nano's credibility in delivering low-latency inference with frameworks like TensorFlow Lite and PyTorch Mobile, especially in constrained industrial and smart city contexts. Recent studies such as Desai et al. (2024) explored the potential of integrating low-cost wireless modules (ESP8266) in smart IoT systems for real-time monitoring and notification services. Their architecture demonstrates the viability of edge computing even in healthcare and pharmaceutical applications, thereby underscoring the evolving flexibility of IoT platforms.

2.2 Edge AI Computing Requirements

Edge computing enables AI model execution closer to the data source, significantly mitigating latency and bandwidth waste. This architectural model is imperative for real-time reaction in latency-sensitive applications such as autonomous vehicles and industrial robotics. Y. Hui et al. (2020) performed early benchmarking of AI processors on object detection tasks and demonstrated that GPU-equipped platforms like Jetson Nano outperform CPU-only edge processors by 2x–3x across inference latency, power consumption, and model accuracy. They pointed out that dedicated AI accelerators can meet real-time constraints while ensuring energy awareness in mobile or battery-powered environments.

TechRxiv (2023) provided a comparative survey covering more than a dozen edge devices, consolidating AI-specific benchmarks along three key axes: latency, throughput, and thermal overhead. Their framework helps designers choose platforms better suited for a targeted balance between performance and efficiency. The Qualcomm guide (2024) introduced AI TOPS (Tera Operations Per Second) and NPU metrics for evaluating the computational capacity of modern SoCs. It drew attention to quantized models, where Jetson-class devices can execute 8-bit operations at up to 1 TFLOPS efficiency. These principles support efficient edge AI deployment when using compressed deep learning models without degrading accuracy.

2.3 IoT Architecture and Performance Modeling

IoT systems increasingly rely on distributed or hierarchical architectures, where edge devices preprocess data before offloading to cloud services for aggregation or modeling. Efficient architecture helps reduce communication overhead and improves system responsiveness. Mirza et al. (2022) proposed a mathematical performance framework for deep-learning-based wearable IoT systems. Their model includes constraints relating to sensor throughput, node energy capacity, and real-time processing deadlines. These equations offer analytical tools for time-sensitive safety-critical applications such as mobile health and fall detection systems.

NVIDIA's Jetson Nano documentation emphasizes the use of software tools like TensorRT and DeepStream SDK for optimizing inference times on edge devices. JetPack, CUDA, and cuDNN support a heterogeneous computing paradigm that boosts real-time capability and decreases model execution latency in embedded settings. The onboard profiling tools (tegrastats, nvpmodel) help developers fine-tune performance and power modes dynamically.

Elecrow (2025) and Xailient (2024) presented applied AI mini-frameworks for edge deployment, especially in smart agriculture and transportation. These papers used real-world datasets and Jetson Nano as a reference platform for training lightweight neural nets like YOLOv5n and MobileNetV3. Their results validate Jetson Nano's capability in edge deployments for precision agriculture, where tasks include weed identification, crop maturity estimation, and soil condition analysis.

Assunção et al. (2022) focused on deploying deep vision models on edge microservers, outlining challenges related to thermal budgets and power constraints in outdoor environments. The authors provided thermal models and suggested mechanical enclosure designs to ensure safe operation of GPU-based boards in harsh conditions. In related real-time embedded system applications, Nalawade et al. (2025) proposed a temperature-controlled refrigeration system leveraging microcontroller-based design. This demonstrates how edge-capable boards can support sensor-actuator feedback loops in safety-critical logistics and cold-chain monitoring.

Overall, the combined research validates the evolution of IoT board selection from simple microcontrollers to intelligent edge devices featuring dedicated AI processors. The rise of massively parallel architectures in platforms like Jetson Nano marks an inflection point in embedded AI, unlocking a new class of real-time, energy-efficient, and scalable IoT applications.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Methodology

3.1.1 Technical Architecture Analysis

The research methodology conducts extensive technical evaluation of Jetson Nano hardware architecture by analyzing performance-critical components for IoT applications. The research evaluates the Maxwell GPU architecture together with the ARM Cortex-A57 CPU complex and memory subsystem and peripheral interfaces. Performance characterization combines theoretical calculations with empirical benchmarking across multiple application scenarios. The theoretical analysis relies on established performance models for GPU computing and embedded systems.

3.1.2 Performance Benchmarking Framework

The benchmarking framework evaluates the Jetson Nano across multiple performance dimensions relevant to IoT applications. Key metrics include computational performance, power efficiency, and memory bandwidth.

1. AI Performance Calculation: The AI computing performance of the Jetson Nano, based on GPU operations per second, is given by:

$$P_{AI} = \frac{N_{cores} \times f_{GPU} \times OPS_{clock}}{10^9} \qquad (3.1)$$

Where:

- Ncores is the number of GPU cores,
- fGPU is the GPU frequency (in Hz),
- OP Sclock is the number of operations per clock cycle.

The result is expressed in GFLOPS (Giga Floating Point Operations Per Second).

2. Power Efficiency Metric: Power efficiency is a crucial metric in edge computing environments. It can be defined as:

$$\eta_{power} = \frac{P_{compute}}{P_{total}} \quad (3.2)$$

Where:

- $P_{compute}$ is the useful computational power (in GFLOPS),
- P_{total} is the total electrical power consumed (in watts).

3. Memory Bandwidth Analysis: Memory bandwidth determines how quickly data can be transferred between the processor and memory and is calculated as:

$$BW_{memory} = f_{memory} \times W_{data} \times N_{channels} \quad (3.3)$$

Where:

- f_{memory} is the memory clock frequency,
- W_{data} is the data width (in bits),
- $N_{channels}$ is the number of memory channels.

3.1.3 IoT Application Suitability Assessment

The methodology includes systematic evaluation of the Jetson Nano's suitability for various IoT application categories. Assessment criteria encompass real-time processing requirements, power constraints, environmental considerations, and scalability factors.

3.2 Technical Specifications and Architecture

3.2.1 Hardware Interface Overview

Figure 1 shows the top view of the NVIDIA Jetson Nano Developer Kit (B01 version), with labeled hardware interfaces commonly used in edge deployment. These interfaces enable full-stack development of AI-powered IoT applications, including sensor integration, image acquisition, display, and networking.

Figure 1. NVIDIA Jetson Nano Developer Kit

Table 1 explains each labeled part in Figure 1, describing its functionality and typical usage in embedded AI applications.

Label	Component	Description
1	Heatsink	Provides passive cooling for SoM(System-on-Module)containing CPU,GPU,and memory.
2	40-pin GPIO Header	General-purpose I/O for connecting sensors, actuators, LED displays, etc. Supports UART, I2C, PWM.
3	Micro-USB Port	Used for 5V/2A power input and flashing microSD cards on early versions.
4	Gigabit Ethernet Port	High-speed wired networking for edge computing and cloud communication.
5	USB 3.0 Ports (x4)	For peripherals such as USB cameras, keyboards, storage devices. Supports real-time data streams.
6	HDMI / DisplayPort Output	Connects Jetson Nano to external display/monitor. Supports full HD and 4K output.
7	Barrel Jack (5V/4A input)	Recommended power supply for high-performance operation with USB peripherals.
8	MIPI CSI Camera Connector (x2)	For integrating Raspberry Pi-compatible camera modules (e.g., IMX219). Used in vision-based AI tasks.
9	System-on-Module (SoM)	Main compute unit: hosts the quad-core ARM Cortex-A57 CPU, 128-core Maxwell GPU, and 4GB LPDDR4 RAM.

Table 1: Description of Labelled Parts on Jetson Nano Developer Kit

Figure 1 and Table 1 together provide a hardware-level overview, useful for system integration during early-stage prototyping and real-time deployment in robotics, surveillance, smart city, and industrial automation applications.

3.2.2 Core Processing Components

The Jetson Nano contains a Tegra X1 System-on-Chip (SoC) which combines different processing elements that specialize in AI operations. The core components include:

- The Maxwell GPU with 128 cores reaches its highest speed at 921 MHz to execute AI inference operations through parallel processing.The Maxwell architecture includes power efficiency features which use dynamic voltage and frequency scaling.
- The quad-core ARM Cortex-A57 CPU runs at 1.43 GHz maximum speed to execute system control operations and general-purpose computing functions. The CPU features 48KB L1 instruction cache and 32KB L1 data cache per core together with a shared 2MB L2 cache.

The board contains 4GB of LPDDR4 memory which provides 25.6 GB/s peak bandwidth for CPU and GPU operations through a unified memory architecture. The unified memory architecture eliminates the requirement for direct memory transfers between processing units.

3.2.3 Peripheral Interfaces and Connectivity

The Jetson Nano provides comprehensive connectivity options essential for IoT applications:

- USB Interfaces: 4x USB 3.0 ports and 1x USB 2.0 microB port
- Network Connectivity: Gigabit Ethernet with optional PoE support
- Video Interfaces: HDMI 2.0 and DisplayPort 1.4 outputs
- Camera Interfaces: 2x MIPI CSI-2 connectors supporting multiple camera modules
- Expansion: 40-pin GPIO header and M.2 Key E connector for wireless modules

3.2.4 Power Management and Thermal Design

The power management system operates in two modes which include 5W mode for battery-powered applications and 10W MAXN mode for maximum performance. The thermal design includes active cooling features through a 4-pin PWM fan header and thermal monitoring sensors.

IV. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

This section presents a detailed performance analysis of the Jetson Nano platform. The evaluation is conducted across three dimensions: computational performance, power efficiency, and thermal characteristics. Benchmarks were carried out using representative AI workloads including image classification, object detection, and multimedia processing relevant to IoT applications.

4.1 Computational Performance Evaluation

The Jetson Nano achieves a theoretical peak performance of 472 GFLOPS based on its 128 Maxwell GPU cores. Empirical benchmarking was performed using TensorFlow and PyTorch models such as MobileNet, YOLOv5 Nano, and ResNet18. The results demonstrate a consistent advantage over traditional IoT development boards.

Table 2: AI Inference Performance Comparison

Metric	Jetson Nano	Raspberry Pi 4	Performance Ratio
Object Detection (FPS)	30	8	3.75×
Image Classification (FPS)	45	12	3.75×
Video Processing (FPS)	25	6	4.17×
DL Inference Latency (ms)	12	45	3.75× Faster
Computer Vision (FPS)	35	10	3.5×

4.2 Power Efficiency Analysis

Power consumption was measured across various operational modes using a regulated power supply and system monitoring tool (*tegrastats*). The efficiency ratio is calculated as:

$$\eta_{efficiency} = \frac{P_{performance}}{P_{consumption}} \qquad (3.4)$$

Where:

- $P_{performance}$ is normalized performance (e.g., FPS or GFLOPS),
- $P_{consumption}$ is power consumption in watts (W).

Table 3 summarizes the findings.

Table 3: Power Efficiency in Different Operating Modes

Mode	Power (W)	Perf. Index	Efficiency
Idle	2.5	0.10	0.040
Light Load	4.2	0.40	0.095
Medium Load	6.8	0.70	0.103
Heavy Load	8.5	0.90	0.106
MAXN (Full Load)	10.0	1.00	0.100

Optimal efficiency is achieved at medium-to-heavy loads, confirming the suitability of Jetson Nano for continuous IoT and AI inference workloads.

4.3 Thermal Performance Characteristics

Thermal analysis reveals the relationship between performance and temperature:

$$R_{thermal} = \frac{T_{junction} - T_{ambient}}{P_{dissipation}} \qquad (3.5)$$

Where:

- $T_{junction}$ is the temperature of the processor core,
- $T_{ambient}$ is the surrounding room temperature,
- $P_{dissipation}$ is the power being dissipated (W).

Under maximum load conditions, junction temperatures remain within acceptable limits ($<$85°C) with active cooling, ensuring reliable operation in typical IoT deployment environments.

V. IOT APPLICATION SUITABILITY ANALYSIS

The IoT application suitability analysis section outlines the Jetson Nano's performance across different application categories, real-time processing capabilities, and deployment considerations. The details are as follows;

5.1 Application Category Assessment

The Jetson Nano demonstrates varying levels of suitability across different IoT application categories:

Table 4: IoT Application Suitability Matrix for Jetson Nano

Application Category	Suitability Level	Key Requirements
Smart Home Automation	High	Real-time processing
Industrial IoT	Very High	Edge AI capabilities
Healthcare Monitoring	High	Low-latency inference
Autonomous Vehicles	Very High	Computer vision
Smart Cities	Very High	Multi-sensor fusion
Agricultural IoT	High	Environmental monitoring

5.2 Real-time Processing Capabilities

The Jetson Nano's real-time processing capabilities enable applications requiring immediate response to sensor inputs. The latency model for real-time processing is:

$$L_{total} = L_{computation} + L_{communication} + L_{preprocessing} \quad (3.6)$$

For typical vision workloads, with $L_{computation}$ (Jetson Nano inference latency) measured at <33ms per frame, the device satisfies 30 FPS real-time constraints for edge AI deployments.

5.3 Scalability and Deployment Considerations

Scalability analysis demonstrates the board's capability to handle increasing computational loads:

$$S_{factor} = \frac{P_{performance}(n)}{n \times P_{performance}(1)} \quad (3.7)$$

Where n is the number of concurrent models or sensor streams.

VI. IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK

6.1 Software Ecosystem Integration

The Jetson Nano's software ecosystem centers around the JetPack SDK, providing comprehensive development tools and optimized libraries. Key components include:

- CUDA Toolkit: GPU acceleration framework
- cuDNN: Deep learning primitives library
- TensorRT: AI inference optimization engine
- OpenCV: Computer vision library with GPU acceleration

6.2 Development Workflow

The recommended development workflow for IoT applications includes:

- Prototyping Phase: Utilize the developer kit for initial application development
- Optimization Phase: Implement TensorRT optimizations for inference acceleration
- Deployment Phase: Transition to production modules for commercial applications

6.3 Power Management Strategies

Effective power management strategies for IoT deployments include:

$$P_{dynamic} = (P_{base} + a \times f \times V^2) \quad (3.8)$$

Where:

- $P_{dynamic}$ is the total dynamic power consumption
- P_{base} is the static (baseline) power consumption, including leakage power
- a is the activity factor, representing the fraction of circuits switching per clock cycle
- f is the system clock frequency
- V is the supply voltage

VII. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

7.1 Performance Comparison with Alternative Platforms

Comparative analysis with other IoT development boards reveals the Jetson Nano's competitive advantages:

Table 5: Hardware Specification Comparison of IoT Platforms

Specifications	Jetson Nano	Raspberry Pi 4	Arduino Uno
AI Performance (GFLOPS)	472	-	-
CPU Frequency (GHz)	1.43	1.50	0.016
Memory Bandwidth (GB/s)	25.6	8.5	-
GPU Cores	128(Maxwell)	None	None
RAM	4GB LPDDR4	Up to 8 GB LPDDR4	2KB SRAM
Power Consumption (W)	5-10	5-8	0.5
GPIO Support	40 pins	40 pins	14 pins
Wireless Connectivity	External	WiFi/BLE	External

7.2 Cost-Performance Analysis

Cost-performance analysis demonstrates the Jetson Nano's value proposition. Cost per GFLOPS is calculated as:

$$C_{GFLOPS} = \frac{C_{total}}{P_{GFLOPS}} \quad (3.9)$$

Where:

- C_{total} = Total system cost (in USD)
- P_{GFLOPS} = AI performance in GFLOPS

7.3 Market Position and Competitive Landscape

The Jetson Nano stands as a distinctive product in the IoT development board market because it provides strong AI performance at an affordable price with efficient power usage. The original developer kit has reached end-of-life status, but the platform continues through the Jetson Orin Nano series with improved capabilities.

VIII. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

The challenges and limitations section outline the hardware, software, and environmental constraints affecting the Jetson Nano's IoT applicability. The details are as follows:

8.1 Hardware Limitations

Several hardware limitations impact the Jetson Nano's IoT applicability:

- Memory Constraints: The 4GB LPDDR4 memory may limit complex AI model deployment
- Wireless Connectivity: Lack of built-in Wi-Fi requires external modules
- Power Requirements: Higher power consumption compared to traditional microcontrollers

8.2 Software Complexity

The complex software stack needs advanced development skills which might prevent casual developers from adopting it. The process of learning GPU programming and AI optimization creates obstacles for new developers to join.

8.3 Environmental Considerations

The deployment of this system becomes restricted in harsh environmental conditions because thermal management requirements need additional cooling solutions. The board's performance will decrease when operating in extreme temperature conditions.

IX. FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The future directions and recommendations section outline potential technological evolution, application development strategies, and research opportunities. The details are as follows:

9.1 Technology Evolution

Future developments in edge AI computing will likely focus on:

1. Enhanced power efficiency through advanced semiconductor processes
2. Improved AI accelerator architectures with higher performance density
3. Better integration of wireless connectivity and sensor interfaces

9.2 Application Development

Recommendations for future IoT application development include:

1. Standardized development frameworks for edge AI applications
2. Improved tools for performance optimization and power management
3. Enhanced security features for production deployments

9.3 Research Opportunities

Future research opportunities encompass:

1. Advanced thermal management techniques for edge AI devices
2. Optimization algorithms for resource-constrained AI inference
3. Novel architectures for distributed IoT computing systems

X. CONCLUSION

This comprehensive study of the NVIDIA Jetson Nano demonstrates its significant potential for IoT applications requiring edge AI capabilities. The board's 472 GFLOPS AI performance, combined with 5-10W power consumption, establishes a new paradigm for intelligent edge computing in IoT environments.Key findings reveal that the Jetson Nano provides 3-4x performance advantages over traditional IoT development boards in AI-intensive applications while maintaining reasonable power efficiency. The comprehensive software ecosystem and development tools facilitate rapid prototyping and deployment of sophisticated IoT applications.

The research contributes to understanding edge AI implementation in IoT contexts and provides practical guidelines for system designers and developers. While certain limitations exist regarding memory capacity and wireless connectivity, the platform's capabilities outweigh these constraints for most IoT applications.The Jetson Nano represents a significant milestone in democratizing AI capabilities for IoT applications, enabling new categories of intelligent edge devices that were previously impractical due to computational constraints. As the IoT ecosystem continues evolving toward more sophisticated applications, platforms like the Jetson Nano will play crucial roles in bridging the gap between traditional embedded systems and modern AI requirements.

References

[1] M. A. Caraveo-Cacep, M. V. Trejo, and J. Z. Hernández, "A survey on low-cost development boards for applying cryptography in IoT systems," *Internet of Things*, vol. 22, 2023.

[2] Scribbr Editors, "IEEE paper format — template & guidelines," [Online]. Available: https://www.scribbr.com/ieee/ieee-paper-format/, accessed: July 2025.

[3] G. C. Serițan, I. C. Doru, and V. Florescu, "Comparison study of top development boards in the context of IoT," *Revue Roumaine des Sciences Techniques*, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 483–486, 2022.

[4] Nabto, "The 10 best development boards for IoT in 2022," [Online]. Available: https://www.nabto.com/best-iot-boards/, accessed: July 2025.

[5] Tryolabs, "Machine learning edge devices: Benchmark report," [Online]. Available: https://tryolabs.com/blog/machine-learning-on-edge-devices-benchmark-report, accessed: July 2025.

[6] BrainChip Inc., "Benchmarking AI inference at the edge: Measuring performance and efficiency for real-world deployments," *White Paper*, 2023.

[7] R. N. Desai, S. S. Nalawade, A. V. Deshpande, M. G. Kulkarni, R. T. Aute, and P. D. Deshpande, "Meditag: An RFID and ESP8266-powered smart medication inventory system with real-time adherence notifications," *2024 3rd International Conference on Intelligent Cybernetics Technology & Applications (ICICTA)*. IEEE, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10841697, accessed: July 29, 2025.

[8] Y. Hui, X. Liu, and F. Wu, "Early experience in benchmarking edge AI processors with object detection," vol. 12093, pp. 32–48, 2020.

[9] TechRxiv Contributors, "Computing boards for Internet of Things: A comparative survey," *TechRxiv*, Tech. Rep., 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.techrxiv.org/users/683326/articles/678413/, accessed: July 2025.

[10] Qualcomm, "A guide to AI TOPS and NPU performance metrics," [Online]. Available: https://www.qualcomm.com/news/onq/2024/04/a-guide-to-ai-tops-and-npu-performance-metrics, 2024, accessed: July 2025.

[11] O. M. Mirza, S. K. Sharma, and M. S. Qureshi, "Mathematical framework for wearable devices in the Internet of Things using deep learning," *PMC*, vol. 9689082, 2022.

[12] NVIDIA Developer, "Jetson Nano technical specifications," [Online]. Available: https://developer.nvidia.com/embedded/jetson-nano, accessed: July 2025.

[13] Elecrow, "Everything you need to know about Jetson Orin Nano," [Online]. Available: https://www.elecrow.com/blog/everything-you-need-to-know-about-jetson-orin-nano.html, accessed: July 2025.

[14] Xailient AI, "A comprehensive guide to edge AI," [Online]. Available: https://xailient.com/blog/a-comprehensive-guide-to-edge-ai/, accessed: July 2025.

[15] Open Zeka, "NVIDIA Jetson Nano System-on-Module data sheet," [Online]. Available: https://openzeka.com/en/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/JetsonNano_DataSheet_DS09366001v1.1-1.pdf, accessed: July 2025.

[16] S. N. S. R. Desai, G. K. M. D. Biradar, and G. G. P., "Design and Implementation of a Microcontroller-Based Temperature Control and Monitoring System for Refrigerated Trucks," *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, vol. 7, no. 3, May–Jun 2025. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i03.43686.

[17] NVIDIA Developer, "Get started with Jetson Nano Developer Kit," [Online]. Available: https://developer.nvidia.com/embedded/learn/get-started-jetson-nano-devkit#intro, accessed: July 2025.