

# FACTORS DETERMINING ABORTION PROCUREMENT DECISION MAKING AMONG YOUNG ADULTS AND UNDERGRADUATE FEMALE STUDENTS IN A TERTIARY INSTITUTION IN CALABAR, NIGERIA

Abeshi Sylvester E; Okon A Okon; Edet Ekpo E; Eyong Edu M; Ina Margaret M
Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,

University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria

## Abstract

Abortion procurement among adolescents is a major health challenge in developing nations. Not only because of the stringent abortion legislation in most of these nations but also because of the dangers of unsafe abortions.

To examine the factors influencing abortion decisions making among young adults in Calabar. A stratified sampling method was used to select three hundred and ninety (390) female students from 15 faculties of the university of Calabar, Nigeria, a tertiary institution. Data was collected using a semi-structured questionnaire. Data were analysed using IBM SPSS-23<sup>TM</sup>. Frequency tables and Chi-square test was used for analysis. The level of significance was kept at a p-value < 0.05.

91 (23.70%) indicated that their friends constituted a pressurizing factor in abortion, and 12 (3.13%) were influenced by their family members. 20 (14.71%) indicated that they were threatened, and 80 (58.82%) reported that their educational aspirations were a factor that led to an abortion. 76 (55.88%) indicated that the anticipated financial burden and the unintended responsibility of child-rearing was a factor. The poor relationship with the potential father was a factor for 48 (35.29%). Statistical analysis showed that there was a significant effect of pressure and influencers on the decision to carry out an abortion ( $\chi^2 = 219.74$ , p <0.000).

Adolescent reproductive health policies need to be practically implemented to save unborn children from the carnage that abortions subject them to. The health of the adolescents or female adult, be it emotional, psychological, spiritual, as well as education, economy, ignorance or otherwise contribute to decision making in carrying out an abortion.

Index Terms - Determining factors, knowledge of abortion, female undergraduates, Nigeria

# INTRODUCTION

In developing countries, especially in sub- Saharan Africa (SSA), the procurement of abortion among young female adults or adolescents is still a major health challenge. [1] These challenges are only due to the restrictive abortion laws but in most of these countries there is also the attendant issues resulting from complications associated with unsafe abortions. [2] Termination of pregnancies has been adjudged the commonest gynaecological encounters that most women will have to experience in their lifetime. [3] It is adjudged that more than half of all unplanned pregnancies end up being terminated, resulting in approximately more than 1.25 million abortions per year worldwide. This figure takes into consideration all pregnancies aborted below the age of fetal viability. [4] This study, considers abortion to entails the deliberate termination of a pregnancy within the very early stage of the pregnancy. [3]

Majority of women who desire and carry out a termination of pregnancy are young adults or adolescents. Abortion still takes place despite the widespread availability of modern methods of contraceptives, which are currently being promoted by various governmental and non-governmental agencies in schools, market places, places of worship and other places where large number of young persons gather in addition to the skilled manpower, coupled with the training of healthcare providers, making the services

available and affordable to the end users. [1,4] The main problem seems to be that most of these pregnancies result from unplanned and or unprotected sexual intercourse, resulting in unplanned and unwanted pregnancies. [4]

The role of healthcare providers, the clinical characteristics and the social demographic profile of patients in the sub region have been studied, [5] however, few have addressed the need to fully understand the socio-cultural factors, information pathway, and family and peer pressure involved in abortion seeking decision of young adults and the psychological effects of such decisions in the south-south region of Nigeria. It is in respect to these shortcomings that this study was carried out to evaluate the factors influencing abortion decisions making among young adults and adolescents in the University of Calabar, a tertiary institution, to form part of the knowledge base for future interventions in reducing the recourse to abortion in our environment with highly restrictive abortion laws, unlike in other parts of the world where abortion laws are liberal and an adolescent can walk freely into any fertility clinic and procure an abortion without any constraints.

## PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at the premises of the University of Calabar, Calabar. The institution was established in 1975 and accredited by the National Universities Commission, Nigeria. The institution has fifteen faculties and five institutes. It is a coeducational institution with a high enrolment range of approximately forty to fifty thousand students. The target population for this study were adolescent and young female students who are sexually active and admit to have terminated a pregnancy at least once recently or in the past.

Inclusion criteria were young female adults and adolescents admitted and registered in any department of the University of Calabar and who may have had an abortion either before admission into the University or currently as a student. Those excluded were adults or adolescents who met the inclusion criteria but refused to give consent to participate in this study. The participants were assured of strict confidentiality during and after the study.

The sample size (N) for this study was calculated by the researchers using the formula based on the estimated proportion of a finite population, with the assumption that 50% of the target population had a basic knowledge of the subject of interest [6]:

$$n = \frac{\frac{Z^2 \times P(1-P)}{d^2}}{1 + \left\{\frac{Z^2 \times P(1-P)}{d^2 N}\right\}}$$

Where d = degree of precision = (0.05)

Z = standard normal deviation (1.96) corresponding to 95% confidence interval

n =desired sample size

N = Finite target population ( $\geq 10,000$ )

P = proportion of students at the University of Calabar that know the meaning of abortion and related complications in the target population (0.5)

Therefore, substituting the values for the calculations:

 $n = \{ [(1.96)^2 \times 0.5(1-0.5)] / (0.05)^2 \} / \{ 1 + [(1.96)^2 \times 0.5(1-0.5)] / [(0.05)^2 \times 10,000] \}$ 

 $n = \{ [3.84 \times 0.25] / 0.0025 \} / \{ 1 + [3.84 \times 0.25] / [0.0025 \times 10,000] \}$ 

 $n = \{0.96/0.0025\} / \{1 + [0.96/25]\}$ 

 $n = 384 / \{1 + 0.04\} = 384 / 1.04 = 369.96 \approx 370$ 

Also, given a non-response rate of 5% (i.e., r = 0.05).

Adjusted minimum sample size was calculated as n = N / 1 - r = 370 / 1 - 0.1 = 97 / 0.95

Therefore,  $n = 389.5 \approx 390$ .

This meant that a total of 390 participants were needed for the study.

A stratified sampling method was used to select three hundred and ninety (390) students. The first stage involved zoning the study area according to the number of faculties, with eight faculties being chosen. The second stage involved selecting the departments, with forty-eight (48) departments being chosen. In each department, students were randomly selected, and the questionnaire was administered to them accordingly. All consenting participants were each reassured of anonymity, full confidentiality and that the information collected was for research purposes only.

Data was collected using a semi-structured questionnaire with open-ended questions that were developed for this study and that had been pre-tested with about 15 students in the department with modifications made to conform to local sensitivity before the commencement of the study. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Head of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, with a cover letter attached to each questionnaire.

The data collected was on socio-demographic and educational characteristics of respondents, the previous history of abortion, information on factors influencing their decision on abortion and outcomes of those decisions. The data were collected by five (5) trained 'female' final year medical students as research assistants to minimize bias and assure sensitivity and validity of the information obtained for the study. The data were collected over a period of six weeks, with over 430 questionnaires distributed and retrieved.

Data were analysed with descriptive and inferential statistics using IBM SPSS-23<sup>TM</sup>. Frequency tables were generated for relevant variables. Proportions were compared using the  $\chi^2$  test, and the confidence interval was placed at 95%, with the level of significance kept at a p-value < 0.05.

# **RESULTS**

Four hundred and thirty questionnaires (430) were administered to and retrieved from the participants. Of these, forty-six (46) were excluded for incomplete data and incorrect filling of the forms, leaving three hundred and eighty-four (384) of the final data available for the final analysis.

**Table 1: Socio-demographic Characteristics of Participants** 

Table   15-17	Variable		Frequency	Percentage (%)
18-20		15-17		
24 and above	-	18-20	112	29.2
Separated   Separate   Separated   Separ				27.1
Christian   360   93.8		24 and above		
Islam				
Traditional   8   2.0   384   100	Religion	Christian		
Faculty  Allied Medical Sciences 72 18.75  Arts 58 15.10  Basic Medical Sciences 36 9.38  Biological Sciences 44 11.46  Dentistry 32 8.33  Education 52 13.54  Law 28 7.29  Social Sciences 62 16.15  384 100  Year of study Year 1 120 31.25  Year 2 80 20.83  Year 3 68 17.71  Year 4 92 23.96  Year 5 24 6.25  Married 0 0  Separated 0 0  Divorced 0 0  Cohabitation 24 6.25  Engaged 20 5.20  Past Abortion Yes 128 33.33  No 256 66.67		Islam	16	4.2
Allied Medical Sciences   72   18.75		Traditional	8	2.0
Arts 58 15.10  Basic Medical Sciences 36 9.38  Biological Sciences 44 11.46  Dentistry 32 8.33  Education 52 13.54  Law 28 7.29  Social Sciences 62 16.15  384 100  Year of study Year 1 120 31.25  Year 2 80 20.83  Year 3 68 17.71  Year 4 92 23.96  Year 5 24 6.25  Married 0 0  Separated 0 0  Divorced 0 0  Cohabitation 24 6.25  Engaged 20 5.20  Past Abortion Yes 128 33.33  No 256 66.67			384	100
Basic Medical Sciences   36   9.38	Faculty	Allied Medical Sciences	72	18.75
Biological Sciences		Arts	58	15.10
Dentistry   32   8.33     Education   52   13.54     Law   28   7.29     Social Sciences   62   16.15     384   100     Year of study   Year 1   120   31.25     Year 2   80   20.83     Year 3   68   17.71     Year 4   92   23.96     Year 5   24   6.25     Married   0   0     Separated   0   0     Divorced   0   0     Cohabitation   24   6.25     Engaged   20   5.20     384   100     Past Abortion   Yes   128   33.33     No   256   66.67		Basic Medical Sciences	36	9.38
Education   52   13.54     Law   28   7.29     Social Sciences   62   16.15     384   100     Year of study   Year 1   120   31.25     Year 2   80   20.83     Year 3   68   17.71     Year 4   92   23.96     Year 5   24   6.25     384   100     Marital Status   Single   340   88.55     Married   0   0     Separated   0   0     Cohabitation   24   6.25     Engaged   20   5.20     384   100     Past Abortion   Yes   128   33.33     No   256   66.67		Biological Sciences	44	11.46
Law   28   7.29		Dentistry	32	8.33
Social Sciences   62		Education	52	13.54
384       100         Year of study       Year 1       120       31.25         Year 2       80       20.83         Year 3       68       17.71         Year 4       92       23.96         Year 5       24       6.25         384       100         Married       0       0         Separated       0       0         Divorced       0       0         Cohabitation       24       6.25         Engaged       20       5.20         384       100         Past Abortion       Yes       128       33.33         No       256       66.67		Law	28	7.29
Year of study         Year 1         120         31.25           Year 2         80         20.83           Year 3         68         17.71           Year 4         92         23.96           Year 5         24         6.25           Married         0         0           Separated         0         0           Divorced         0         0           Cohabitation         24         6.25           Engaged         20         5.20           384         100           Past Abortion         Yes         128         33.33           No         256         66.67		Social Sciences		16.15
Year 2       80       20.83         Year 3       68       17.71         Year 4       92       23.96         Year 5       24       6.25         384       100         Married       0       0         Separated       0       0         Divorced       0       0         Cohabitation       24       6.25         Engaged       20       5.20         384       100         Past Abortion       Yes       128       33.33         No       256       66.67				
Year 3       68       17.71         Year 4       92       23.96         Year 5       24       6.25         384       100         Married       0       0         Separated       0       0         Divorced       0       0         Cohabitation       24       6.25         Engaged       20       5.20         384       100         Past Abortion       Yes       128       33.33         No       256       66.67	Year of study			
Year 4       92       23.96         Year 5       24       6.25         384       100         Marrial Status       Single       340       88.55         Married       0       0         Separated       0       0         Divorced       0       0         Cohabitation       24       6.25         Engaged       20       5.20         384       100         Past Abortion       Yes       128       33.33         No       256       66.67				
Year 5         24         6.25           384         100           Marital Status         Single         340         88.55           Married         0         0           Separated         0         0           Divorced         0         0           Cohabitation         24         6.25           Engaged         20         5.20           384         100           Past Abortion         Yes         128         33.33           No         256         66.67				
Single   340   88.55		Year 4	92	
Marital Status         Single         340         88.55           Married         0         0           Separated         0         0           Divorced         0         0           Cohabitation         24         6.25           Engaged         20         5.20           384         100           Past Abortion         Yes         128         33.33           No         256         66.67		Year 5		
Married         0         0           Separated         0         0           Divorced         0         0           Cohabitation         24         6.25           Engaged         20         5.20           384         100           Past Abortion         Yes         128         33.33           No         256         66.67				
Separated         0         0           Divorced         0         0           Cohabitation         24         6.25           Engaged         20         5.20           384         100           Past Abortion         Yes         128         33.33           No         256         66.67	Marital Status			
Divorced         0         0           Cohabitation         24         6.25           Engaged         20         5.20           384         100           Past Abortion         Yes         128         33.33           No         256         66.67				
Cohabitation         24         6.25           Engaged         20         5.20           384         100           Past Abortion         Yes         128         33.33           No         256         66.67				
Engaged     20     5.20       384     100       Past Abortion     Yes     128     33.33       No     256     66.67				
Past Abortion Yes 128 33.33 No 256 66.67		III C Y C GI		moog
Past Abortion         Yes         128         33.33           No         256         66.67		Engaged		
No 256 66.67				
	Past Abortion			
384 100		No		
			384	100

Table 1 shows that Out of the 384 respondents interviewed, 128 (33.33%) had carried out an abortion within the past 12 months before the data collection.

**Table 2: Types of Decision Influencers** 

<b>Decision Influencers</b>	Variables	Frequency	Percentage
	Family Members	12	3.13
	Friends/ Peers	91	23.70
	Personal Decision	33	8.59
	Spiritual guidance	0	0
	None	248	64.58
		384	100

Details of decision Influencers	Frequency	Percentage
Variable	Yes (%)	No (%)
History of pressure from others	103 (75.73)	33 (24.27)
Family Pressure	12 (8.82)	124 (91.18)
Friends/ Peer pressure	28 (20.59)	108 (79.41)
Persuaded	61 (44.85)	75 (55.15)
Threatened / Forced	20 (14.71)	116 (85.29)
The anticipated financial burden	73 (53.68)	63 (46.32)
Responsibility of child-rearing	76 (55.88)	60 (44.12)
Educational aspirations/ dreams	80 (58.82)	56 (41.18)
Poor relationship with potential father	48 (35.29)	88 (64.71)

As depicted in table 2, most of the respondents (91, 23.70%) indicated that they had been influenced by their friends and peers in their decision to carry out an abortion, while 33 (8.59%) took the decision on their own and 12 (3.13%) were influenced by their family members

Table 3: Relationship between Influencing Factors and Decision to abort

Variable	Incidence of Abortion			Pearson's Chi-square	Df	pValue	
	Aborted	Didn't	not Abort	Total			
Influenced	95	8		103	$\chi^2 = 219.74$	1	< 0.000
uninfluenced	33	248	2010	281	hrough Ir		
	128	256	. 4110	384			

Table 3 shows the Chi-square test conducted to assess the effect of influencers on the decision to carry out an abortion; the result shows that there was a significant effect of pressure and influencers on the decision to carry out an abortion ( $\chi^2 = 219.74$ , p <0.000).

**Table 4: Post Abortion Experience or Complications** 

Frequency	Percentage
Yes (%)	No (%)
112 (87.5)	16 (12.5)
64 (50)	64 (50)
120 (93.8)	8 (6.2)
96 (75)	32 (25)
	Yes (%) 112 (87.5) 64 (50) 120 (93.8)

Guilt / Shame	88 (68.8)	40 (31.2)
Depression	104 (81.3)	24 (18.7)
Confident about decision	40 (31.2)	88 (68.8)
Relief with decision	72 (56.3)	56 (43.7)
Regrets	88 (68.8)	40 (31.2)
Stigmatization	40 (31.2)	88 (68.8)
Infection / Sepsis	40 (31.2)	88 (68.8)

Table 4, the majority (112, 87.5%) of respondents who had an abortion experienced bleeding/blood loss, while 16 (12.5%) did not. 64 (50%) had physical injuries, with the same number (64, 50%) not having any injuries. 120 (93.8%) reported having some sleep disorders, with 8 (6.2%) not having any.

## **DISCUSSIONS**

Most of the respondents in this study were between the ages of 18 and 20 years (112, 29.2%), with respondents (104, 27.1%) between the ages of 21-23 years, (88, 22.9%) were aged 24 and above, while (80, 20.8%) were between the ages of 15-17 years. Majority of the respondents were Christians (360, 93.8%), with 16 (4.2%) Muslims and 8 (2%) Traditional worshipers. Christianity is the dominant religion in the Southern part of Nigeria, hence the majority of respondents. Most of the respondents were from the faculty of allied medical sciences (72,18.75%), with 62 (16.15%) from the faculty of Social Sciences, 58 (15.10%) were from the faculty of Arts, and 52 (13.54%) respondents were from the faculty of Education, 44 (11.46%) were from the faculty of Biological Sciences, 36 (9.38%) were from Basic Medical Sciences, with 32 (8.33%) from the faculty of dentistry, and 28 (7.29%) from the faculty of Law. Most respondents were in their first year of study (120,31.25%), with 92 (23.96%) in their fourth year, 80 (20.83%) were in their second year of study, while 68 (17.71%) were in their third year, and 24 (6.25%) were in the fifth year of study. Most of the respondents were single (344, 89.55%), with 24 (6.25%) cohabiting with their partners and 20 (5.2%) engaged with their partners. No respondents were married, separated, or divorced. Out of the 384 respondents interviewed, 128 (33.33%) had carried out an abortion within the past 12 months before the data collection; details are shown in table 1.

As depicted in table 2, most of the respondents (91, 23.70%) indicated that they had been influenced by their friends and peers in their decision to carry out an abortion, while 33 (8.59%) took the decision on their own and 12 (3.13%) were influenced by their family members. Another majority (124, 91.18%) said that family members were not part of their influence to engage in abortion, with 12 (8.82%) answering in the affirmative. Also, 28 (20.59%) said their friends constituted a pressurising factor to carry out an abortion, with 108 (79.41%) disagreeing. Many of the respondents (116, 85.29%) did not report getting direct threats to commit an abortion; however, a minority (20, 14.71%) indicated this occurred to them. Some of the respondents (61, 44.85%) indicated that they were encouraged and persuaded by others to seek an abortion, as against 75 (55.15%) who felt otherwise. Most of the respondents (80, 58.82%) reported that their educational aspirations were factors that led them to the decision to abort, with a few (56, 41.18%) indicating that this was not a factor. Another majority (76, 55.88%) indicated that an aversion to the unintended responsibility of child-rearing was a factor that led to the decision, with 60 (44.12%) disagreeing. Furthermore, 73 (53.68%) reported that the anticipated financial burden of raising a child was a decisive factor in seeking an abortion, 63 (46.32%) disagreed with this item of the questionnaire. The nature of the relationship with the potential father was also a factor in deciding to go for an abortion for 48 (35.29%) respondents, while 88 (64.71%) did not consider it to be important.

Table 3 shows the Chi-square test conducted to assess the effect of influencers on the decision to carry out an abortion; the result shows that there was a significant effect of pressure and influencers on the decision to carry out an abortion ( $\chi^2 = 219.74$ , p <0.000). As seen in Table 4, the majority (112, 87.5%) of respondents who had an abortion experienced bleeding/ blood loss, while 16 (12.5%) did not. 64 (50%) had physical injuries, with the same number (64, 50%) not having any injuries. 120 (93.8%) reported having some sleep disorders, with 8 (6.2%) not having any. Also, many respondents (96,75%) were angry with themselves because of the abortion done, while 32 (25%) did not. 88 (68.8%) felt guilty and ashamed, while 40 (31.2%) did not have the feelings, and 104 (81.3%) felt depressed because of the abortion, with 24 (18.7%) not feeling depressed. Also, 40 (31.2%) felt confident about the decision taken, while 88 (68.8%) felt otherwise; it was observed that 72 (56.3%) felt relieved after the abortion while 56 (43.7%) did not. However, 88 (68.8%) regretted after the abortion, and 40 (31.25) did not; 40 (31.2%) respondents reported feeling stigmatized, while 88 (68.8%) did not; 40 (31.2%) experienced some form of infection because of the abortion, while 88 (68.8%) did not.

This study was carried out to assess the factors influencing the decision to abort among young adults at the University of Calabar. Most responders were between the ages of 18 and 20 (112, 29.2%), with a significant number of the participants from allied medical, basic medical, dental, biological, and social sciences (210, 54.7%). Most respondents were in their first year of study (120, 31.25%), and a high percentage were single (344, 89.55%), with 128 (33.33%) as against 256 (66.67%) having done an abortion at the time of data collection. These socio-demographic findings are in keeping with that of Appiah-Agyekum [3] which indicated that sociodemographic characteristics such as age, level of study and marital status influenced the incidence of abortion. However, it is at variance with that of Abiola et al. [7] who reported that age was not a factor in abortion.

An appreciable number of the respondents (91, 23.70%) indicated that they had been influenced by their friends and peers in their decision to carry out an abortion, and 12 (3.13%) were influenced by their family members. This is in line with the findings of Denberu et al. [8] who revealed that 45% of cases of abortion in their study were influenced by friends, and that of Atere et al. [9] where family pressure result in abortions.

A majority (76, 55.88%) indicated that an aversion to the unintended responsibility of child-rearing was a factor that led to the decision to abort, with 73 (53.68%) reporting that the anticipated financial burden of raising a child was a decisive factor in seeking an abortion. This correlates with the findings of Orisaremi et al. [10] and Cadmus et al. [11] that financial considerations and fear

of responsibility were factors in seeking an abortion. However, the lower figures concerning family influence in this study may be the result of most of the respondents residing in the hostel far away from home and family, coupled with the possibility that the family members may not even be aware that they were pregnant in the first place. Also, the financial burden and fear of the responsibility of raising a child alone without support from the potential father due to possibly the poor interpersonal relationship between the respondents and their partners may account for most of the decision to abort.

The result of this study shows that there was a statistically significant relationship between factors influencing abortion and the incidence of abortion among young adults at the University of Calabar. Other studies did not report a significant relationship.

Most respondents, 120 (93.8%) reported having some sleep disorders, (96,75%) felt anger, 88 (68.8) felt guilty and ashamed, and 104 (81.3) felt depressed; this correlates with the findings of Obertinca et al. [12] who reported that 22.13% experienced sleep disorders, with 36.06% angry, and 27.4% feeling guilt and ashamed, while 27.86% felt depressed. Also, 40 (31.2%) felt confident about the decision taken, with 72 (56.3%) feeling relieved after the abortion; this agrees with the findings of Ralph et al. [13] that 37% of respondents felt confident with their decision and 60% felt relieved. However, the fact that many felt regret after the abortion, and experienced some form of infection because of the abortion, underscores the severe pains and suffering of these young women, which extends to their families, friends, and the community at large.

## **CONCLUSION**

This study revealed that the influence of family and friends, fear of repercussions, shame, threats, anticipated financial burden, unfulfilled educational aspirations, and poor nature of the relationship of respondents with the potential fathers were factors that led to abortion among young adults. Nevertheless, some respondents reported feeling confident and relieved, but this did not prevent feelings of regret and stigmatisation. Statistical analysis revealed that there was a significant influence of these factors on the incidence of abortion among respondents at the University of Calabar.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that interventions to promote gender equality and power relationship, as well as human rights, need to be central to all future programmes and policies. Additionally, student orientation programmes should be geared towards informing females about abortion services since the decision to terminate has resulted from an imposition. Also, healthcare workers need to be trained in communication skills to promote shared decision-making and patient orientation in abortion counselling. Finally, the adolescent reproductive health policy needs to be practically implemented to save unborn children from the carnage that abortions subject them to.

### LIMITATIONS

Given the personal and sensitive nature of abortion-related experience, this study acknowledges that there may be some underreporting or misrepresentation by respondents. Although the study provides important descriptive insights into some of the key experiences of respondents on abortion, it may not be an entirely accurate measure for generalizing abortion experiences among students.

**Cost:** The researchers bore all the financial expenses.

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The authors declare that there are no conflict of interest concerning the publication of this paper. **Contribution of authors**: The concept for this study was conceived by ASE. Protocol and data collation was done by OAO, while quality appraisal and progress were made by all authors. All authors participated in the analysis, interpretation and write up. This study is the views of the authors and not that of their institution.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Correia DS, Cavalcante JC, Maia EMC. Induced abortion: risk factors for adolescent female students, a Brazilian study. The Scientific World Journal. 2009 Dec 16; 9:1374–81.
- 2. Henshaw SK, Adewole I, Singh S, Bankole A, Oye-Adeniran B, Hussain R. Severity and cost of unsafe abortion complications treated in Nigerian hospitals. International Family Planning Perspectives. 2008;34(1):40–50.
- 3. Nimo N, Agyekum A-. ISSUE 4 Abortions in Ghana: experiences of university students. Health Science Journal. 2014;8(4).
- 4. Bankole A, Adewole IF, Hussain R, Awolude O, Singh S, Akinyemi JO. The incidence of abortion in Nigeria. International Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health. 2015 Dec 1;41(4):170–81.
- 5. Ekanem E, Etuk S, Ekabau J, Medicine CI-NJ of, 2009 undefined. Clinical presentation and complications in patients with unsafe abortions in University of Calabar Teaching Hospital, Calabar, Nigeria. ajol.info.
- 6. Sample Size Calculator [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jan 3]. Available from: https://www.calculator.net/sample-size-calculator.html?type=1&cl=95&ci=5&pp=50&ps=10000&x=94&y=23
- 7. Abiola A-H, Oke O, Balogun M, Olatona F, Adegbesan-Omilabu M. Knowledge, attitude, and practice of abortion among female students of two public senior secondary schools in Lagos Mainland Local Government Area, Lagos State. Journal of Clinical Sciences. 2016;13(2):82.
- 8. BD, FA, HS. Determinants of Abortion among Youth Seeking Reproductive Health Care in Selected Health Facilities, in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Global Journal of Reproductive Medicine. 2017 May 25;1(2):27–38.
- 9. Atere B, Akinyemi A. Abortion and Challenges of Teenage Pregnancy in Lagos, Nigeria. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research. 2012;3(4).

- 10. Orisaremi TC. An Explorative Study of abortion among the Tarok in Central Nigeria. African Sociological Review / Revue Africaine de Sociologie. 2013 Apr 22;16(1):61–76.
- 11. EO C, ET O. Knowledge about complications and practice of abortion among female undergraduates in the University of Ibadan, Nigeria. Annals of Ibadan postgraduate medicine. 2011 Nov 21;9(1).
- 12. Obertinca B, Dangellia A, Pacarada M, Beselica Beha A, Gallopeni F, Kongjeli N, et al. Emotional and Psychological Effects on Women after Induced Abortion. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR). 2016 Mar 5;5(3):1785–8.
- 13. Ralph L, Gould H, Baker A, Foster DG. The Role of Parents and Partners in Minors' Decisions to Have an Abortion and Anticipated Coping After Abortion. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2014; 54:428–34.

