IJNRD.ORG ISSN : 2456-4184
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NOVEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (IJNRD) | IJNRD.ORG
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Merger and Acquisitions: An Analytical Review

[1]Mr. Shailesh Kumar Pandey, [2]Dr. Aditya Upadhyay
[1]Research Scholar, [2]Research Guide
[1]Faculty of Management studies
[1]Mansarovar Global University, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh

ABSTRACT

Merger and Acquisition (M&A) is a way for organizations to become quicker than natural business development and can be a channel for organizations to reinforce their worldwide market position and increment competiteveness. M&A exercises on the planet have an enormous volume and worth of a few significant items like coal, modern metal, silver, lead, zinc, copper, steel, aluminum and so on. In 2018 (January to December) the all out worth of M&A exchanges for the coal and metal area arrived at USD 60 bio with the biggest part in coal products and exchange volume of 320 exchanges. M&A is one of the essential choices in corporate rebuilding exercises that can give more admittance to organizations in expanding benefits, market control or piece of the pie and expanding seriousness (upper hand) to confront the world market which is at present relentless. In this study,the issue to be addressed are the hypotheses behind the event of M&A and furthermore past exploration that has been done connected with M&A. To answer this issue, the writing survey technique will be utilized. The outcomes acquired are supposed to be utilized in future exploration in all M&A occasions. With this writing survey, the intention behind the event of M&A can likewise be notable.

KEY WORDS: Pre merger, Post-merger, Financial performance, Mergers and Acquisitions, Corporate Performance, Theories, Motives.

JEL Classification: G34

1.INTRODUCTION:

Merger and Acquisition (M&A) is one of the manners in which organizations take to become quicker than organicbusiness development and can be a channel for organizations to reinforce their worldwide market position andincrease competiteveness (Sui et al, 2016). Coal, industrial metals, silver, lead, zinc, copper, steel, and aluminum are just a few of the major commodities for which the world's M&A activities account for a significant volume and value. In 2018 (January to December) the all out worth of M&A exchanges for the coal and metal sectorreached USD 60 bio with the biggest part in coal wares and the exchange volume of 320transactions (Ernst and Youthful, 2019). In these days of fierce competition, growth is the norm. A firm can accomplish development either inside by growing its tasks, laying out new units or remotely through consolidations and acquisitions (M&A), takeover, blends, joint endeavor and so forth. With the degree of rivalry getting serious step by step, Consolidations and Acquisitions have arisen as the most favored long haul technique of corporate rebuilding and reinforcing in the present globalized world. One plus one equals more than two is the primary motivation behind mergers and acquisitions.

There are a few advantages of M&A exercises for organizations, including getting income quickly,obtaining simple financing, getting experienced workers, getting clients in a brief time frame, getting amature functional and managerial framework, decreasing the gamble of business disappointment, saving time toenter new organizations, limit business risk, and so on. (Hariyani, Serfianto and Yusticia, 2011). This activitycan be completed both locally and abroad, including a minority share segment or an acquisitionthat takes over controlling administration. Acquisitions completed by neighborhood buyers on a domesticbasis by and large give higher accomplishment than outsider financial backers since they know more and are moreconfident pretty much all variables in the objective resource (Malone and Zicheng, 2008). (5) (PDF) Writing Audit On Consolidation and Securing (Hypotheses and Past Investigations).

M&A is a corporate activity that includes huge scope speculation, has chances, particularly as far as theuncertainty of item costs on the lookout (Savolainen, 2016). So that before a M&A is carriedout, there should be an expected level of effort exercises did by the purchaser. From this reasonable level of investment activity,the purchaser will decide the suitable valuation strategy and later the endeavor worth will besubmitted to the dealer for additional exchange. The strategy for valuation between the merchant and thepurchaser can be unique, so the subsequent venture worth can contrast essentially.

There are two qualities of purchasers in M&A exchanges, to be specific a confidential value organization anda retailer organization, where the purchaser has different dynamic qualities (Smit and Lovallo,2014). Purchasers from private value organizations are generally substantially more forceful and set out to offerpremium costs. This is likely in light of the fact that the confidential value organization is an asset/speculation managerwith the most dangers with respect to financial backers. There are 2 kinds of acquisitions in view of the motivesbehind M&A exercises, specifically key and monetary in nature (Hariyani, Serfianto and Yusticia, 2011). A vital M&A will be a drawn out venture and is typically a business that actually has a relationship orintegrated business with investors. In the interim, M&A with monetary thought processes will be present moment inthe any expectation of acquiring benefit from the resale of these resources. After 2009, M&A generally points toacquire new innovation, investigate new organizations, and answer worldwide contest (Lee andLieberman, 2010). There are 3 sorts of M&A that are usually known, in particular vertical integration,horizontal mix and combination dominate (Aluko and Amidu, 2005). (5) (PDF) Writing Audit On Consolidation and Securing (Hypotheses and Past Investigations).

Consolidation and procurement occasions (hereinafter abridged as M&A) are a fascinating businessphenomenon to be concentrated on by numerous specialists on the planet since the 1920s. The exploration carriedout remembers research for a reasonable level of effort or an expected level of investment exercises did before the marking of ashare acquisition of an organization. Research on corporate valuation strategies is additionally a fascinating theme foracademics and specialists the same. This is on the grounds that there are numerous strategies for deciding enterprisevalue (organization worth) and exchanges flop all the time since there is no comprehension among theseller and the purchaser in deciding the honest assessment (market cost).

M&A is one of the essential choices in corporate rebuilding exercises that can give moreaccess to organizations in expanding benefits, market control or piece of the pie and increasingcompetitiveness (upper hand) to confront the world market which is at present unstoppablebecause the world is progressively without limit or borderless (Gupta PK, 2012).

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

2.1. Definition of M&A

There are a few meanings of consolidations and acquisitions as indicated by various sources. We will look at a portion of the definitions that are felt to be generally pertinent to current circumstances. An acquisition is the process of transferring share ownership in a company to another company, or in other words, the shares or assets of a company are purchased by the buyer (Reed et al., 2007). A merger occurs when one or more companies join another company and then the company either ceases to exist or ceases to exist. The meaning of a consolidation as per Scott (2012) is a blend or combination of two or more organizations, either similar size or various organizations into one organization. Investors who do so are more likely to keep the trademark of the takeover company. In a consolidation, organizations that are taken over will never again work freely as per regulation (Depamphilis, 2018). In the mean time, an acquisition is an action that purchases the resources or portions of an organization, it very well may be all or part of the offers or just a business division.

Snow (2011) offers a slightly different definition, defining a merger as "a combination of two or more companies where each company has the same number of shares as the others and a clear role in the new company." In the interim, an obtaining is definedas an occasion where an organization purchases another organization, business division or other organization's resources.

2.2. Type of Merger and Acquisition

Organization rebuilding comprises of 2 sorts, to be specific functional and monetary restructuring (Depamphilis, 2018). M&A is a component of the friendly take over branch's or takeover without coercion's operational restructuring.

M&A is a way for organizations with great monetary and influence capacities to develop. By doing so, companies gain immediate or circuitous admittance to the most recent information, frameworks and innovation, a decent and competent supervisory group, and admittance to regular assets so they can add to thebusiness rebuilding process which thusly can give an upper hand to the company. who complete the M&A cycle (Akram and Shadid, 2016). Notwithstanding the abovementioned, concurring toDepamphilis (2018), M&A can likewise furnish admittance to items and markets with lower developmentcosts than beginning without any preparation and decrease the board time to do this. In the event that an organization carriesout M&A, particularly for organizations that have monetary strength that surpasses different organizations, thenthat organization will actually want to make a new, quicker and greater financial, social and social environmentthan its rivals so in the end it is normal to give significant re-visitations of financial backers whodo M&A (Fatih and Cagle, 2015). In the beginning, mergers and acquisitions (M&A) mostly focused on undervalued or problematic assets so that when investors took over, there were opportunities to further develop them for profit (Downey, 2008). However, over time, mergers and acquisitions (M&A) came to be a necessity for business consolidation and even for gaining access to new markets and products. M&A can likewise be separated into 2 gatherings in light of the idea of the board control or investors (Snow, 2011).

Hariyani (2011) partitions M&A into 5 gatherings in light of the explanations behind doing the corporarte activity asfollows:1. The term "horizontal M&A" refers to transactions involving the same market or product. Vertical M&A, in particular M&A that happens in the business upstream or downstream.Downstream it is alluded to as forward or up vertical combination and upstream it isreferred to as in reverse or descending vertical integration3. aggregate M&A, specifically M&A

in at least one organizations in businesses that are not relatedto each other's modern sectors.4. Market extension, in particular M&A determined to grow the advertising area.5. Item extension, to be specific M&A did to extend creation lines in each organization.

2.3. Motive of Merger and Acquisition

As per Gaughan (2007), M&A exercises plan to build the organization's business development, get better returns or returns both in a similar business area or business enhancement, diversification and/or business extension through cross-line M&A, getting cooperative energies both functional and financial, as well as get benefits from economies of scale. According to Gupta (2012), there are 3 thought processes behind M&A, namely;1. Cooperative energy thought process joined by purposes behind looking for business development, bigger scope of operations, rivalry, piece of the pie, reconciliation both in reverse and forward, synergy, increasing skill, broadening, lessening business risk, adjusting item cycle, entering new business sectors or products2. Financial motives include investing because there is money left over, increasing the company's market capitalization, cutting costs, tax planning or looking for tax benefits, and creating value for shareholders. Hierarchical motives. There are somewhere around 2 gatherings of purchasers in M&A (Holloway, 2016), in particular essential purchasers who buy companies because of reasons of looking for functional cooperative energies and confidential value organizations, to be specific fund management organizations that contribute on the grounds that they are searching for more significant yields.

3. Main Theory of Mergers and Acquisitions

M&A can't be isolated from the fundamental hypothesis of venture. Williams developed investment theory for the first time in 1930 in his journal "the theory of investment value."

In conducting M&A, the organization or the purchaser's administration have different inspirations driving their activities. This motivation has been the subject of extensive research, which has resulted in a number of well-known academic theories. In any case, there is no hypothesis that can truly clarify the general inspiration ofM&A for date (Wangerin, 2011). Well known M&A hypotheses incorporate;

1. Portfolio hypothesis proposed by Markowitz (1952)

2. Organization hypothesis proposed by Ross (1973), Jensen and Meckling (1976), later created byAmihud and Lev (1981)

3. Pride Theory which was first distributed by Roll (1986)

4. Victor's Revile created by Thaler (1988)

5. Collaboration Theory by Bradley et al. (1988)

6. Q hypothesis set forward by Lang et al. (1989)

7. Misvaluation Speculation set forward by Shleifer and Vishny (2003)

8. Effeciency Hypothesis by Wolfe et al. (2011)

9. In his book, Depamphilis (2018) also explains other theories, such as marketpower and tax benefits.

Portfolio Hypothesis introduced by Markowitz (1952) states that the portfolio determination process is divided into 2 sections, in particular the perception stage and alludes to the experience or information on past problems and closes with trust in specific existing portfolios. The subsequent stage is the point at which that trust will lead to picking the right portfolio. In his exploration, Markowitz expressed that financial backers will see 2 things, namely the degree of chance and profit from the speculation. Financial backers will continuously pick ventures witha high pace of return with a low degree of risk. Agency Hypothesis was first evolved by Ross S.A. (1973) which expresses that an organization relationship occurs between at least two individuals where one of them is the party who addresses the other party. The other party is the head or the partner for the situation that the organization is the investor and the other party is the party who addresses the investors or the executives including the board of directors of the organization. This hypothesis was subsequently evolved by Jensen and Meckling (1976) which stated that the chief will obtain ideal outcomes assuming he gives office cost. Because it is believed that agents do not always represent the interests of shareholders in the company, agency costs will be distributed to relevant management in order to improve agents' performance and fully support shareholders. This organization cost will also tie the connection between the specialist and the head to get ideal outcomes. Chiefs can likewise utilize data got from the work market and proficient business sectors to control and screen the actions of specialists or the executives in running the organization (Fama, 1980). Amihud and Lev (1981) whosay that M&A can happen in view of the interests of the board.

4. Due Diligence:

In executing the venture procedure for consolidations and acquisitions, purchasers will be uncovered to risks both distinguished during the exchange cycle and dangers that will just become realized after the transaction is finished. The meaning of hazard as indicated by Uyemura and Deventer (1993) is the volatility or deviation of net income from a specialty unit. Risk is the vulnerability that influences the system that influences vacillations in the worth and yield of a resource (Mun, 2006). As per Jorion (2007), risk is the unpredictability of the vulnerability of the outcomes acquired which can be shown by the value of resources, value or pay. In contrast, Manurung (2017) defines it as a loss incurred by an institution as a result of unknown future events where risks can be measured and minimized. The risks associated with mergers and acquisitions can take the form of political, financial, commercial, taxation, and other risks. There are several primary risks in the mining industry (Baurens, 2010). Financial hazard. There are risks associated with equity or loan funds, but a certain rate of return on investment is anticipated to cover these risks. Authorizing risk. Licenses can be gotten effectively or don't meet the prerequisites set by the localgovernment.3. Geographical dangers, particularly those connected with how much holds, assets, quality andothers.4. Metallurgical risk5. The dangers of the nation of speculation, for example, political, geographic, financial and social risks.To diminish or do whatever it may take to moderate this gamble, before the offer deal and buy understanding is signed, the purchaser has the option to complete an expected level of effort process inside a specific time span agreed between the purchaser and the merchant. In this manner, as per Reed et.al. (2007) a reasonable level of effort exercises in analyzing every aspect—past, present, and future—of an M&A plan can be helpful in determining the potential risks. Wangerin (2011) has the very assessment that reasonable level of effort is a cycle that focuses on risk examination in M&A.

5. Future Research Agenda:

Right now, the current hypothesis can't make sense of the M&A peculiarity overall. There are many theories that can be used to explain an M&A event, not just one widely accepted theory. In this way, it will be very interesting if later on we can find a for the most part acknowledged hypothesis for this multitude of M&A occasions that can make sense of both academically and financially.

REFRENCES:

1. Akram J, Shadid MK. 2016. The Impact of Critical Factors on Mergers and Acquisition in CovergingICT Industry. Journal of Information Engineering and Application.

2. Aluko BT, Amidu AR. 2005. Corporate Business Valuation for Mergers and Acquisitions.International Journal of Strategic Property Management, 9(3), 173- 189.

3. Amihud Y, Lev B. 1981 Risk Reduction as a Managerial Motive for Conglomerate Mergers. Journalof Economics, 12, 605-617.

4. Bauren S. 2010. Valuation of metal and mining companies. Basinvest.

5. Bradley MA, Desai, Kim EH. 1988. Synergistic gains from corporate acquisitions and their divisionbetween the stockholders of target and acquiring firms. Journal of Financial Economics

21, 3-40.
6. Depamphilis. 2018. Merger, Acquisition and Other Restructuring Activities. United State ofAmerica. Elsevier Inc., http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-801609-1.00001-4

7. Dimitrakopoulos, R. 2011. Stochastic Optimization for Strategic Mine Planning: A Decade ofDevelopments. Journal of Mining Science, 47(2), 138- 150.

8. Downey J. 2008, Merger and Acquisition, CIMA.

9. Ernst & Young. 2013. Merger, Acquisition and Capital Raising in Metal and Mining. EY Report.

10. Fama EF. 1970. Efficient Capital Market, A Review of Theory and Empirical Work. The Journal ofFinance Vol 25, No. 2, papers and proceeding of the 28th annual meeting of American financeassociation New York, N.Y. 28-30, pp 383-417. DOI: 10.1016/j.ddmod. 2006.03.014.

11. Fama E. 1980. Agency Problems and The Theory of The Firm. Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 88,No. 2. pp. 288-307.

12. Fatih A, Cagle MN. 2015. Critical Success Factors in Merger and Acquisition Strategies: Evaluationof Turkish Market.

13. Fidrmuc JP. Xia C. 2017. M&A Dela Initiation and Manager Motivation. Journal of Corporate Finance.Elsevier.

14. Gaughan P. 2007. Merger, Acquisition, and Corporate Restructuring. 4th Edition. New Jersey (US).Wiley Publishing Inc.

15. Gupta P. 2012. Mergers and Acquisition (M&A): The Strategic Concept for The Nuptials of CorporateSectors. Innovative Journal of Business and Management 1: 4 July – Aug (2012) 60 – 68. ISSN No.2227 4947.

16. Hariyani I, Serfianto R, Yustisia C. 2011. Merger, Konsolidasi, Akuisisi dan Pemisahan Perusahaan.Jakarta. Visi Media.

17. Holloway I, Lee SH, Shen T. 2016. Private Equity Firm Heterogeneity. International Review ofEconomic and Finance 44 (2016) 118–14. Elsevier Inc.

18. Hubbard G, Palia D. 1999. A reexamination of the conglomerate merge wave in the 1960s: Aninternal capital market view. Journal of Finance 54 1131-1152.

19. Jensen MC, Meckling WH. 1976. Theory of The Firm,Managerial Behaviour, Agency Cost and Ownership Structure. Journal of Financial Economics, October, 1976, V. 3, No. 4, pp. 305-360.

20. Jensen MC. 1986. Agency Cost of Free Cash Flow, Corporate Finance, and Takeovers. A mericanEconomic Review Proceedings, 76, 323-329.

21. Lang, Larry, Litzenberger R. 1989. Dividend announcements: Cash flow signalling vs. free cash flowhypothesis. Journal of Financial Economics.

22. Lilfor EV, Minnitt RCA. 2005. A comparative study of valuation methodologies for mineraldevelopments. The Journal of The South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy.

23. Malmendier U, Tate G. 2005. CEO overconfidence and corporate investment. Journal of Finance 602661–2700.

24. Macfarlane AS. 2002.A Code for the valuation of mineral properties and projects in South Africa.The Journal of The South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy.

25. Malone CB, Ou Z. 2008. Acquisition Actions in Australia: A Test of Acquisitions Theory. InternationalJournal of Managerial Finance, 4(3), 220- 231.

26. Manurung A.H. 2012. Konsep dan Empiris-Teori Investasi. PT Adler Manurung Press. Jakarta. ISBN978-979-3439075.

27. Manurung A.H. 2012. Teori Keuangan Perusahaan. PT Adler Manurung Press. Jakarta. ISBN 978-979-3439068.

28. Markowitz HM. 1952, Portfolio Selection Journal of Finance Vol 7, pp 77-91

29. Reed SF, Lajoux AR, Nesvold HP. 2007. A Merger Acquisition Buyout Guide, Fourth Edition. NewYork. McGraw Hill.

30. Roll R.1986. The hubris hypothesis of corporate takeovers. The University of Chicago Press. Journalof Business. Vol. 59, pp. 197–216. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/296325

31. Robert U. 2014. Valuation of Mineral Resources in Selected Financial and Accounting Systems.Natural resources journal, 5, 496-506. Scientific research.

32. Ross, Steven A. 1973. The Economic Theory of Agency: The Principal's Problems. AmericanEconomic Review LXII (May): 134-139.

33. Savolainen J. 2016. Real Options in Metal Mining Project Valuation: Review of Literature. ResourcePolicy, 50, 49- 65.

34. Savovic S. 2013. Due diligence as a key success factor of mergers and acquisitions. Journal of ActualProblems of Economics.

35. Scott DL. 2012, Wall Street Words: An A to Z Guide to Investment Terms for Today's Investor.

36. Sembel R. 1996. IPO Anomalies, Truncated Excess Supply and Heterogeneous Information.University of Pittsburgh.

37. Seth A. 1990. Value creation acquisitions: A re-examination of performance issues. StrategicManagement Journal 11, 99-115.

38. Shleifer A, Vishny RW. 1986. Greenmail,White Knights, and Shareholders' Interest. Journal ofEconomics, 17, 293-309.

39. Shleifer A, Vishny RW. 2003. Stock market driven acquisitions. Journal of Financial Economics 70(3): 295-311.

40. Smit H, Lovallo D.2014. Creating More Accurate Acquisition Valuations. MITSloan ManagementReview, 56(1), 62-71.

41. Snow B. 2011. Merger and Acquisition for Dummies. Canada. Wiley Publishing Inc. ISBN9780470385562.

42. Sui, Y, Peculea A. 2016. Financial Risk Identification and Control of Cross- Border Merger andAcquisition Enterprises. Audit Financiar, vol. XIV, no. 12(144), 1368- 1377.

43. Tamosiuniene R, Duksaite E. 2009. The Importance of Mergers and Acquisitions in Today'sEconomy.

44. Wangerin, D. 2012. M&A due diligence and its consequences for post-acquisition financialstatements. Working paper. Michigan State University. Lansing.

45. Wangerin, D. 2016. Do fools rush in? The importance of transactional due diligence in M&A.Working paper. Michigan State University. Lansing.

46. Weston et al. 2011. Mergers, Restructuring, and Corporate Control.Prentice Hall.

47. Wickramanayake J. 2009. Determinants of Acquisition Premiums: Empirical Evidence from MiningIndustry in Australia and Canada. Research Gate

48. Wolfe M, Stressman S, Manfredo M. 2011. The acquisition of IBP by Tyson foods in 2001: pre andpostmerger financial performance. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, Vol. 93, No. 2, pp.1–6.